

Priority Health Medicare Part B

References & Summary of Evidence

For Part B Prior Authorization and Step Therapy

December 2024



This document is updated frequently. Last revised: November 29, 2024

References & Summary of Evidence

For Medicare Part B Prior Authorization and Step Therapy

Priority Health Medicare complies with National Coverage Determinations (NCDs), Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Coverage Article (LCA), and other coverage and benefit conditions included in Traditional Medicare law for Part B drugs. These resources contain coverage criteria set by the Centers of Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) or a Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC) to determine if a drug is reasonable and necessary for the treatment of a condition.

When coverage criteria do not exist or are not fully established in an NCD, LCD/LCA, or other Medicare statute or regulation, Priority Health Medicare may create internal coverage criteria based on CMS-approved compendium and current evidence in widely used treatment guidelines or clinical literature.

In accordance with Medicare law, when internal coverage criteria are created, Priority Health provides a publicly accessible summary of evidence considered during the development of the internal coverage criteria, a list of the sources of such evidence, and an explanation of the rationale supporting the adoption of the internal coverage criteria. This document presents this information.

A Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC) establishes LCDs for Medicare Part A and Part B (A/B) medical drugs and services and Medicare Durable Medical Equipment (DME) for defined geographic areas or jurisdictions. Michigan falls under A/B MAC Jurisdiction 8 and DME MAC Jurisdiction B. For more information on NCDs, MACs, and LCDs/LCAs, refer to the Medicare Benefit Policy Manual, Chapter 15, [CMS.GOV](https://www.cms.gov), and the [Medicare Coverage Database](#).

Abecma (<i>idecabtagene icleucel-avwa</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
Priority Health follows NCD 110.24 for Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-Cell Therapy.
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abecma [Package Insert]. Summit, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb: 2021 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 110.24 Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell Therapy.
Actemra IV (<i>tocilizumab</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Actemra (tocilizumab) is an interleukin-6 inhibitor (IL-6i) indicated for multiple inflammatory conditions including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), giant cell arteritis, and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). It is available in both an intravenous (IV) and subcutaneous (SC) formulation, and indications may vary based on formulation. Currently, only the SC formulation is approved for the systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD) indication.</p> <p>Guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) in those with moderate or high disease activity despite previous conventional synthetic (csDMARD) trials for RA and JIA. Guidelines do not currently favor one bDMARD class over another, however tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFis) have the most documented safety and efficacy profiles. Infliximab agents (including Inflectra and Renflexis) are TNFis that work to block the activity of TNF, a cytokine that causes inflammation. It is this inflammation that is the primary target in the treatment of conditions like RA and JIA.</p> <p>Actemra has not been studied in combination with other bDMARDs (e.g., TNFis, interleukin receptor antagonists, etc) OR targeted synthetic DMARDs (Janus Kinase or JAK inhibitors) due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Actemra in combination with other biologic agents or targeted synthetic DMARDs is not recommended. Actemra has not been studied with Otezla and has no studies to support co-administration.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Actemra [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA: Genentech USA, Inc.; 2013.

2. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
3. Ringold et al. 2019 American College of Rheumatology/Arthritis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of JIA. Arthritis Care and Research. Vol 71 No 6 Jun 2019

Adakveo (*crizanlizumab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Adakveo (crizanlizumab-tmca) injection is a selectin blocker indicated to reduce the frequency of vaso-occlusive crises in adults and pediatric patients aged 16 years and older with sickle cell disease (SCD).

Vaso-occlusive crises or VOCs (also referred to as recurrent acute pain crises) are the most common manifestations of SCD. A VOC is defined as pain resulting from tissue ischemia caused by vaso-occlusion commonly occurring in the bone(s) and bone marrow, which typically are associated with pain of sudden onset typically in the extremities, chest, and back.

The Evidence-Based Management of Sickle Cell Disease: Expert Panel Report (EPR), 2014 states that hydroxyurea can reduce the frequency of sickle cell-related pain and the incidence of acute chest syndrome (ACS). Hydroxyurea has multiple mechanisms of action and benefits for people who have SCD including increasing high fetal hemoglobin (HbF) levels, raising red blood cell (RBC) volume, and improving cellular deformability and rheology (which increases blood flow and reduces vaso-occlusion). Hydroxyurea also lowers the number of circulating leukocytes and reticulocytes and alters the expression of adhesion molecules, which lead to vaso-occlusion. Hydroxyurea metabolism releases nitric oxide, which may also contribute to local vasodilation. The Expert Panel recommendations also advise that a clinical response to treatment with hydroxyurea may take 3 to 6 months. Therefore, a 6-month trial is recommended prior to considering hydroxyurea as a treatment failure. The report does not include recommendations for Adakveo yet.

References

1. Adakveo [Package Insert]. East Hanover, NJ: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2019.
2. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Evidence-based management of sickle cell disease: expert panel report, 2014.

Aduhelm (<i>aducanumab-avwa</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Priority Health follows Medicare's National Coverage Determination (NCD) 200.3 for Monoclonal Antibodies Directed Against Amyloid for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease (AD).</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aduhelm [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Biogen Inc.: 2021 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 200.3 Monoclonal Antibodies Directed Against Amyloid for the Treatment of ALZHEIMER's Disease (AD).
Adzynma (<i>ADAMTS13, recombinant-krhn</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Adzynma is a human recombinant form of the A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 13 enzyme (rADAMTS13). The ADAMTS13 protein is involved with blood clotting. Adzynma replaces the missing or deficient ADAMTS13 enzyme in patients diagnosed with congenital thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (cTTP). TTP is a rare blood disorder that results in blood clots forming in small blood vessels throughout the body which can cause ischemic end organ damage.</p> <p>Persistent severe deficiency (less than 10%) of ADAMTS13 activity is required to confirm TTP diagnosis. For differentiation from aTTP/iTTP from cTTP, identification of ADAMTS13 autoantibodies is needed. Samples for ADAMTS13 activity and autoantibody testing should be collected and gene analysis should be pursued to confirm the diagnosis of cTTP.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Adzynma [prescribing information]. Lexington, MA: Takeda Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc.; 2023 2. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study of BAX 930 in Children, Teenagers, and Adults Born With Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (TTP). (NCT 03393975) Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03393975 3. National Organization of Rare Diseases. Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. 2023. https://rarediseases.org/rarediseases/thrombotic-thrombocytopenic-purpura/

Alyglo (<i>immune globulin intravenous, human-stwk</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Alyglo (immune globulin intravenous, human-stwk) is approved for the treatment of primary humoral immunodeficiency (PI) in adults. This includes, but is not limited to, congenital agammaglobulinemia, common variable immunodeficiency (CVID), Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, and severe combined immunodeficiencies.</p> <p>Alyglo is an immunoglobulin therapy that incorporates an extra step in the manufacturing process to reduce clotting factor XIa to undetectable levels. Clotting factor XIa has been identified as one of the causes of IVIG-related blood clots. Different IVIG products use different purification processes to remove clotting factor XIa. There is no data to support that this product offers additional clinical benefit over other IVIG products.</p> <p>Step therapy is applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.</p> <p>Priority Health also follows LCD L34771 for Immune Globulins.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alyglo. [Package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; GC Biopharma: 2023. 2. Gammagard Liquid [package insert]. Westlake Village, CA: Baxter Healthcare Corporation; 2016 3. Gammagard S/D [package insert]. Westlake Village, CA: Baxter Healthcare Corporation; 2016 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L34771: Immune Globulins 5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs. 6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf 7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L34771 Immune Globulins.

Alymsys (bevacizumab-maly)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Alymsys (bevacizumab-maly) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Bevacizumab is a vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor indicated for the treatment of multiple cancers including a) metastatic colorectal cancer, in combination with intravenous fluorouracil-based chemotherapy for first- or second-line treatment; b) metastatic colorectal cancer, in combination with fluoropyrimidine-irinotecan- or fluoropyrimidine oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy for second-line treatment in patients who have progressed on a first-line bevacizumab product-containing regimen; c) unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent or metastatic non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer, in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel for first-line treatment; d) recurrent glioblastoma in adult; e) metastatic renal cell carcinoma in combination with interferon alfa, and more.

Myvasi (bevacizumab-awwb) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Zirabev (bevacizumab-bvzr) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Per NCCN guidelines, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for bevacizumab.

References

1. Alymsys [Package Insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC.: 2022
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.
3. Mvasi [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen Inc.: 2023
4. Zirabev [Package Insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer Inc.: 2023
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Central Nervous System Cancers (Version 1.2024)
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Colon Cancer (Version 2.2024)
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Kidney Cancer (Version 3.2024)
8. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (Version 5.2024)

Amvuttra (*vutrisiran*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Amvuttra (*vutrisiran*) injection is a transthyretin-directed small interfering RNA indicated for the treatment of the polyneuropathy of hereditary transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) in adults. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

Transthyretin (TTR) amyloidosis is caused by the extracellular deposition of amyloid fibrils composed of TTR. TTR is predominantly produced by the liver and is a plasma transport protein for thyroxine and vitamin A. TTR amyloidosis is caused by mutations that destabilize the TTR protein. The disease can present as an infiltrative cardiomyopathy (familial amyloid cardiomyopathy) or as a progressive, axonal sensory autonomic and motor neuropathy (familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy; TTR-FAP, also referred to as FAP or ATTR-PN). The disease induces peripheral neuropathy, initially affecting the lower limbs generally including toes, extending above the ankle, and moving toward the proximal lower limbs with motor deficits. Life-threatening autonomic dysfunction is also generally present as the disease progresses, which may include anhidrosis, sexual impotence, orthostatic hypotension, and neurogenic bladder.

Scoring systems for evaluating TTR-FAP include systems based on the stages of peripheral and autonomic neuropathies proposed by Coutinho, disease staging based on polyneuropathy disability (PND) score, the Portuguese classification to evaluate the severity of TTR-FAP, sensory impairment scoring, autonomic dysfunction scoring, and scoring of motor function for muscle weakness. Coutinho et al. divides clinical staging of TTR-FAP into stage 0 (no symptoms), stage I (unimpaired ambulation; mostly mild sensory, motor, and autonomic neuropathy in the lower limbs), stage II (assistance with ambulation required; mostly moderate impairment progression to the lower limbs, upper limbs, and trunk) and stage III (wheelchair-bound or bedridden; severe sensory, motor, and autonomic involvement of all limbs). The PND score divides neuropathic symptoms into stage 0 (no impairment), stage I (sensory disturbances but preserved walking capability), stage II (impaired walking capability but ability to walk without a stick or crutches), stage IIIA (walking only with the help of one stick or crutch), stage IIIB (walking with the help of two sticks or crutches), and stage IV (confined to a wheelchair or bedridden).

There is no data to support the efficacy and safety in use of disease-modifying therapies in liver transplant recipients or for use of pharmacotherapy in patients with stage 0 disease or with later-stage disease or cardiomyopathy. As such the 'Guideline of transthyretin-related hereditary amyloidosis for clinicians' recommends these populations should be treated only within the confines of a clinical trial.

Amvuttra was studied in patients with polyneuropathy caused by hereditary transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis that were in Stage 1 or Stage 2 of the disease and had Val30Met

mutation in the transthyretin gene or one of 21 other mutations. Amvuttra significantly improved clinical manifestations of neuropathy over 9 months compared with placebo. Amvuttra has not been studied in patients with prior liver transplant or in combination with other TTR stabilizers or TTR-lowering agents. As such, use of Amvuttra in combination with other TTR stabilizers or TTR-lowering agents is not recommended and will not be covered.

Anzemet (dolasetron)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Anzemet is a 5-HT(3) receptor antagonist. It is thought that by inhibiting 5-HT(3) signaling through receptor blockade in the chemoreceptor trigger zone, nausea and emetic triggers are disrupted.

Other agents within this class include ondansetron, granisetron, and palonosetron. Palonosetron has a much longer half-life than the other three, but otherwise the class is thought to be interchangeable. One study compared the safety and efficacy of Anzemet versus ondansetron in the prevention of cisplatin-induced nausea/vomiting and found no statistically significant differences in either response rates or tolerability. Another study compared granisetron versus ondansetron in the prevention of cisplatin-induced nausea/vomiting and also concluded no significant differences in safety or efficacy.

References

1. Anzemet [Package Insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC: 2013
2. Hesketh P, et al. Double-blind, randomized comparison of the antiemetic efficacy of intravenous dolasetron mesylate and intravenous ondansetron in the prevention of acute cisplatin-induced emesis in patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol.1996;14(8):2242
3. Navari R, et al. Comparative clinical trial of granisetron and ondansetron in the prophylaxis of cisplatin-induced emesis. J Clin Oncol. 1995;13(5):1242

Asceniv (immune globulin)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) are human derived antibodies used to treat various autoimmune, infectious, and idiopathic diseases including, but not limited to: Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy (CIDP), Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL), multiple myeloma, myasthenia gravis, and Immune Thrombocytopenia (ITP).

Primary immunodeficiency affects the body's natural immune system's ability to combat infection. These are genetic disorders that can be treated by undergoing hemopoietic stem cell transplantation, by receiving preventative medicine (like antibiotics to reduce infection risk) or managing with supportive care. IVIG plays a role in these patients' treatment by reducing infection risk and limiting the potential for disease complications.

Myasthenia gravis is a rare autoimmune disease that can lead to fatigue and generalized muscle weakness. Treatment options include corticosteroids and immunosuppressive therapies (azathioprine, mycophenolate, e.g.), but some patients will continue to show symptoms despite these treatments and are categorized as 'refractory' (per the 2016 International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis). These patients have functional impairment requiring further medical intervention. In severe cases, referred to as 'myasthenic crisis', patients experience a loss in respiratory muscle function requiring intubation or mechanical ventilation. The 2016 International Consensus recommends IVIG be used in these cases to allow the patient to recover from the crisis. IVIG acts to bridge myasthenia gravis patients from exacerbation to recovery while further immunosuppressive care is allowed time to take effect.

There are multiple IVIG products available. No clinical trials have been conducted comparing the efficacy of one therapy to another. For treatment of primary immune deficiency disorder, the following are some, but not all, FDA-approved IVIG products to treat these conditions: Asceniv, Bivigam, Carimune, Privigen, Gammagard Liquid, and Octagam. Certain patient specific factors may affect which IVIG product is selected. Diabetic patients may want to avoid products containing maltose or glucose (Gammagard S/D, Octagam, e.g.). Patients with low tolerance for increased intravascular volume may want to avoid products high in sodium or albumin content (Bivigam, e.g.).

Priority Health also follows LCD L34771 for Immune Globulins.

References

1. Asceniv [Package Insert]. Boca Raton, FL; ADMA Biologics
2. Bonilla FA, et al. Practice parameter for the diagnosis and management of primary immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 136 (5): 1186 – 205
3. Sanders DB, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. Neurology. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L34771: Immune Globulins

Avastin (<i>bevacizumab</i>) Chemotherapy (J9035) only
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Avastin is bevacizumab injection. Bevacizumab is a vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor indicated for the treatment of multiple cancers including a) metastatic colorectal cancer, in combination with intravenous fluorouracil-based chemotherapy for first- or second-line treatment; b) metastatic colorectal cancer, in combination with fluoropyrimidine-irinotecan- or fluoropyrimidine oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy for second-line treatment in patients who have progressed on a first-line bevacizumab product-containing regimen; c) Unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent or metastatic non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer, in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel for first-line treatment; d) recurrent glioblastoma in adult; e) metastatic renal cell carcinoma in combination with interferon alfa, and more.</p> <p>Myvasi (bevacizumab-awwb) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Zirabev (bevacizumab-bvzr) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Per NCCN guidelines, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for bevacizumab.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Avastin [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: 2019 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts. 3. Mvasi [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen Inc.: 2023 4. Zirabev [Package Insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer Inc.: 2023 5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Central Nervous System Cancers (Version 1.2024) 6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Colon Cancer (Version 2.2024) 7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Kidney Cancer (Version 3.2024) 8. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (Version 5.2024)
Aveed (<i>testosterone undecanoate</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Per the 2018 Endocrine Society Clinical Practice guideline, testosterone therapy is recommended in hypogonadal men to induce and maintain secondary sex characteristics and correct symptoms of testosterone deficiency. After treatment initiation, patients should be evaluated for compliance and response to testosterone treatment, as well as adverse effects.</p>

In accordance with FDA label and clinical practice guidelines, hypogonadism should be confirmed by ensuring serum testosterone concentrations are below the normal range on 2 or more separate mornings. Per the American Urological Association (AUA) Guideline on Evaluation and Management of Testosterone Deficiency, a total testosterone level below 300 nanograms per deciliter (ng/dL) is a reasonable cut-off to support the diagnosis of low testosterone. In addition, the clinical diagnosis of testosterone deficiency should only be made when a patient has low total testosterone levels combined with symptoms and/or signs of low testosterone.

Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) should be measured in men over 40 years of age prior to the start of testosterone therapy to exclude a prostate cancer diagnosis.

Per the Clinical Guideline from the American College of Physicians Testosterone Treatment in Adult Men With Age-Related Low Testosterone, clinicians should consider intramuscular rather than transdermal formulations when initiating testosterone treatment as costs are considerably lower and clinical effectiveness and harms are similar. Evidence from 20 observational studies with a mean follow-up ranging from 0.73 to 10.3 years showed no increased risk for mortality, cardiovascular events, prostate cancer, or pulmonary embolism or deep venous thrombosis. No consistent differences were observed in harms according to transdermal versus intramuscular formulations in the included observational studies that addressed the comparison. Evidence from indirect comparisons suggests no substantial differences in clinical effectiveness, benefits, or harms between intramuscular and transdermal testosterone applications, although very little evidence exists from direct comparisons of the 2 formulations.

References

1. Aveed [Package Insert]. Malvern, PA; Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc.: 2020
2. Bhasin et al. Testosterone Therapy in Men with Hypogonadism: An Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, May 2018, 103(5):1715–1744.
3. Qaseem, A et al. Testosterone Treatment in Adult Men with Age-Related Low Testosterone: A Clinical Guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2020; 172(2): 126-133.
4. Mulhall JP, Trost LW, Brannigan RE, et al. Evaluation and management of testosterone deficiency: AUA guideline. J Urol 2018; 200:423

Avsola (*infliximab-axxq*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Avsola (infliximab-axxq) is a tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) indicated for Crohn's Disease (CD) and Ulcerative Colitis (UC) with an inadequate response to conventional therapy, fistulizing CD, Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), active ankylosing spondylitis (AS), psoriatic arthritis (PsA), and plaque psoriasis (PsO).

Ankylosing spondylitis 'AS' and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis 'NRAS' are related conditions. The 2019 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for AS and NRAS are similar. Recommended first-line agents include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) due to their well-known safety and efficacy profiles. For patients who have active disease despite treatment with NSAIDs, treatment with a TNFi is recommended. Guidelines do not favor one TNFi over another.

Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic, painful skin condition that varies in presentation. There are no established treatment guidelines for this condition, but the foundation for HS has put forth evidence-based recommendations. Initial treatment includes topical and systemic antibiotics with progression to biologics if refractory or unresponsive to initial treatment. Antibiotics have been used to treat HS for decades; there is robust evidence to show symptom improvement and patient tolerability. Biologic agents (e.g., TNFi, IL-1, IL-12/IL-23 inhibitors) have shown some benefit in small studies but lack the robust support to make strong recommendations for dosing, appropriate goals of therapy, and duration of treatment.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend mercaptopurine, azathioprine, and methotrexate in symptomatic CD despite prior corticosteroid use. TNFi agents are effective in those with inadequate response to these initial therapies.

Per the 2020 American Gastroenterology Association guidelines, multiple agents effectively induce and maintain remission of UC, including corticosteroids, 5-aminosalicylates '5-ASA', and biologics. Treatment of mild-to-moderate UC is typically started with 5-ASA therapy. In those who do not respond to 5-ASA therapy, induction can be achieved through short-term corticosteroids. Once induction is achieved, maintenance can be managed with thiopurines. Methotrexate is not recommended for induction or maintenance of remission in UC, whereas biologic agents do have support for use in these treatment areas. Guidelines do not favor one biologic over another, nor do they favor biologics over thiopurine monotherapy for those in remission.

For Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) for moderate or high disease activity despite prior conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD). Guidelines do not favor one bDMARD over another, however TNFi agents have the most documented safety and efficacy profiles.

Per the 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic), recommended treatments include methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i), IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition.

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients

with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic is suggested.

References

1. Alikhan A, Sayed C, Alavi A, et al. North American clinical management guidelines for hidradenitis suppurativa: A publication from the United States and Canadian Hidradenitis Suppurativa Foundations: Part I: Diagnosis, evaluation, and the use of complementary and procedural management. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;81(1):76-90. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2019.02.067
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 – 6
3. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
4. Avsola (infliximab-axxq) [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA,;Amgen, Inc: 2019
5. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 April; 113 (4): 481-517
6. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.
7. Ward, MM, Deodhar, A, Akl, EA, et al. 2019 Update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Oct;71(10):1599-1613

Benlysta IV (belimumab) vial

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Benlysta is a B-lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS)-specific inhibitor indicated for the treatment of patients aged 5 years and older with active systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) who are receiving standard therapy and patients aged 5 years and older with active lupus nephritis (LN) who are receiving standard therapy. Benlysta has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other biologic drug or Lupkynis.

In the absence of contraindications, the 2019 European League Against Rheumatism–European Renal Association–European Dialysis and Transplant Association (EULAR/ERA–EDTA) recommends hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) for all patients with SLE or LN. Glucocorticoids (GC) can provide rapid symptom relief, but various detrimental effects limit use. Initiation of immunosuppressive (IS) drugs facilitates GC tapering and may prevent disease flares. Immunosuppressive options include methotrexate, azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, and cyclophosphamide.

The 2019 EULAR/ERA–EDTA guidelines state that the diagnostic and prognostic value of kidney biopsy for LN remains indispensable and recommends it not be substituted by other clinical or laboratory variables. Class II does not usually require immunosuppressive therapy.

Classes III-IV include an induction regimen followed by maintenance treatment with mycophenolate or azathioprine.

Guidelines recommend Benlysta be considered as an add-on treatment to facilitate GC sparing, control extra-renal lupus activity, and decrease the risk for extra-renal flares. Guidelines recommend Benlysta be considered in extrarenal disease with inadequate control (ongoing disease activity or frequent flares) to first-line treatments (typically including combination of HCQ and prednisone with or without IS agents), and inability to taper GC daily dose to acceptable levels. Treatment in SLE should aim at remission or at low disease activity in all organ systems (if remission cannot be achieved). In LN, therapy should aim at least partial remission ($\geq 50\%$ reduction in proteinuria to subnephrotic levels and serum creatinine within 10% from baseline) to complete renal remission (proteinuria < 500 mg/24 hours and SCr within 10% from baseline). Some patients may require longer treatment duration and half of patients not reaching this goal may still have stable long-term kidney function.

Benlysta was studied in patients with active SLE disease and a Safety of Estrogens in Lupus Erythematosus National Assessment-Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SELENA-SLEDAI) score of > 4 and showed no significant differences between any of the groups receiving Benlysta and the placebo group in the percent change in SELENA-SLEDAI score at 24 weeks or in time to first flare within 52 weeks. However, Benlysta did appear to be beneficial in the subgroup of patients who were autoantibody positive (antinuclear antibody titer 1:80 or greater and/or anti-double-stranded DNA [anti-dsDNA] 30 IU/ml or greater at day 0). Benlysta was then further studied in patients with active SLE disease with a SELENA-SLEDAI score ≥ 6 and positive autoantibody test results. Patients receiving Benlysta 10 mg/kg plus standard therapy achieved a significantly higher SRI-4 response than the group receiving placebo plus standard therapy. The SRI uses the SELENA-SLEDAI score as an objective measure of reduction in global disease activity; along with the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG) organ domain score(s) and the Physician's Global Assessment (PGA) score.

References

1. Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, et al. 2019 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2019;78:1151-1159. DOI: 10.1002/art.40930
2. Benlysta [Package Insert]. Rockville, MD; Human Genome Sciences, Inc.: 2018
3. Fanouriakis A, Kostopoulou M, Alunno A, et al. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2019;78:736–745. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215089
4. Fanouriakis A, Kostopoulou M, Cheema K, et al. 2019 update of the Joint European League Against Rheumatism and European Renal Association– European Dialysis and Transplant Association (EULAR/ ERA–EDTA) recommendations for the management of lupus nephritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020; 79: 713 –23. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-216924
5. Tunnicliffe DJ, Singh-Grewal D, Kim S, et al. Diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review of clinical practice guidelines. 2015 Oct; 67 (10): 1440 – 52. DOI: 10.1002/acr.22591
6. Wallace D.J., Stohl W., Furie R.A., et al. A phase II, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study of belimumab in patients with active systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum*, 61 (9) (2009), pp. 1168-1178

Beqvez (*fidanacogene elaparovvec-dzkt*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Beqvez is an adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector-based gene therapy that is indicated as a one-time therapy for the prevention of bleeding episodes in adult individuals with moderate-severe to severe hemophilia B.

Hemophilia B is a rare genetic bleeding disorder in which affected individuals have insufficient levels of factor IX. It is the second most common type of hemophilia and caused by mutations in the F9 gene. This gene is located on the X chromosome and thus the disease is inherited as an X-linked recessive trait.

Symptoms can range from mild, going almost unnoticed to severe where patients have a factor level of less than 1% and often have bleeding for no known reason, especially in joints and muscles. Mild cases typically do not need prophylactic therapy and may only require on-demand factor for injuries or surgery while severe cases require preventative treatment.

Standard of care for hemophilia B includes the use of factor IX replacement therapy. To have been enrolled in the BENEENE-2 trial, patients needed to be stable on factor IX therapy prior to administration. Note that patients in the study population had a FIX activity level of less than or equal to 2% of normal. There is no data to support use of Beqvez following prior use of Beqvez or another AAV-based gene therapy.

References

1. Beqvez™ intravenous infusion [prescribing information]. New York, NY: Pfizer; April 2024.
2. Klamroth R, Kalac M, Fuiman J, et al, on behalf of the BENEENE-2 investigators. Efficacy and safety of fidanacogene elaparovvec in adults with moderately severe or severe hemophilia B: updated results from the phase 3 BENEENE-2 gene therapy trial. Presented at: the 17th Annual Congress of the European Association for Haemophilia and Allied Disorders (EAHAD 2024); Frankfurt, Germany; February 6-9, 2024.
3. National Hemophilia Foundation. Bleeding Disorders A-Z/Types/Hemophilia B. Accessed at: <https://www.hemophilia.org/bleeding-disorders-az/types/hemophilia-b>
4. Srivastava A, Santagostino E, Dougall A, et al. Guidelines for the management of hemophilia, 3rd edition. Haemophilia. 2020;26(Suppl 6):1-158.
5. World Federation of Hemophilia. Guidelines for the management of hemophilia. Haemophilia. 2020 August 3. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/hae.14046>

Bivigam (<i>immune globulin</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) are human derived antibodies used to treat various autoimmune, infectious, and idiopathic diseases including, but not limited to: Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy (CIDP), Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL), multiple myeloma, myasthenia gravis, and Immune Thrombocytopenia (ITP).</p> <p>Primary immunodeficiency affects the body's natural immune system's ability to combat infection. These are genetic disorders that can be treated by undergoing hemopoietic stem cell transplantation, by receiving preventative medicine (like antibiotics to reduce infection risk) or managing with supportive care. IVIG plays a role in these patients' treatment by reducing infection risk and limiting the potential for disease complications.</p> <p>Myasthenia gravis is a rare autoimmune disease that can lead to fatigue and generalized muscle weakness. Treatment options include corticosteroids and immunosuppressive therapies (azathioprine, mycophenolate, e.g.), but some patients will continue to show symptoms despite these treatments and are categorized as 'refractory' (per the 2016 International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis). These patients have functional impairment requiring further medical intervention. In severe cases, referred to as 'myasthenic crisis', patients experience a loss in respiratory muscle function requiring intubation or mechanical ventilation. The 2016 International Consensus recommends IVIG be used in these cases to allow the patient to recover from the crisis. IVIG acts to bridge myasthenia gravis patients from exacerbation to recovery while further immunosuppressive care is allowed time to take effect.</p> <p>There are multiple IVIG products available. No clinical trials have been conducted comparing the efficacy of one therapy to another. For treatment of primary immune deficiency disorder, the following are some, but not all, FDA-approved IVIG products to treat these conditions: Asceniv, Bivigam, Carimune, Privigen, Gammagard Liquid, and Octagam. Certain patient specific factors may affect which IVIG product is selected. Diabetic patients may want to avoid products containing maltose or glucose (Gammagard S/D, Octagam, e.g.). Patients with low tolerance for increased intravascular volume may want to avoid products high in sodium or albumin content (Bivigam, e.g.).</p> <p>Priority Health follows LCD L34771 for Immune Globulins.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bivigam [Package Insert]. Boca Raton, FL; ADMA Biologics 2. Bonilla FA, et al. Practice parameter for the diagnosis and management of primary immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 136 (5): 1186 – 205 3. Sanders DB, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. Neurology. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L34771: Immune Globulins

Boniva IV (<i>ibandronate sodium</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Ibandronate (Boniva) injection is a bisphosphonate indicated for the treatment of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women.</p> <p>The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis 2020 Update strongly recommends pharmacologic therapy for the following patients with listed T-scores in the spine, femoral neck, total hip, or 1/3 radius of: a) between -1.0 and -2.5 and a history of fragility fracture of the hip or spine, b) -2.5 or lower, or c) between -1.0 and -2.5 if the FRAX® 10-year probability for major osteoporotic fracture is ≥20% or the 10-year probability of hip fracture is ≥3% in the U.S. or above the country-specific threshold in other countries or regions.</p> <p>Four bisphosphonates (alendronate, ibandronate, risedronate, and zoledronate) are available in the U.S. which are all available as generic preparations. The AACE Guidelines recommend (in the absence of contraindications) those who have “high fracture risk” can be started on oral agents.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Boniva [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentec USA, Inc.: 2011 2. Camacho PM, Petak SM, Binkley N, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis-2020 update. Endocr Pract. 2020;26:1–46. DOI: 10.4158/GL-2020-0524SUPPL
Botulinum toxins type A and type B
<p>Botox (<i>onabotulinumtoxin A</i>)</p> <p>Daxxify (<i>daxibotulinumtoxinA-lanm</i>)</p> <p>Dysport (<i>abobotulinumtoxin A</i>)</p> <p>Myobloc (<i>rimabotulinumtoxin B</i>)</p> <p>Xeomin (<i>incobotulinumtoxin A</i>)</p>
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Voluntary muscular contraction depends on the release of the neurotransmitter, acetylcholine. Botulinum toxin, a neurotoxin, is injected into the muscle to block the release of acetylcholine, leading to weakness or paralysis of the muscle.</p>

Multiple commercial botulinum toxin type A and type B products are currently available: Botox (onabotulinumtoxinA), Daxxify (daxibotulinumtoxinA), Dysport (abobotulinumtoxinA), Myobloc (rimabotulinumtoxinB), and Xeomin (incobotulinumtoxinA). However, the various botulinum toxin products are not interchangeable and approved indications for these products differ. Medical expertise is required to convert patients from one product or formulation to another.

At comparable doses, the botulinum toxin A can be considered therapeutically equivalent and one botulinum toxin A product is not considered superior to the others.

The American Academy of Neurology guidelines provide a level A recommendation (established as effective and should be offered for migraine prevention) for multiple beta blockers and antiepileptic drugs and a level B recommendation (probably effective and should be considered for migraine prevention) for some anti-depressants when used for migraine prevention. Updated guidelines also provide a level A recommendation for botulinum in chronic and episodic migraine prevention.

For clinically significant sialorrhea, anticholinergic medications may be helpful. An example includes glycopyrrolate, particularly because of its relatively low central nervous system activity.

The American Urological Association recommends the use of botulinum toxin A as a third-line treatment option in patients who have been refractory to first- and second-line overactive bladder treatments (Grade B). First- line treatments include behavioral therapies (Grade B); Second-line treatments include anti-muscarinic agents and oral B3-adrenoceptors agonists (Grade B).

Traditional options have not been shown to be less efficacious than botulinum toxins. Given their well-known safety profiles, traditional options should be considered first-line in most indications, including migraine prevention, hyperhidrosis, chronic anal fissures, sialorrhea, overactive bladder and detrusor over activity.

References

1. Botox [Package Insert]. Irvine, CA; Allergan, Inc.: 2017
2. Daxxify [Package Insert]. Newark, CA; Revance Therapeutics, Inc.: 2022
3. Dysport [Package Insert]. Wrexham, UK; Ipsen Biopharm Ltd.: 2016
4. Myobloc [Package Insert]. Rockville, MD; Solstice Neurosciences.: 2020
5. S.D. Silberstein, S. Holland, F. Freitage, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: pharmacologic treatment for episodic migraine prevention in adults: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Headache Society. *Neurology* 2012; 78(17), 1337–1345. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182535d20>
6. S.D. Silberstein(2015). Preventive Migraine Treatment. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 21(4 Headache), 973–989. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000199>
7. Xeomin [Package Insert]. Frankfurt, Germany; Merz Pharmaceuticals: 2018
8. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L33646 Botulinum Toxins
9. Lightner DJ, Gomelsky A, Souter L, Vasavada SP. Diagnosis and Treatment of Overactive Bladder (Non-Neurogenic) in Adults: AUA/SUFU Guideline Amendment 2019. *J Urol.* 2019;202(3):558-563. doi:10.1097/JU.0000000000000309

10. Arbouw ME, Movig KL, Koopmann M, et al. Glycopyrrolate for sialorrhea in Parkinson disease: a randomized, double-blind, crossover trial. *Neurology* 2010; 74:1203
11. Evidence-based guideline update: Pharmacologic treatment for episodic migraine prevention in adults | *Neurology*
12. Practice guideline update summary: Botulinum neurotoxin for the treatment of blepharospasm, cervical dystonia, adult spasticity, and headache | *Neurology*

Breyanzi (*lisocabtagene maraleucel*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Priority Health follows NCD 110.24 for Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-Cell Therapy.

References

1. Breyanzi [Package Insert]. Bothell, WA; Bristol-Myers Squibb: 2022
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 110.24 Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell Therapy.

Carvykti (*ciltacabtagene autoleucel*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Priority Health follows NCD 110.24 for Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-Cell Therapy.

References

1. Carvykti [Package Insert]. Horsham, PA; Janssen Biotech, Inc.: 2023
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 110.24 Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell Therapy.

Casgevy (*exagamglogene autotemcel*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is a group of inherited disorders caused by a mutation in the beta globin gene, resulting in an abnormal hemoglobin called sickle hemoglobin (HbS). With SCD, these sickled red blood cells cannot bend or move easily through the rest of the body, blocking blood flow and causing severe episodes of pain, referred to as vaso-occlusive events (VOEs), and other serious health complications including stroke, deep vein thrombosis, and infections.

Several medications are available and effective in reducing the occurrence of VOEs. Hydroxyurea is the mainstay of therapy while other SCD medications like Endari are also recommended for patients either alone or in combination with hydroxyurea.

Safety and efficacy of Casgevy in SCD were evaluated in the CLIMB-121 trial. Participants had severe SCD with documented β^S/β^S , β^S/β^0 , and β^S/β^+ genotypes, which represent more severe forms of the disease. Severe SCD was defined by having at least 2 VOEs each year during the previous 2 years despite appropriate supportive care (such as hydroxyurea). Key exclusion criteria included advanced liver disease, prior treatment with an allogeneic stem cell transplant, and prior or current malignancy or immunodeficiency disorder. There is currently no data supporting administration of Casgevy following administration of another gene therapy or a stem cell transplant.

Individuals are required to undergo hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) mobilization followed by apheresis to obtain CD34+ cells for CASGEVY manufacturing. Therefore, adequate organ function is required to support the myeloablative conditioning regimen associated with Casgevy, and patients should be clinically stable to undergo this HSCT process.

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References

1. Casgevy [prescribing information]. Boston, MA: Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated; 2024.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sickle cell disease (SCD). Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/sicklecell/index.html>.
3. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Evidence-based management of sickle cell disease: expert panel report, 2014.
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. A safety and efficacy study evaluating CTX001 in subjects with severe sickle cell disease. Available at: <https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03745287>.
5. 2019–2021 American Society of Hematology (ASH) Clinical Practice Guidelines on Sickle Cell Disease.

6. Cappellini MD, Cohen A, Porter J, et al. Guidelines for the management of transfusion dependent thalassemia. 2021. Available at: https://issuu.com/internationalthalassaemiafederation/docs/final_guideline_4th
7. Clinicaltrials.gov. A safety and efficacy study evaluating CTX001 in subjects with transfusion-dependent β thalassemia (NCT03655678). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03655678>.

Cimzia (*certolizumab pegol*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Cimzia is a tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) indicated for Crohn's Disease (CD), Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), active ankylosing spondylitis (AS), psoriatic arthritis (PsA), and plaque psoriasis (PsO).

Ankylosing spondylitis 'AS' and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis 'NRAS' are related conditions. The 2019 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for AS and NRAS are similar. Recommended first-line agents include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) due to their well-known safety and efficacy profiles. For patients who have active disease despite treatment with NSAIDs, treatment with a TNFi (Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria) is recommended. Cosentyx has a role in those who do not respond to initial TNFi agent. Guidelines do not favor one TNFi over another, nor do they address JAK inhibitors (Rinvoq, Xeljanz), however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in those who had previously had inadequate response to a TNFi.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend mercaptopurine, azathioprine, and methotrexate in symptomatic CD despite prior corticosteroid use. TNFi agents (i.e. Humira) are effective in those with inadequate response to these initial therapies. Other bDMARDs (Skyrizi) and tsDMARDs (Rinvoq) are not addressed by the guidelines, however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in this condition.

For Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) for moderate or high disease activity despite prior conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD). Guidelines do not favor one bDMARD (i.e. Skyrizi, Actemra, Cosentyx, Orencia) over another nor do they favor tsDMARD (Xeljanz, Rinvoq) over bDMARD.

Per the 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic), recommended treatments include methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i),

IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition. These include Humira, Enbrel, Skyrizi, and Cosentyx. Otezla is also a recommended treatment option included in the guidelines.

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic (Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria, Orencia, Skyrizi) or JAK inhibitor (Rinvoq, Xeljanz) is recommended.

Cimzia has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Cimzia in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Cimzia [Package Insert]. Smyrna, GA; UCB, Inc.: 2016
2. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
3. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of Crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 April; 113 (4): 481-517
4. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.
5. Ward, MM, Deodhar, A, Akl, EA, et al. 2019 Update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Oct;71(10):1599-1613

Cinqair (*reslizumab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Cinqair (reslizumab) is an interleukin-5 (IL-5) antagonist indicated for severe eosinophilic asthma add-on therapy. IL-5 is responsible for the growth and survival of eosinophils which contribute to inflammation in the lungs.

The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) Guidelines on difficult-to-treat & severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients recommend using type 2-targeted biologic agents as add-on for patients with exacerbations and/or poor symptom control despite taking at least high-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and long-acting beta agonist (LABA) combinations, and who have allergic or eosinophilic biomarkers or need maintenance oral corticosteroids. Type 2-inflammation is defined as blood eosinophils $\geq 150/\mu\text{l}$ and/or FeNO ≥ 20 ppb and/or sputum

eosinophils $\geq 2\%$ and/or asthma is clinically allergen driven. GINA guidelines also advise treatment should be optimized prior to initiating a biologic agent. For therapy optimization, consider trials of non-biologic medications in addition to medium/high dose ICS, such as LABA, long-acting muscarinic agonists (LAMA), and leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA).

Four studies demonstrated safety and efficacy of Cinqair. Patients received either reslizumab 3mg/kg IV every 4 weeks or placebo. Patients were followed to assess impact of drug on asthma exacerbations and lung function (FEV1). An exacerbation was defined as 1) worsening of symptoms requiring systemic corticosteroids; 2) increase in dose of existing inhaled or oral corticosteroids; or 3) need for asthma-related emergency treatment (hospital admission, urgent care or unscheduled office visit with physician). Results showed a decrease in the number of exacerbations, an increase in time to first exacerbation, and an overall improvement in lung function (FEV1).

Cinqair has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Cinqair in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Cinqair [Package Insert]. West Chester, PA; Teva Respiratory, LLC: 2020
2. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2023
3. Global Initiative for Asthma. Difficult-To-Treat & Severe Asthma in adolescents and adult patients, 2023.

Cinryze (C1 esterase inhibitor [human])

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Cinryze (C1 esterase inhibitor [Human]) is a C1 esterase inhibitor indicated for routine prophylaxis against angioedema attacks in patients 6 years of age and older with Hereditary Angioedema (HAE).

HAE is divided into 2 general types: HAE-C1INH or HAE-nI-C1INH. The US Hereditary Angioedema Association (HAEA) Medical Advisory Board 2020 Guidelines for the Management of HAE divide medications for long-term prophylaxis (LTP) in HAE-C1INH into 2 categories: first-line or second-line. IV pdC1INH replacement (Cinryze), subcutaneous pdC1INH replacement (Haegarda), and a monoclonal inhibitor of plasma kallikrein (lanadelumab, Takhzyro) are recommended as first-line therapies. Anabolic androgens (ie, Danazol) and antifibrinolytics (tranexamic acid or epsilon aminocaproic acid) are

recommended as second-line therapies. When LTP is indicated for patients with HAE-C1INH, the US HAEA MAB recommends the use of any of the first-line medications listed.

References

1. Busse, P. J., Christiansen, S. C., Riedl, M. A., Banerji, A., Bernstein, J. A., Castaldo, A. J., Craig, T., Davis-Lorton, M., Frank, M. M., Li, H. H., Lumry, W. R., & Zuraw, B. L. (2021). US HAEA Medical Advisory Board 2020 Guidelines for the Management of Hereditary Angioedema. *The journal of allergy and clinical immunology. In practice*, 9(1), 132–150.e3.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2020.08.046>
2. Cinryze [Package Insert]. Lexington, MA; ViroPharm Biologics, LLC.: 2022
3. Takhzyro [Package Insert]. Lexington, MA; Dyax Corp.: 2023

Cosentyx IV (*secukinumab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Cosentyx is an interleukin-17 (IL-17) receptor A antagonist indicated for Plaque Psoriasis (PsO), Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA), Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), and Ankylosing Spondylitis (AS).

Ankylosing spondylitis 'AS' and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis 'NRAS' are related conditions. The 2019 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for AS and NRAS are similar. Recommended first-line agents include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) due to their well-known safety and efficacy profiles. For patients who have active disease despite treatment with NSAIDs, treatment with a TNFi (infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria) is recommended. Cosentyx has a role in those who do not respond to initial TNFi agent. Guidelines do not favor one TNFi over another, nor do they address JAK inhibitors (Rinvoq, Xeljanz), however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in those who had previously had inadequate response to a TNFi.

For Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) for moderate or high disease activity despite prior conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD). Guidelines do not favor one bDMARD (i.e. Skyrizi, Actemra, Cosentyx, Orencia, infliximab) over another nor do they favor tsDMARD (Xeljanz, Rinvoq) over bDMARD.

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic (infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria, Orencia, Skyrizi) or JAK inhibitor (Rinvoq, Xeljanz) is recommended.

Per the 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic), recommended treatments include methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i), IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition. These include infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Skyrizi, and Cosentyx. Otezla is also a recommended treatment option included in the guidelines.

Cosentyx has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Cosentyx in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. COSENTYX [prescribing information]. East Hanover, New Jersey: Novartis
2. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
3. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.
4. Ward, MM, Deodhar, A, Akl, EA, et al. 2019 Update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Oct;71(10):1599-1613

Docivyx (docetaxel)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Docivyx (docetaxel) is a microtubule inhibitor indicated for treatment of breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), gastric adenocarcinoma (GC), and squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN).

Docivyx is a new formulation of docetaxel that was developed to be polysorbate 80 free. The presence of polysorbate 80 in the intravenous formulation of docetaxel has been implicated in hypersensitivity systemic reactions (HSRs) that were observed in the early clinical studies. In those studies, the incidence of HSRs ranged from 5% to 40%, with most events being grade 2 in severity on the four-point scale of the National Cancer Institute common toxicity criteria. Consequently, patients treated with the conventional formulation of docetaxel are premedicated with oral corticosteroids. Aside from the potential to lessen hypersensitivity reactions, there is no data to support a safety or efficacy benefit of Docivyx over generic

docetaxel. In addition, Docivyx carries the same hypersensitivity warnings its labeling as docetaxel (Taxotere).

Step therapy is applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, or biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.

References

1. Docivyx [Package Insert]. New Jersey; Avyxa LLC: 2023.
2. Docetaxel intravenous injection [Package Insert]. Durham, NC; Accord Healthcare, Inc: 2013.
3. Schwartzberg, LS and Navari, RM. (2018). Safety of Polysorbate 80 in the Oncology Setting. *Advances in therapy*, 35(6), 754–767.
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs>.
5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf
6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

Durysta (*bimatoprost intraocular implant*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Glaucoma is a leading cause of blindness and is impacted by elevated intraocular pressure (IOP). Durysta (bimatoprost intracameral implant [biodegradable]) is indicated for open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension patients, working to lower IOP and slow disease progression. The goal of treatment is to maintain IOP in a target range to preserve visual function and overall quality of life.

Bimatoprost is one of several prostaglandin F receptor agonists, including topical inagents (latanoprost, travoprost, tafluprost). The American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) recommends this class as first-line in IOP reduction due to the high efficacy, high tolerability, and convenient once-daily dosing. The AAO does not favor the use of one prostaglandin F receptor agonist over another. There are no studies comparing Durysta with another prostaglandin, but the majority of the other prostaglandins and Durysta were individually

studied against timolol ophthalmic solution and found to be non-inferior in their abilities to lower IOP.

Lowering IOP can be achieved with monotherapy or multiple agents. If a drug fails to reduce IOP sufficiently, the AAO recommends switching to an alternative (as monotherapy) or adding a second medication with a different mechanism of action until the desired IOP level is attained.

References

1. Durysta [Package Insert]. Madison, NJ; Allergan USA, INC.: 2020
2. American Academy of Ophthalmology: Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma Preferred Practice Pattern, 2020.

Elevidys (*delandistrogene moxeparvovec-rokl*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Elevidys is a gene therapy for the treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). DMD is a rare, progressive X-linked disease resulting from mutation(s) of the DMD gene, also known as the Dystrophin gene. Due to the mutation(s), the dystrophin protein, which is key for maintaining the structural integrity of muscle cells, is not produced or very minimally produced. Elevidys encodes for a micro-dystrophin protein to replace the missing dystrophin protein.

Elevidys was granted initial accelerated approval in patient age 4 to 5 years based on clinical trial results showing increase levels of micro-dystrophin and secondary endpoint favoring improvement in NSAA score in patient aged 4 to 5 years. Full FDA approval for treatment of ambulatory patients with DMD age 4 years and older was granted based on results of confirmatory phase III trial EMBARK. This trial included only patients age 4 to less than 8 years old who were ambulatory and also were required to have anti-rAAVrh74 titer of less than 1:400. Accelerated approval for non-ambulatory patients age 4 and older was granted based on trial data showing an increase in micro-dystrophin levels in this population, but data showing a statistically significant improvement in a patient clinical outcome has not been confirmed yet. Thus, clinical study data to date has only confirmed possible clinical benefit to use of this product in patients age 4 to less than 8 years, who are ambulatory, and have a anti-rAAVrh74 titer of less than 1:400.

References

1. Elevidys [package insert]. Cambridge, MA; Sarepta Therapeutic Inc. June 2024, Accessed August 2024

2. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Gene Transfer Therapy Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Delandistrogene Moxeparvovec (SRP-9001) in patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) (EMBARK) (NCT05096221). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05096221>
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Gene Transfer Study to Evaluate the Safety of Delandistrogene Moxeparvovec (SRP-9001) in Participants With Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) (NCT03375164). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03375164>
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Study of Delandistrogene Moxeparvovec (SRP-9001) for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03769116>

Enjaymo (*sutimlimab-jome*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Enjaymo (*sutimlimab-jome*) injection is a classical complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of hemolysis in adults with cold agglutinin disease (CAD) to be given as 6,500 mg (in patients weighing 39 kg to less than 75 kg) or 7,500 mg by intravenous infusion (in patients weighing 75 kg or more) weekly for two weeks then every two weeks thereafter.

Cold agglutinin disease (CAD) is the “least uncommon” subtype of cold antibody-mediated autoimmune hemolytic anemias (cAIHA). ‘Diagnosis and treatment of autoimmune hemolytic anemia in adults: Recommendations from the First International Consensus Meeting’ defines primary CAD with chronic hemolysis, a significant CA titre (most often defined as > 64) at 4 °C, typical findings by the DAT, and the absence of an underlying clinical disease. The group suggests treatment would usually not be recommended for patients whose Hb is > 10 g/dL, but exceptions could be made for some populations. Symptoms may include acrocyanosis, Raynaud phenomenon, hemoglobinuria, and circulatory symptoms. For most patients without relevant symptoms or problems, consider watchful waiting. For patients with CAD requiring therapy, blood transfusions can be given when indicated and rituximab with or without bendamustine should be considered first line.

Treatment goal is to improve quality of life and increase hemoglobin levels in patients with symptom-producing anemia, which may include achievement of transfusion independency and/or improvement or resolution of disabling cold-induced circulatory symptoms.

Enjaymo was studied in the CARDINAL study, which included patients with cold agglutinin disease and a recent transfusion (within 6 months) with an Hb of 10 g/dL or less and total bilirubin level above the normal range plus one or more symptoms. The single arm study found 54% of patients treated with Enjaymo achieved a normalization of hemoglobin to 12 g/dL or more or an increase of 2 g/dL or more from baseline at weeks 23, 25, and 26 without red blood cell transfusion or need for non-protocol cold agglutinin disease medications from week 5 to 26.

Enjaymo has not been studied in combination with other biologic drugs. As such, use of Enjaymo in combination with other biologic drugs is not recommended and will not be covered.

References

1. Enjaymo [Package Insert]. Waltham, MA; Bioverative USA Inc.: 2022
2. Jäger, U., Barcellini, W., et al. (2020). "Diagnosis and treatment of autoimmune hemolytic anemia in adults: Recommendations from the First International Consensus Meeting." Blood Rev 41: 100648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2019.100648>

Entyvio (*vedolizumab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Entyvio is an integrin receptor antagonist indicated for Ulcerative Colitis (UC) and Crohn's Disease (CD).

Per the 2020 American Gastroenterology Association guidelines, multiple agents effectively induce and maintain remission of UC, including corticosteroids, 5-aminosalicylates '5-ASA', and biologics. Treatment of mild-to-moderate UC is typically started with 5-ASA therapy. In those who do not respond to 5-ASA therapy, induction can be achieved through short-term corticosteroids. Once induction is achieved, maintenance can be managed with thiopurines. Methotrexate is not recommended for induction or maintenance of remission in UC, whereas biologic agents do have support for use in these treatment areas. Guidelines do not favor one biologic (i.e. Humira, Entyvio) over another, nor do they favor biologics over thiopurine monotherapy for those in remission. The guidelines do not address tsDMARDs (Rinvoq, Xeljanz), however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in this condition.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend mercaptopurine, azathioprine, and methotrexate in symptomatic CD despite prior corticosteroid use. TNFi agents (i.e. Humira) are effective in those with inadequate response to these initial therapies. Other bDMARDs (Skyrizi, Entyvio) and tsDMARDs (Rinvoq) are not addressed by the guidelines, however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in this condition.

Entyvio has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Entyvio in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Entyvio [Package Insert]. Lexington, MA; Takeda Pharmaceuticals U.S.A. Inc: 2022

2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450–6
3. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of Crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 April; 113 (4): 481-517

Epogen (*epoetin alfa*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs), including epoetin alfa and darbepoetin alfa, are primarily used to manage cancer- and chemotherapy-induced anemia (CIA). Use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) to manage anemia raises hemoglobin (Hgb) levels and reduces the need for RBC transfusions. Depending on clinical circumstances, ESAs may be offered to patients with chemotherapy-associated anemia whose cancer treatment is not curative in intent and whose hemoglobin (Hgb) has declined to < 10 g/dL. RBC transfusion is also an option, depending on the severity of the anemia or clinical circumstance. ESAs may be offered to patients with lower risk myelodysplastic syndromes and a serum erythropoietin level ≤ 500 IU/L. In patients with myeloma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, or chronic lymphocytic leukemia, clinicians should observe the hematologic response to cancer treatment before considering an ESA. In all cases, blood transfusion is a treatment option that should be considered. Per the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)/American Society of Hematology (ASH) Practice Guideline Update on Management of Cancer-Associated Anemia With Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents, the expert panel considers epoetin beta and alfa, darbepoetin, and biosimilar epoetin alfa to be equivalent with respect to effectiveness and safety. It is recommended that starting and modifying doses of ESAs follow FDA guidelines. Hgb may be increased to the lowest concentration needed to avoid or reduce the need for RBC transfusions, which may vary by patient and condition. ESAs should be discontinued in patients who do not respond within 6 to 8 weeks. Patients who do not respond to ESA treatment should be reevaluated for underlying tumor progression, iron deficiency, or other etiologies for anemia.

Per the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Clinical Practice Guideline for Anemia in CKD, after diagnosing anemia in a patient with CKD all correctable causes should be treated before considering ESA therapy. Above all, this recommendation is based on the observation that iron supplementation given to CKD patients with proven iron deficiency or impaired iron availability ('functional iron deficiency') generally leads to an increase in Hb. However, the correction of other deficiency states also may ameliorate anemia. In initiating and maintaining ESA therapy, they recommend balancing the potential benefits of reducing blood transfusions and anemia-related symptoms against the risks of harm in individual patients (e.g., stroke, vascular access loss, hypertension). For adult CKD non-dialysis patients with Hb concentration 10.0 g/dl they suggest that the decision whether to initiate ESA therapy be individualized based on the rate of fall of Hb concentration, prior response to iron therapy, the risk of needing a transfusion, the risks related to ESA therapy and the presence of symptoms attributable to anemia. For adult CKD stage 5 patients, they suggest that ESA therapy be used to

avoid having the Hb concentration fall below 9.0 g/dl (90 g/l) by starting ESA therapy when the hemoglobin is between 9.0–10.0 g/dl (90–100 g/l). Individualization of therapy is reasonable as some patients may have improvements in quality of life at higher Hb concentration and ESA therapy may be started above 10.0 g/dl (100 g/l). In all adult patients, they recommend that ESAs not be used to intentionally increase the Hb concentration above 13 g/dl (130 g/l).

Priority Health follows LCD L34633 for Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents (ESAs)

References

1. Epogen [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen Inc.: 2017
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L34633 Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents.
3. Eknayan G, Lameire N, Kasiske BL, et al. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for anemia in chronic kidney disease. 2012 August 2. J Intern Society of Nephrol. 2 (4): 282- 335.
4. Bohlius, J, Bohlke, K, Castelli, R, et al. Management of Cancer-Associated Anemia With Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents: ASCO/ASH Clinical Practice Guideline Update. JCO. 2019, April 10. 37 (15): 1336-1351.

Evenity (*romosozumab-aqqg*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Evenity (romosozumab-aqqg) is a humanized IgG2 monoclonal antibody and sclerostin inhibitor indicated for the treatment of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women at high risk for fracture, defined as a history of osteoporotic fracture, or multiple risk factors for fracture; or patients who have failed or are intolerant to other available osteoporosis therapy.

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis 2020 Update strongly recommends pharmacologic therapy for the following patients with listed T-scores in the spine, femoral neck, total hip, or 1/3 radius of: a) between -1.0 and -2.5 and a history of fragility fracture of the hip or spine, b) -2.5 or lower, or c) between -1.0 and -2.5 if the FRAX® 10-year probability for major osteoporotic fracture is ≥20% or the 10-year probability of hip fracture is ≥3% in the U.S. or above the country-specific threshold in other countries or regions.

Four agents (alendronate, risedronate, zoledronate, and denosumab) have evidence for “broad-spectrum” antifracture efficacy (spine, hip, and nonvertebral fracture risk reduction) and, in the absence of contraindications, are recommended as initial options for most patients who are candidates for treatment. A significant decrease in Bone Mineral Density

(BMD) or recurrent fractures in a patient who is compliant to therapy may indicate a treatment failure.

Contraindications to oral bisphosphonate administration include the inability to remain upright for 30 to 60 minutes and the presence of anatomic or functional esophageal abnormalities that might delay transit of the tablet (e.g., achalasia, stricture, or dysmotility). Also, bisphosphonates should be used with caution in patients with reduced kidney function.

After 12 monthly doses, the anabolic effect of Evenity wanes. As such, Evenity is limited to a 12 month duration of treatment. If osteoporosis therapy is still necessary, continued treatment with an antiresorptive agent should be considered (bisphosphonates, e.g.).

References

1. Camacho PM, Petak SM, Binkley N, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis-2020 Update. *Endocrine practice* : official journal of the American College of Endocrinology and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. 2020;26:1-46. DOI: 10.4158/GL-2020-0524SUPPL
2. Evenity [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen Inc.: 2019

Evkeeza (*evinacumab-dgnb*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Evkeeza is an angiopoietin-like 3 (ANGPTL3) inhibitor indicated as an adjunct to other low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) lowering therapies for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients, aged 5 years and older, with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HoFH). It is a recombinant human monoclonal antibody that binds to and inhibits ANGPTL3, a member of the angiopoietin-like protein family that is expressed primarily in the liver and plays a role in the regulation of lipid metabolism. Evinacumab-dgnb reduces LDL-C independent of the presence of LDL receptor (LDLR) by promoting very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) processing and clearance upstream of LDL formation. Patients with HoFH often have mutations in the LDLR gene, encoding for the LDL receptor (LDLR). Given the mechanism of action of statins, which exert their lipid-lowering effect partly by increasing the hepatic expression of LDLR, it is expected that homozygous FH subjects carrying null mutations on LDLR gene would not respond. However, these patients are responsive to statins, although to a lesser extent.

The 2018 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol, by American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association, recommends treatment with high intensity or maximally tolerated statin therapy for adult patients with LDL-C levels > 190 mg/dL due to the increased risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and both premature and

recurrent coronary events. If maximally tolerated statin therapy fails to reduce LDL-C by at least 50% and/or the LDL-C level remains > 100 mg/dL, the guideline suggests that additional ASCVD risk reduction can be derived from the addition of ezetimibe to statin therapy. Should LDL-C remain > 100 mg/dL despite treatment with a maximally tolerated statin and ezetimibe, addition of a PCSK9 inhibitor ((i.e. evolocumab, alirocumab) may be considered. In patients at very high risk whose LDL-C level remains ≥ 70 mg/dL (≥ 1.8 mmol/L) on maximally tolerated statin and ezetimibe therapy, adding a PCSK9 inhibitor is reasonable. Evolocumab (Repatha) and alirocumab (Praluent) both have approved indications as adjuncts to other LDL-C lowering therapies for the treatment of HoFH.

For children and adolescents 10 years of age and older with an LDL-C > 190 mg/dL or > 160 mg/dL with a clinical presentation consistent with familial hypercholesterolemia who do not respond adequately to 3 to 6 months of lifestyle therapy, the 2018 guidelines suggest initiation of statin therapy. Use of non-statin therapies to further treat HoFH in children is not addressed in the guidelines. However, Repatha is approved by the FDA for use in pediatric patients 10 years of age and older with HoFH in combination with diet and other LDL-C lowering therapies.

References

1. Evkeeza [Package Insert]. Tarrytown, NY; Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2021
2. Grundy SM, et al. 2018
AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. JACC Vol. 73, No. 24. 2019: e285-e350.
3. Raal FJ et al. Familial hypercholesterolemia treatments: Guidelines and new therapies. Atherosclerosis 277 (2018) 483-492

Fasenra (*benralizumab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Fasenra (benralizumab) is an interleukin-5 (IL-5) antagonist indicated for severe eosinophilic asthma add-on therapy and for the treatment of adult patients with eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA). IL-5 is responsible for the growth and survival of eosinophils which contribute to inflammation in the body.

The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) Guidelines on difficult-to-treat & severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients recommend using type 2-targeted biologic agents as add-on for patients with exacerbations and/or poor symptom control despite taking at least high-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and long-acting beta agonist (LABA) combinations, and who have allergic or eosinophilic biomarkers or need maintenance oral corticosteroids. Type

2-inflammation is defined as blood eosinophils $\geq 150/\mu\text{L}$ and/or FeNO ≥ 20 ppb and/or sputum eosinophils $\geq 2\%$ and/or asthma is clinically allergen driven. GINA guidelines also advise treatment should be optimized prior to initiating a biologic agent. For therapy optimization, consider trials of non-biologic medications in addition to medium/high dose ICS, such as LABA, long-acting muscarinic agonists (LAMA), and leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA). The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) Biologicals Guideline for severe asthma recommends Fasenra as add-on therapy in adults and pediatric patients 12 years and older with uncontrolled severe asthma uncontrolled by high-dosage ICS + LABA with baseline blood eosinophil cell counts >300 cells/ μL or >150 cells/ μL for oral corticosteroid (OCS)-dependent patients.

Fasenra was evaluated for safety and efficacy in three studies (SIROCCO, CALIMA, and ZONDA). In SIROCCO and CALIMA, patients with severe asthma despite previous treatments with medium-to-high dose ICS were randomized to receive Fasenra 30 mg every 4 weeks, every 8 weeks (following induction dosing every 4 weeks x 3 cycles), or placebo. Both studies found Fasenra reduced the number of exacerbations (defined as a need for systemic corticosteroids in response to uncontrolled symptoms OR a temporary increase in steroid maintenance doses) vs placebo. Lung function was also improved on treatment (FEV1). In the ZONDA study, significantly more patients were able to reduce the amount of daily corticosteroid use as a result of Fasenra adjunct treatment.

According to the 2021 American College of Rheumatology/Vasculitis Foundation Guideline for the Management of Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody–Associated Vasculitis, non-severe (vasculitis without life or organ-threatening manifestations) EGPA should be treated with glucocorticoid monotherapy. Additional first-line options include methotrexate, azathioprine, and mycophenolate. In adults with non-severe EGPA who are not in remission, the guidelines recommend adding mepolizumab to systemic glucocorticoids rather than cyclophosphamide, rituximab, or methotrexate. The guidelines do not mention Fasenra, however it is an (IL-5) antagonist, like mepolizumab, and decreases eosinophil levels and inflammation in the body. For patients with severe EGPA and organ or life-threatening disease manifestations, the guidelines recommend including cyclophosphamide or rituximab in the remission induction regimen rather than glucocorticoids alone. The efficacy of benralizumab in severe EGPA has not been established since patients with severe disease were excluded from the clinical trial, therefore use in severe EGPA is not supported.

Fasenra has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Fasenra in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Fasenra [Package Insert]. Sodertalje, Sweden; AstraZeneca AB: 2024
2. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2023

3. Global Initiative for Asthma. Difficult-To-Treat & Severe Asthma in adolescents and adult patients, 2023.
4. Bleecker ER, Fitzgerald JM, Chaney P, et al. Efficacy and safety of Fasenra for patients with severe asthma uncontrolled with high-dosage inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β_2 -agonists (SIROCCO): a randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2016;388:2115-2127
5. Agache I, Akdis CA, Akdis M, et al. EAACI Biologicals Guidelines-Recommendations for severe asthma. *Allergy*. 2021;76(1):14-44
6. Chung SA, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology/Vasculitis Foundation Guideline for the Management of Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Vasculitis, 2021.

Fynetra (*pegfilgrastim-pbbk*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts

monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post- remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

Per NCCN guidelines on Hemopoietic growth Factors, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for filgrastim and pegfilgrastim.

References

1. Fylnetra [Package Insert]. Piscataway, NJ; Kashiv Biosciences, LLC: 2022
2. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Gel-One (*hyaluronan/ hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally recommended against in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly recommended against in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Gel-One [Package Insert]. Warsaw, IN; Zimmer Biomet. 2011
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg>. Published 08/31/2021

4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

GenVisc 850 (*hyaluronan/ hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally recommended against in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly recommended against in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. GenVisc 850 [Package Insert]. Madrid, Spain; Tedec Meiji Farma
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpq>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Granix (*tbo-filgrastim*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well

established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post- remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

Per NCCN guidelines on Hemopoietic growth Factors, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for filgrastim and pegfilgrastim.

References

1. Granix [Package Insert] Vilnius, Lithuania; Sicor Biotech UAB: 2014
2. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Hemgenix (*etranacogene dezaparvovec-drlb*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Hemgenix is an adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector-based gene therapy indicated as a one-time treatment for adults with hemophilia B (congenital Factor IX deficiency) who use Factor IX prophylaxis therapy, have a current or historical life-threatening hemorrhage, or who have repeated, serious spontaneous bleeding episodes.

Hemophilia B is a rare genetic bleeding disorder in which affected individuals have insufficient levels of factor IX. It is the second most common type of hemophilia and caused by mutations in the F9 gene. This gene is located on the X chromosome and is thus inherited as an X-linked recessive trait. The AAV vector therapy delivers a functional copy of the F9 gene to the liver where functional factor IX is produced. Patients with high AAV5 antibody titers may not respond to therapy due to the neutralizing antibodies. Though the HOPE-B study did not exclude patients based on antibody titers, the trial had one non-responder to treatment whose antibody titer level was 1:700. It is important for providers to understand and be aware of the patient's antibody titer levels before administering treatment.

Symptoms of Hemophilia B can range from mild, going almost unnoticed to severe where patients have a factor level of less than 1% and often have bleeding for no known reason, especially in joints and muscles. Mild cases typically do not need prophylactic therapy and may only require on-demand factor for injuries or surgery while severe cases require preventative treatment. In its pivotal trial, participants were men of at least 18 years of age with inherited hemophilia B defined as severe with a factor IX activity level less than 1% or moderately severe with a factor IX activity level of 1 to 2%.

Standard of care for hemophilia B includes the use of factor IX replacement therapy. To have been enrolled in the HOPE-B trial, participants needed to be stable on factor IX therapy for 6 months prior to Hemgenix administration.

There is no data to support use of Hemgenix following prior use of Hemgenix or another AAV-based gene therapy.

References

1. Hemgenix [Package Insert]. Lexington, MA; uniQure, Inc.: 2022
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. HOPE-B: Trial of AMT-061 in severe or moderately severe hemophilia b patients (NCT03569891). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03569891>.
3. Merative Micromedex® DRUGDEX [database online]. Ann Arbor, MI: Merative L.P.; URL: <https://www.micromedexsolutions.com/>. Updated periodically.
4. Shapiro AD. Hemophilia b. 2018. Available at: <https://rarediseases.org/rare-diseases/hemophilia-b/>. Accessed on May 29, 2024.

Herceptin (<i>trastuzumab</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Herceptin (trastuzumab) is the reference product for multiple trastuzumab biosimilars. Trastuzumab biosimilars include, but may not be limited to Ontruzant (trastuzumab-dttb), Ogivri (trastuzumab-dkst), Herzuma (trastuzumab-pkrb), and Trazimera (trastuzumab-qyyp).</p> <p>The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and current treatment guidelines including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines support the use of FDA-approved trastuzumab biosimilars and do not favor one biosimilar or the reference product over another. Step therapy may be applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.</p> <p>Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Herceptin [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: 2010 2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024) 3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Gastric Cancer (Version 2.2024) 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs. 5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf 6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

Herceptin Hylecta (*trastuzumab and hyaluronidase*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Herceptin Hylecta (trastuzumab and hyaluronidase) is a monoclonal antibody that targets HER2 receptors on tumor cells that overexpress the protein, preventing further cell growth, ultimately leading to programmed cell death. Both breast and gastric cancers can be positive for the HER2 receptor, representing nearly a third of all breast cancer cases.

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and current treatment guidelines including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines support the use of trastuzumab (including biosimilars) in these conditions, and do not favor one biosimilar over another. Step therapy may be applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.

Priority Health follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

References

1. Herceptin Hylecta [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: 2019
2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024)
3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Gastric Cancer (Version 2.2024)
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs>.
5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf
6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

Herzuma (<i>trastuzumab-pkrb</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Herzuma is a trastuzumab biosimilar. Other trastuzumab biosimilars include Ontruzant (trastuzumab-dttb), Ogivri (trastuzumab-dkst), Kanjinti (trastuzumab-anns), and Trazimera (trastuzumab-qyyp).</p> <p>The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and current treatment guidelines including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines support the use of FDA-approved trastuzumab biosimilars and do not favor one biosimilar over another. Step therapy may be applied to certain Part B drugs and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.</p> <p>Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Herzuma [Package Insert]. Yeonsu-gu, Incheon; Celltrion, Inc.: 2019 2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024) 3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Gastric Cancer (Version 2.2024) 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs. 5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf 6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

Hyalgen (*hyaluronan/ hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally not recommended in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly not recommended in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinically meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Hyalgen [Package Insert]. Abano Terme, Padua; Fidia Farmaceutici S.p.A.: 1997
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg>. Published 08/31/2021

4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Hymovis (*hyaluronan/ hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally not recommended in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly not recommended in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Hymovis [Package Insert]. Abano Terme, Padua; Fidia Farmaceutici S.p.A
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpq>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

iDose TR (*travoprost intracameral implant*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Glaucoma is a leading cause of blindness and is impacted by elevated intraocular pressure (IOP). iDose TR (travoprost intracameral implant) is indicated for open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension patients, working to lower IOP and slow disease progression. The goal of treatment is to maintain IOP in a target range to preserve visual function and overall quality of life.

Travoprost is one of several prostaglandin F receptor agonists, including another implant, Durysta (bimatoprost), and several topical agents (latanoprost, bimatoprost, tafluprost). The American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) recommends this class as first-line in IOP reduction due to the high efficacy, high tolerability, and convenient once-daily dosing. The AAO does not favor the use of one prostaglandin F receptor agonist over another.

iDose TR is implanted through a corneal incision and is not intended to be repeated following initial treatment. The titanium reservoir provides controlled and sustained release of travoprost. Two pivotal studies (GC-010 and GC-012) compared the results of iDose TR to another IOP treatment, timolol 0.5% ophthalmic solution. Results showed no significant change in vision between treatment arms. There are no studies comparing iDose TR with another prostaglandin, but the other prostaglandins were individually studied most often against timolol ophthalmic solution and found to be non-inferior. Durysta was also found to be non-inferior to timolol.

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References

1. IDOSE TR (travoprost implant) [prescribing information]. San Clemente, CA: Glaukos Corp.; 2023
2. American Academy of Ophthalmology: Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma Preferred Practice Pattern, 2020

Iheezo 3% (chloroprocaine hcl/ pf gel eye drops)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Iheezo is an ester anesthetic indicated for ocular surface anesthesia.</p> <p>The most commonly used drugs for topical anesthesia during ophthalmic procedures are oxybuprocaine, proparacaine, tetracaine drops and lidocaine gel. The viscosity of gel formulations increases time on the ocular surface of the local anesthetics which results in increased drug exposure in the deeper tissues and reduces its systemic absorption, following topical administration. Iheezo is an ophthalmic gel 3% that has a viscosity not exceeding 2,000 cps. Topical anesthetic solutions with lower viscosity, such a tetracaine 0.5% ophthalmic solution have viscosity between 15 and 25 cps, are rapidly cleared from the surface of the cornea. A prospective randomized study compared the efficacy and safety of Iheezo 3% gel to tetracaine 0.5% eye drops in patients undergoing cataract surgery. Just before intraocular lens implantation) 150/163 patients (92.0%) in the chloroprocaine group and 153/169 patients (90.5%) in the tetracaine group achieved surface anesthesia with no supplementation. For both treatment groups median time to obtain surface anesthesia and mean duration of anesthesia was 1 and 22 min, respectively. The study established clinical equivalence between Iheezo 3% gel and tetracaine 0.5% eye drop and concluded that Iheezo is a valid therapeutic alternative cataract surgery and other less invasive ophthalmic procedures.</p> <p>In 2 randomized studies chloroprocaine applied topically to the eye provided full conjunctival anesthesia in significantly more patients compared with placebo (study 1, 90% vs 12%; study 2, 95% vs 20%). The median time to onset of anesthesia was 0.67 minutes in both studies and the median duration of anesthesia was 14.3 and 19.3 minutes. All chloroprocaine-treated patients received 3 drops instilled to the eye and study 2 included single or multiple instillations.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts. Iheezo [Package Insert]. Coutances, France; Laboratoire Unither: 2022 Figus M, Giansanti F, Villani E, et al. Chloroprocaine 3% Gel as a Novel Ocular Topical Anesthetic: Results from a Multicenter, Randomized Clinical Trial in Patients Undergoing Cataract Surgery. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther. 2024;40(2):117-125.

Ilumya (<i>tildrakizumab</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Ilumya is an interleukin-23 (IL-23) antagonist indicated for Plaque Psoriasis (PsO).</p> <p>Per the 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic), recommended treatments include methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i), IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition. These include infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Skyrizi, Cosentyx and Ilumya. Otezla is also a recommended treatment option included in the guidelines.</p> <p>Ilumya has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Ilumya in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ilumya [Package Insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck & CO., Inc.: 2018 2. Menter A, et al. Joint American Academy of Dermatology-National Psoriasis Foundation guidelines of care for the management of psoriasis with systemic nonbiologic therapies. <i>J Am Acad Dermatol.</i> 2020;82(6):1445-1486. 3. Menter A, et al. Joint AAD-NPF guidelines of care for the management and treatment of psoriasis with biologics. <i>J Am Acad Dermatol.</i> 2019;80(4):1029-1072.
Iluvien (<i>fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Iluvien (fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant) contains a corticosteroid and is indicated for the treatment of diabetic macular edema in patients who have been previously treated with a course of corticosteroids and did not have a clinically significant rise in intraocular pressure.</p> <p>Diabetic macular edema (DME) is defined as the presence of intraretinal fluid (edema) and thickening involving the macula, the part of the retina responsible for central vision. It is a vision-threatening complication of diabetes and can occur at any stage or severity of diabetic retinopathy. Edema that is centrally located within the macula can be associated with more substantial decreases in visual acuity.</p>

The efficacy of Iluvien was assessed in two three-year, randomized (2:1, active: sham), multicenter, double-masked, parallel groups studies that enrolled patients with diabetic macular edema that had previously been treated with laser photocoagulation. The primary efficacy endpoint in both trials was the proportion of subjects in whom vision had improved by 15 letters or more from baseline after 24 months of follow-up. A 15-letter or more improvement in best corrected visual acuity score occurred in significantly more patients who received fluocinolone acetonide implants compared with sham injections or standard of care for the treatment of diabetic macular edema. A post hoc analysis investigated the long-term effects of intravitreal 0.19 mg fluocinolone acetonide implant on progression and regression of diabetic retinopathy. The data set found that intravitreal fluocinolone 0.2 and 0.5 mcg/day implants significantly delayed the development of proliferative diabetic retinopathy and the progression of diabetic retinopathy compared with placebo.

References

1. Iluvien [Package Insert]. Alpharetta, GA; Alimera Science, Inc.: 2014
2. Wykoff CC, Chakravarthy U, Campochiaro PA, et al: Long-term effects of intravitreal 0.19 mg fluocinolone acetonide implant on progression and regression of diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmology 2017; 124(4):440-449.

Infliximab injection (J1745 - excludes biosimilar, 10 mg)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Infliximab is a tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) indicated for Crohn's Disease (CD) and Ulcerative Colitis (UC) with an inadequate response to conventional therapy, fistulizing CD, Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), active ankylosing spondylitis (AS), psoriatic arthritis (PsA), and plaque psoriasis (PsO).

Ankylosing spondylitis 'AS' and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis 'NRAS' are related conditions. The 2019 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for AS and NRAS are similar. Recommended first-line agents include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) due to their well-known safety and efficacy profiles. For patients who have active disease despite treatment with NSAIDs, treatment with a TNFi is recommended. Guidelines do not favor one TNFi over another.

Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic, painful skin condition that varies in presentation. There are no established treatment guidelines for this condition, but the foundation for HS has put forth evidence-based recommendations. Initial treatment includes topical and systemic antibiotics with progression to biologics if refractory or unresponsive to initial treatment. Antibiotics have been used to treat HS for decades; there is robust evidence to show symptom

improvement and patient tolerability. Biologic agents (e.g., TNFi, IL-1, IL-12/IL-23 inhibitors) have shown some benefit in small studies but lack the robust support to make strong recommendations for dosing, appropriate goals of therapy, and duration of treatment.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend mercaptopurine, azathioprine, and methotrexate in symptomatic CD despite prior corticosteroid use. TNFi agents are effective in those with inadequate response to these initial therapies.

Per the 2020 American Gastroenterology Association guidelines, multiple agents effectively induce and maintain remission of UC, including corticosteroids, 5-aminosalicylates '5-ASA', and biologics. Treatment of mild-to-moderate UC is typically started with 5-ASA therapy. In those who do not respond to 5-ASA therapy, induction can be achieved through short-term corticosteroids. Once induction is achieved, maintenance can be managed with thiopurines. Methotrexate is not recommended for induction or maintenance of remission in UC, whereas biologic agents do have support for use in these treatment areas. Guidelines do not favor one biologic over another, nor do they favor biologics over thiopurine monotherapy for those in remission.

For Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) for moderate or high disease activity despite prior conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD). Guidelines do not favor one bDMARD over another, however TNFi agents have the most documented safety and efficacy profiles.

Per the 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic), recommended treatments include methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i), IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition.

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic is suggested.

References

1. Alikhan A, Sayed C, Alavi A, et al. North American clinical management guidelines for hidradenitis suppurativa: A publication from the United States and Canadian Hidradenitis Suppurativa Foundations: Part I: Diagnosis, evaluation, and the use of complementary and procedural management. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;81(1):76-90. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2019.02.067
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 – 6
3. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
4. Infliximab injection [Package Insert]. Horsham, PA; Janssen Biotech, Inc.: 1998

5. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of Crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 April; 113 (4): 481-517
6. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.
7. Ward, MM, Deodhar, A, Akl, EA, et al. 2019 Update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Oct;71(10):1599-1613

Infugem (*gemcitabine hcl*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Infugem is a gemcitabine injection. Gemcitabine is a nucleoside metabolic inhibitor indicated for multiple cancers including: a) in combination with carboplatin, for the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer that has relapsed at least 6 months after completion of platinum-based therapy, b) in combination with paclitaxel, for first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer after failure of prior anthracycline-containing adjuvant chemotherapy, unless anthracyclines were clinically contraindicated, c) in combination with cisplatin for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer, and d) as a single agent for the treatment of pancreatic cancer.

Priority Health also follows LCD (L37205) for Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.
2. Infugem [Package Insert]. Gujarat, India; Sun Pharmaceutical Ind. Ltd.: 2018

Izervay (*avacincaptad pegol sodium/PF*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Izervay (avacincaptad pegol) is a complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of geographic atrophy (GA) secondary to age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

The American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) state that an estimated 80% of patients with AMD have non-neovascular or atrophic AMD. The neovascular form is responsible for a large fraction of the severe central visual acuity (VA) loss associated with AMD.

Diagnostic testing such as optical coherence tomography (OCT) is important in diagnosing and managing AMD. OCT defines the cross-sectional architecture of the retina, which is not possible with any other imaging technology and can aid in determining the presence of subretinal and intraretinal fluid and in documenting the degree of retinal thickening. AAO also suggests that fundus autofluorescence is helpful to demonstrate areas of geographic atrophy and monitor their progression. Outcome goals are to reverse or minimize visual loss and improve visual function.

At this time, Izervay has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other medications used to treat GA.

References

1. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Phase 3 Safety and Efficacy Study of Intravitreal Administration of Zimura (Complement C5 Inhibitor). NCT04435366 (GATHER2).
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. Zimura in Participants with Geographic Atrophy Secondary to Dry AgeRelated Macular Degeneration NCT02686658) (GATHER1).
3. Izervay [Package Insert]. Parsippany, NJ: IVERIC bio, Inc.; August 2023
4. Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Bailey ST, et al. Age-related macular degeneration preferred practice pattern. *Ophthalmology*. 2020 Jan (updated March 2022); 127 (1): 1 - 65. DOI: 10.1016/j.opthta.2019.09.024

Kanjinti (*trastuzumab-anns*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Kanjinti is a trastuzumab biosimilar. Other trastuzumab biosimilars include Ontruzant (trastuzumab-dttb), Ogivri (trastuzumab-dkst), Herizuma (trastuzumab-pkrb), and Trazimera (trastuzumab-qyyp).

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and current treatment guidelines including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines support the use of FDA-approved trastuzumab biosimilars and do not favor one biosimilar over another. Step therapy may be applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.

Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

References

1. Kanjinti (trastuzumab-anns) [package insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA: Amgen Inc.; 2019.
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs>.
3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024)
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Gastric Cancer (Version 2.2024)

Kimyrsa (*oritavancin*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Kimyrsa (oritavancin) is indicated for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (SSTIs) caused by susceptible methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolates in adults for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections caused by susceptible isolates.

Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) advises that clinical evaluation of patients with SSTI aims to establish the cause and severity of infection and must take into account pathogen-specific and local antibiotic resistance patterns. There has been a significant increase in the frequency and severity of infections and the emergence of resistance to many of the antimicrobial agents commonly used to treat SSTIs in the past. Some of this increased frequency is related to the emergence of community-associated MRSA.

Gram stain and culture is recommended when evaluating purulent SSTIs (cutaneous abscesses, furuncles, carbuncles, and inflamed epidermoid cysts). Incision and drainage is the recommended treatment for inflamed epidermoid cysts, carbuncles, abscesses, and large furuncles. The decision to administer antibiotics directed against *S. aureus* as an adjunct to incision and drainage should be made based upon presence or absence of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). For moderate purulent infections in patients with systemic signs of infections, empiric treatment with trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMZ) or doxycycline is recommended. For MRSA infections TMP/SMX should be used and for MSSA infections dicloxacillin or cephalexin should be used. For severe purulent infections in patients

who have failed incision and drainage plus oral antibiotics or those with systemic signs of infection, empiric treatment and/or confirmed MRSA should be treated with vancomycin, daptomycin, linezolid, telavancin or ceftaroline. If methicillin-sensitive staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) is confirmed, nafcillin, cefazolin or clindamycin are recommended.

Cultures of blood or cutaneous aspirates, biopsies, or swabs are not routinely recommended for non-purulent SSTI (necrotizing infection, cellulitis, erysipelas). For mild infections without systemic signs of infection, patients should receive an antimicrobial agent that is active against streptococci (penicillin VK, cephalosporin, dicloxacillin or clindamycin). For moderate infections with systemic signs of infection, intravenous treatment with penicillin, ceftriaxone, cefazolin or clindamycin is recommended. In severe infection, in patients who have failed oral antibiotic treatment or those with systemic signs of infection, emergent surgical inspection/debridement is recommended to rule out necrotizing process. Patients should also receive empiric treatment with vancomycin plus piperacillin/tazobactam. For treatment of streptococcal skin infections, in patients with a severe penicillin sensitivity, clindamycin, vancomycin, linezolid, daptomycin or telavancin are recommended. The guidelines do not mention oritavancin.

In adults with cellulitis/erysipelas, wound infection, or major cutaneous abscesses due to MRSA (n=405), single-dose oritavancin 1200 mg IV was associated with an early clinical response rate of 81.4% and a clinical success rate of 83.3%; these results were similar to those achieved with vancomycin 1 g or 15 mg/kg IV every 12 hours for 7 to 10 days (80.6% early clinical response rate and 84.1% clinical success rate).

References

1. Kimyrsa [Package Insert]. Lincolnshire, IL; Melinta Therapeutics, LLC.: 2021
2. Stevens DL, Bisno AL, Chambers HF, et al. Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Clinical Infect Dis 2014; 59(2): e10–e52
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Patients With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO II). (NCT 01252732). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT01252732>
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Participants With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO I). (NCT 01252719). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT01252719>

Kisunla (*donanemab-azbt*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Kisunla is indicated for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. It was studied in patients with confirmed presence of amyloid pathology and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or mild dementia stage of disease, consistent with Stage 3 and Stage 4 Alzheimer's disease. Kisunla demonstrated substantial benefit compared to placebo in slowing Alzheimer's disease progression. The benefit was seen through several cognitive and function-based endpoints including the integrated Alzheimer's Disease Rating Scale (iADRS) and the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale – Sum of Boxes (CDR-SB). Dosing was continued or stopped based on observed effects on amyloid imaging. Reduction of brain amyloid plaque levels is considered a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit. There was no data beyond the 76 weeks of Study 1 (NCT04437511) to determine whether additional dosing with Kisunla may be needed for longer-term clinical benefit.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) released a national policy for coverage of monoclonal anti-amyloid antibodies approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Under this national policy, Medicare covers FDA-approved anti-amyloid antibodies under Coverage with Evidence Development (CED) when they are furnished in accordance with the prespecified coverage criteria for patients who have a clinical diagnosis of MCI due to Alzheimer's disease or mild Alzheimer's disease dementia, both with confirmed presence of amyloid beta pathology consistent with Alzheimer's disease. Monoclonal antibodies directed against amyloid that are approved based on evidence from a surrogate endpoint considered reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit may be covered in a randomized controlled trial conducted under an investigational new drug (IND) application. Monoclonal antibodies directed against amyloid that are approved based on evidence from a direct measure of clinical benefit may be covered in CMS-approved prospective comparative studies (study data may be collected in a registry). Refer to CMS's NCD: Monoclonal Antibodies Directed Against Amyloid for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease for a full description of criteria and evidence.

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 200.3: Monoclonal Antibodies Directed Against Amyloid for the Treatment of ALZHEIMER's Disease (AD). April 7, 2022.
2. Kisunla [Package Insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly and Company: 2024
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study of Donanemab (LY3002813) in Participants With Early Alzheimer's Disease (TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04437511>

Krystexxa (<i>pegloticase</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Krystexxa (pegloticase) is a PEGylated uric acid specific enzyme indicated for the treatment of chronic gout in adult patients refractory to conventional therapy.</p> <p>The 2020 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Management of Gout strongly recommends either allopurinol or febuxostat over probenecid for patients with moderate-to-severe chronic kidney disease (CKD; stage ≥ 3). They also strongly recommended against the choice of Krystexxa as a first-line therapy due to cost, safety concerns, and favorable benefit-to-harm ratios of other available treatment options.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. FitzGerald JD, Dalbeth N, Mikuls T, et al.: 2020 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the management of Gout. <i>Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)</i>. 2020, 72:744-760. DOI: 10.1002/acr.24180 2. Krystexxa [Package Insert]. East Brunswick, NJ; Savient Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2012
Kymriah (<i>tisagenlecleucel</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Priority Health follows NCD 110.24 for Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-Cell Therapy.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 110.24 Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell Therapy. 2. Kymriah [Package Insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation: 2022

Lamzede (*velmanase alfa-tycv*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Alpha-mannosidosis is an ultra-rare genetic lysosomal storage disorder beginning in childhood and progressing through adulthood. The mutation of the MAN2B1 gene results in a deficiency of alpha-mannosidase which means the body is not able to break down alpha-mannosyl rich N-linked oligosaccharides. This can cause impaired cellular function and apoptosis. Complete absence of a functional enzyme can cause early childhood death due to deterioration of the central nervous system. Enzymes with low activity can lead to a milder form of disease which may include symptoms such as impaired hearing, cognitive impairment, susceptibility to bacterial infections and skeletal deformities.

Lamzede is an enzyme replacement therapy used to treat non-central nervous system manifestations of the rare genetic disorder alpha-mannosidosis. This is a recombinant human lysosomal alpha-mannosidase enzyme. The enzyme catalyzes the degradation of accumulated mannose-containing oligosaccharides. Lamzede binds a mannose-6-phosphate receptor and gets transported into lysosomes where it can exert enzymatic breakdown of mannose-containing oligosaccharides.

The 2019 diagnostic algorithm of alpha-mannosidosis states analysis of oligosaccharides in urine can be considered as an initial screening procedure. This can be suggestive of disease but not a definite diagnosis. Determination of enzymatic activity is considered the first choice for screening. Alpha-mannosidosis is confirmed when patients have a biochemical assay showing alpha-mannosidase activity in white blood cells or skin fibroblasts less than 10% of normal and genotyping revealing two pathogenic mutations of the MAN2B1 gene.

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References

1. Guffon N, Tylki-Szymanska A, Borgwardt L, et al. Recognition of alpha-mannosidosis in pediatric and adult patients: presentation of a diagnostic algorithm from an international working group. *Mol Gen & Metab*. 2019; 126: 470 – 4
2. Lamzede [Package Insert]. Parma, Italy; Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.: 2023
3. National Organization of Rare Diseases. Alpha-Mannosidosis. Accessed May 22, 2024. Available at [Alpha-Mannosidosis - Symptoms, Causes, Treatment | NORD \(rarediseases.org\)](https://rarediseases.org/).

Lantidra (<i>donislecel-jujn</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Lantidra (donislecel-jujn) for hepatic portal vein infusion is an allogeneic pancreatic islet cellular therapy indicated for the treatment of adults with Type 1 diabetes who are unable to approach target HbA1c because of current repeated episodes of severe hypoglycemia despite intensive diabetes management and education. Use in conjunction with concomitant immunosuppression. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).</p> <p>The American Diabetes Association (ADA) “Standards of Care in Diabetes—2024” recommend treating most adults with type 1 diabetes with insulin. The ADA categorizes level 1 hypoglycemia as a measurable glucose concentration <70 mg/dL (<3.9 mmol/L) but greater than or equal to 54 mg/dL (greater than or equal to 3.0 mmol/L)), level 2 hypoglycemia as a blood glucose concentration <54 mg/dL [<3.0 mmol/L]), and level 3 hypoglycemia as a severe event characterized by altered mental and/or physical functioning that requires assistance from another person for recovery, irrespective of glucose level. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) can be a valuable tool for detecting and preventing hypoglycemia in many individuals with diabetes, and it is recommended for individuals treated with insulin. Use of CGM can lead to improved glucose levels, decreased hypoglycemia, and enhanced self-efficacy.</p> <p>The manufacturer of Lantidra advises when considering the risks associated with the infusion procedure and long-term immunosuppression, there is no evidence to show a benefit of administration of Lantidra in patients whose diabetes is well-controlled with insulin therapy or in patients with hypoglycemic unawareness who are able to prevent repeated severe hypoglycemic events using intensive diabetes management (including insulin, devices, and education).</p> <p>A second infusion of Lantidra may considered if the patient does not achieve independence from exogenous insulin within one year of infusion or within one year after losing independence from exogenous insulin after a previous infusion. Additionally, a third infusion may be performed using the same criteria as for the second infusion. However, there are no data regarding the effectiveness or safety for patients receiving more than three infusions.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lantidra [Package Insert]. Chicago, Illinois; CellTrans Inc.: 2023 2. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Care in Diabetes—2024. January 2024. Available at: https://diabetesjournals.org/care/issue/47/Supplement_1 3. Clinicaltrials.gov. Islet Transplantation in Type I Diabetic Patients Using the University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC) Protocol. NCT03791567 and NCT00679042

Lenmeldy (<i>atidarsagene autotemcel</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Lenmeldy (atidarasagen autotemcel) is an autologous hematopoietic stem cell-based gene therapy for the treatment of children with metachromatic leukodystrophy (MLD) with presymptomatic late infantile (PSLI), presymptomatic early juvenile (PSEJ), or early symptomatic early juvenile (ESEJ) subtypes. Late infantile onset is the most common and severe form of the disease with symptoms starting before 30 months of age. Juvenile onset form is heterogenous in presentation with symptoms starting between 30 months to 6 years old for early juvenile and age 7 to 16 years for late juvenile.</p> <p>Consensus guidelines for monitoring and management of MLD in the United States recommends that gene therapy be considered in early onset MLD including late infantile and early juvenile subtypes. In late-onset MLD, including late juvenile and adult subtypes, hematopoietic cell transplant should be considered for patients with no or minimal disease involvement.</p> <p>Documentation of biochemical and molecular diagnosis of MLD is based on arylsulfatase A (ARSA) activity below the normal range and identification of two disease-causing ARSA alleles, either known or novel mutations. Novel mutations required a 24-hour urine collection to show elevated sulfatide levels for inclusion in the clinical trials. In the clinical trials, patients with ESEJ subtype were excluded if they had a Gross Motor Function Classification – MLD (GMFC-MLD) of 2 or greater indicating a loss of capacity of walking independently.</p> <p>There is no data to support use of Lenmeldy following HSCT or after use of another MLD gene therapy.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Lenmeldy [package insert]. Boston, PA; Orchard Therapeutics NA; March 2024. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Single Arm, Open Label, Clinical Study of Cryopreserved Autologous CD34+ Cells Transduced With Lentiviral Vector Containing Human ARSA cDNA (OTL-200), for the Treatment of Early Onset Metachromatic Leukodystrophy (MLD). (NCT03392987) Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03392987 Clinicaltrials.gov. A Phase I/II Clinical Trial of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Gene Therapy for the Treatment of Metachromatic Leukodystrophy. (NCT01560182) Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT01560182 Adang LA, Bonkowsky JL, Boelens JJ, et al. Consensus guidelines for the monitoring and management of metachromatic leukodystrophy in the United States. <i>Cytotherapy</i>. 2024;26(7):739-748. doi:10.1016/j.jcyt.2024.03.487 Institute for Clinical and Economic Review. Atidarsagene Autotemcel for Metachromatic Leukodystrophy. October 30, 2023. Accessed June 2024. Available at: https://icer.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/MLD-Final-Evidence-Report_For-Publication_10302023.pdf

Leqembi (<i>lecanemab-irmb</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Leqembi (lecanemab-irmb) is indicated for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. It was studied in patients with confirmed presence of amyloid pathology and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or mild dementia, consistent with Stage 3 and Stage 4 Alzheimer's disease. Leqembi significantly reduced decline in cognition and function compared to placebo from baseline to 18 months, with statistically significant changes starting around six months.</p> <p>The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) released a national policy for coverage of monoclonal anti-amyloid antibodies approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Under this national policy, Medicare covers FDA-approved anti-amyloid antibodies under Coverage with Evidence Development (CED) when they are furnished in accordance with the prespecified coverage criteria for patients who have a clinical diagnosis of MCI due to Alzheimer's disease or mild Alzheimer's disease dementia, both with confirmed presence of amyloid beta pathology consistent with Alzheimer's disease. Monoclonal antibodies directed against amyloid that are approved based on evidence from a surrogate endpoint considered reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit may be covered in a randomized controlled trial conducted under an investigational new drug (IND) application. Monoclonal antibodies directed against amyloid that are approved based on evidence from a direct measure of clinical benefit may be covered in CMS-approved prospective comparative studies (study data may be collected in a registry). Refer to CMS's NCD: Monoclonal Antibodies Directed Against Amyloid for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease for a full description of criteria and evidence.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 200.3: Monoclonal Antibodies Directed Against Amyloid for the Treatment of ALZHEIMER's Disease (AD). April 7, 2022. Leqembi [Package Insert]. Nutley, NJ; Eisai Inc.: 2023 Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study to Confirm Safety and Efficacy of Lecanemab in Participants With Early Alzheimer's Disease (Clarity AD) NCT03887455. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03887455

Leqvio (*inclisiran*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Leqvio (*inclisiran*) is a small interfering RNA (siRNA) directed to PCSK9 (proprotein convertase subtilisin kexin type 9) mRNA indicated as an adjunct to diet and maximally tolerated statin therapy for the treatment of adults with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) or clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), who require additional lowering of low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C).

The 2018 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol, by American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association, recommends treatment with high intensity or maximally tolerated statin therapy for adult patients with LDL-C levels > 190 mg/dL due to the increased risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and both premature and recurrent coronary events. If with a high-intensity statin the patient experiences statin-associated side effects that are not severe (e.g., myalgias), the statin dose can be reduced or alternate statins can be trialed with the ultimate goal of treating with a guideline-recommended maximally tolerated statin. If maximally tolerated statin therapy fails to reduce LDL-C by at least 50% and/or the LDL-C level remains > 100 mg/dL, the guideline suggests that additional ASCVD risk reduction can be derived from the addition of ezetimibe to statin therapy. Should LDL-C remain > 100 mg/dL despite treatment with a maximally tolerated statin and ezetimibe, addition of a PCSK9 inhibitor may be considered. In patients at very high risk whose LDL-C level remains ≥ 70 mg/dL (≥ 1.8 mmol/L) on maximally tolerated statin and ezetimibe therapy, adding a PCSK9 inhibitor is reasonable.

In the 2022 American College of Cardiology (ACC) Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on the Role of Nonstatin Therapies for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in the Management of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk, PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies (i.e., evolocumab, alirocumab) are preferred as the initial PCSK9 inhibitor of choice in view of its demonstrated safety, efficacy, and benefits for cardiovascular outcomes in the FOURIER and ODYSSEY Outcomes trials. Inclisiran may be considered in patients with demonstrated poor adherence to PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies. Patients with adverse effects from both PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies or those who may be unable to self-inject may also be considered for therapy with Inclisiran.

There is currently no evidence or mechanistic plausibility for additional efficacy in LDL-C lowering or cardiovascular outcomes benefit for combination therapy with a PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies and inclisiran when added to maximally tolerated statin therapy with or without ezetimibe; therefore, if inclisiran is to be used, it should be used in place of a PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies.

References

1. Leqvio [Package Insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation: 2023

2. Grundy SM, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. JACC Vol. 73, No. 24. 2019: e285-e350.
3. Lloyd-Jones D, Morris P, et al. 2022 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on the Role of Nonstatin Therapies for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in the Management of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022 Oct, 80 (14) 1366–1418.
4. McGowan MP, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2019; 8:e013225

Lumizyme (*alglucosidase alfa*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Lumizyme (alglucosidase alfa) for injection is a hydrolytic lysosomal glycogen-specific enzyme indicated for patients with Pompe disease (GAA deficiency). Lumizyme is dosed 20 mg per kg body weight and administered every 2 weeks. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

Common signs and symptoms of Pompe disease include cardiomegaly, cardiomyopathy, feeding difficulties, failure to thrive, hypotonia, muscle weakness, respiratory distress, and respiratory infections. Late-onset Pompe disease is characterized by a lack of severe cardiac involvement and patients present with symptoms related to skeletal muscle dysfunction affecting proximal lower limb and paraspinal trunk muscles, progressing to the diaphragm and accessory muscles of respiration. Patients with Pompe disease are typically managed by metabolic disease specialists/biochemical geneticists and neuromuscular experts. In the ‘Pompe disease diagnosis and management guideline’, experts recommend enzyme activity analysis with acid α -glucosidase (GAA) assay performed on skin fibroblasts (as the preferred tissue) or muscle biopsy, as the “gold standard” to confirm a diagnosis of Pompe disease. Mutation testing is also useful in identifying carriers when a familial mutation is known and can aid in confirmation of the diagnosis.

In the studies, treatment with Lumizyme IV for a median of 120 weeks demonstrated a reduction in the risk of requiring invasive ventilation by 58% and a reduced risk of death by 79% in infants (Infantile-Onset) compared with untreated historical controls. Studies also suggest correlation of treatment with Lumizyme and improvement in cardiac and skeletal muscle function in infants with glycogen storage disease type II (Pompe disease). In studies of treatment-naïve patients with late-onset Pompe disease, Lumizyme increased percent of predicted forced vital capacity (FVC) and significantly increased the distance walked on a 6-minute walk test at week 78 compared with placebo. Lumizyme has not been studied and

there is no data to support use in combination with other enzyme replacement therapy (e.g., Nexvazyme, Pombiliti) used to treat late-onset Pompe disease.

References

1. Lumizyme [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Genzyme Corporation: 2010
2. American College of Medical Genetics – Pompe Disease Diagnosis and Management Guideline, 2006. doi: 10.1097/01.gim.0000218152.87434.f3

Lyfgenia (*lovotibeglogene autotemcel*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is a group of inherited disorders caused by a mutation in the beta globin gene, resulting in an abnormal hemoglobin called sickle hemoglobin (HbS). With SCD, these sickled red blood cells cannot bend or move easily through the rest of the body, blocking blood flow and causing severe episodes of pain, referred to as vaso-occlusive events (VOEs), and other serious health complications including stroke, deep vein thrombosis, and infections.

Several medications are available and effective in reducing the occurrence of VOEs. Hydroxyurea is the mainstay of therapy while other SCD medications like Endari are also recommended for patients either alone or in combination with hydroxyurea.

Safety and efficacy of Lyfgenia (Lovotibeglogene Autotemcel) in SCD were evaluated in the HGB-206 trial. Participants had severe SCD with documented β^S/β^S , β^S/β^0 , and $\beta^S \beta^+$ genotypes, which represent more severe forms of the disease. Severe SCD was defined by having at least 4 VOEs each year during the previous 2 years despite appropriate supportive care (such as hydroxyurea). Key exclusion criteria included, but were not limited to: advanced liver disease, prior treatment with an allogeneic stem cell transplant, and prior or current malignancy or immunodeficiency disorder. There is currently no data supporting administration of Lyfgenia following administration of another gene therapy or a stem cell transplant. The American Society of Hematology (ASH) has not incorporated gene therapies (Lyfgenia, Casgevy) into guidelines, citing that more studies are needed to determine long-term benefits (reduced organ complications and prolonged survival rates) versus the current standard of care. There are no guidelines or head-to-head studies favoring one gene therapy over another.

Individuals are required to undergo hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) mobilization followed by apheresis to obtain CD34+ cells for Lyfgenia manufacturing. Therefore, adequate organ function is required to support the myeloablative conditioning regimen associated with Lyfgenia, and patients should be clinically stable to undergo this HSCT process.

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References

1. Lyfgenia [prescribing information]. Somerville, MA: Bluebird Bio, Inc.; 2023
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sick cell disease (SCD). Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/sicklecell/index.html>.
3. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Evidence-based management of sickle cell disease: expert panel report, 2014.
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. A study evaluating the safety and efficacy of the lentiGlobin BB305 drug product in severe sickle cell disease (NCT02140554).
5. 2019–2021 American Society of Hematology (ASH) Clinical Practice Guidelines on Sickle Cell Disease.

Margenza (*margetuximab-cmkb*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Margenza (margetuximab-cmkb) is a receptor antagonist that targets HER2 receptors on tumor cells that overexpress the protein, preventing further cell growth, ultimately leading to programmed cell death. Both breast and gastric cancers can be positive for the HER2 receptor, representing nearly a third of all breast cancer cases.

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines support the use of trastuzumab (and biosimilars) in these conditions. NCCN Guidelines do not favor one biosimilar over another and recommends any Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved biosimilar to be used to treat these conditions.

Step therapy may be applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.

Priority Health follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

References

1. Margenza [Package Insert]. Rockville, MD; MacroGenics, Inc.: 2020
2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024)
3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Gastric Cancer (Version 2.2024)
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs>.

5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf
6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.)

Monovisc (*hyaluronan/ hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally recommended against in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly recommended against in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated.

Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Monovisc [Package Inset]. Bedford, MA; Anika Therapeutics, Inc.
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarth Cart* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpq>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Neupogen (*filgrastim*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post-remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

Per NCCN guidelines on Hemopoietic growth Factors, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for filgrastim and pegfilgrastim.

References

1. Neupogen [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen Inc.: 2013
2. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Nexviazyme (<i>avalglucosidase alfa</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Nexviazyme (avalglucosidase alfa-ngpt) for injection is a hydrolytic lysosomal glycogen-specific enzyme (enzyme replacement therapy) indicated for the treatment of patients 1 year of age and older with late-onset Pompe disease (lysosomal acid alpha-glucosidase [GAA] deficiency), to be administered 20 mg/kg in patients weighing ≥ 30 kg and 40 mg/kg in patients weighing < 30 kg. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).</p> <p>Common signs and symptoms of Pompe disease include cardiomegaly, cardiomyopathy, feeding difficulties, failure to thrive, hypotonia, muscle weakness, respiratory distress, and respiratory infections. Late-onset Pompe disease is characterized by a lack of severe cardiac involvement and patients present with symptoms related to skeletal muscle dysfunction affecting proximal lower limb and paraspinal trunk muscles, progressing to the diaphragm and accessory muscles of respiration. Patients with Pompe disease are typically managed by metabolic disease specialists/biochemical geneticists and neuromuscular experts. In the 'Pompe disease diagnosis and management guideline', experts recommend enzyme activity analysis with acid α-glucosidase (GAA) assay performed on skin fibroblasts (as the preferred tissue) or muscle biopsy, as the "gold standard" to confirm a diagnosis of Pompe disease. Mutation testing is also useful in identifying carriers when a familial mutation is known and can aid in confirmation of the diagnosis.</p> <p>In the studies, Nexviazyme achieved non-inferiority, improved forced vital capacity, and significantly increased the distance walked in a 6 minute walk test in treatment-naïve patients with late-onset Pompe disease from baseline to week 49 compared to patients treated with alglucosidase alfa (Lumizyme). Nexviazyme has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other enzyme replacement therapy (e.g. Lumizyme, Pombiliti) used to treat late-onset Pompe disease.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nexviazyme [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Genzyme Corporation 2. American College of Medical Genetics – Pompe Disease Diagnosis and Management Guideline, 2006. doi: 10.1097/01.gim.0000218152.87434.f3

Nucala (mepolizumab)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Nucala (mepolizumab) is an interleukin-5 (IL-5) antagonist indicated for severe eosinophilic asthma add-on therapy. IL-5 is responsible for the growth and survival of eosinophils which contribute to inflammation in the lungs. It is also approved for eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA) and hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES).

The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) Guidelines on difficult-to-treat & severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients recommend using type 2-targeted biologic agents as add-on for patients with exacerbations and/or poor symptom control despite taking at least high-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and long-acting beta agonist (LABA) combinations, and who have allergic or eosinophilic biomarkers or need maintenance oral corticosteroids. Type 2-inflammation is defined as blood eosinophils $\geq 150/\mu\text{L}$ and/or FeNO ≥ 20 ppb and/or sputum eosinophils $\geq 2\%$ and/or asthma is clinically allergen driven. GINA guidelines also advise treatment should be optimized prior to initiating a biologic agent. For therapy optimization, consider trials of non-biologic medications in addition to medium/high dose ICS, such as LABA, long-acting muscarinic agonists (LAMA), and leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA).

HES is a condition where high ($>1,500$ cells/mcL) eosinophil count leads to damage in the affected tissues (skin, lung, and GI tract). Treatment aims to reduce the total eosinophil count, decrease signs and symptoms, and prevent further disease progression. Initial treatment typically consists of either imatinib or glucocorticoids. The Guideline for the Investigation and Management of Eosinophilia in the British Journal of Hematology from January 2017 outlines further treatment strategies. In those who do not respond to initial steroid treatment or may respond but require chronic steroid use, DMARDs (azathioprine, cyclosporine) or other steroid-sparing drugs (hydroxyurea) should be considered. Nucala is another treatment consideration in this relapsed or refractory condition but is a category 2B recommendation per the Guideline. Nucala's pivotal trial included patients with eosinophil counts $>1,000$ cells/mcL, had a history of 2 or more flares within the past 12 months, and had been stable on HES therapy for at least 4 weeks prior to start of study.

According to the 2021 American College of Rheumatology/Vasculitis Foundation Guideline for the Management of Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody–Associated Vasculitis, Nucala is one of several recommended treatment options for the treatment of non-severe (vasculitis without life or organ-threatening manifestations) EGPA (plus glucocorticoids). Additional first-line options include methotrexate, azathioprine, and mycophenolate. In severe cases (vasculitis with life or organ threatening manifestations) of EGPA, rituximab is favored over Nucala in pursuit of remission. Nucala is not recommended as first-line for maintenance treatment (following remission). In cases of relapse on Disease-Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs 'DMARDs' (methotrexate, azathioprine, e.g.), the guidelines recommend Nucala be added to treatment.

The Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters GRADE guidelines for the medical management of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis (CRSwNP) recommends inhaled topical corticosteroids (INCS) be used first-line to treat CRSwNP due to their extensive safety and efficacy profiles. The Guidelines recommend biologic agents be used after at least 4 weeks trial with INCS therapy.

References

1. Nucala [Package Insert]. Philadelphia, PA; GlaxoSmithKline LLC: 2019
2. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2023
3. Global Initiative for Asthma. Difficult-To-Treat & Severe Asthma in adolescents and adult patients, 2023.
4. Chung SA, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology/Vasculitis Foundation Guideline for the Management of Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Vasculitis., 2021.
5. Butt NM, Lambert J, Ali S, et. al. Guideline for the investigation and management of eosinophilia. Br J Haematol. 2017;176:553-572

Nulojix (*belatacept*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Nulojix is a selective T-cell co-stimulation blocker and is indicated for the prophylaxis of organ rejection in patient receiving kidney transplant, for patients who are Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) seropositive. This fusion protein contains a modified extracellular domain of CTLA-4 linked to a portion of the Fc domain of human immunoglobulin G1 antibody. Stimulated T lymphocytes mediate immunologic rejection so belatacept binds to CD80 and CD86 on the antigen-presenting cell and prevents them from binding to CD28 on the T lymphocyte which prevents co-stimulation of T lymphocytes.

As per LCD L33824, immunosuppressive medications are covered only for the specific labeled indications. Prevention of renal rejection is most-commonly treated with tacrolimus or cyclosporine and these are part of triple maintenance immunosuppressive therapy that includes a CNI (tacrolimus or cyclosporine), prednisone and an antimetabolite. Guideline recommendations note use of tacrolimus, cyclosporine or belatacept and may be used to initiate treatment.

Priority Health also follows LCD L33824 Immunosuppressive Drugs and LCA A52474 Immunosuppressive Drugs – Policy Article.

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L33824: Immunosuppressive Drugs
2. Nulojix [Package Insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company: 2014
3. Nelson, J., Alvey, N., Bowman, L., Schulte, J., Segovia, M. C., McDermott, J., Te, H. S., Kapila, N., Levine, D. J., Gottlieb, R. L., Oberholzer, J., & Campara, M. (2022). Consensus recommendations for use of maintenance immunosuppression in solid organ transplantation: Endorsed by the American College of Clinical Pharmacy, American Society of Transplantation, and International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: An executive summary. *Pharmacotherapy*, 42(8), 594–598

Nypozi (*filgrastim-txid*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well

established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post- remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

Per NCCN guidelines on Hemopoietic growth Factors, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for filgrastim and pegfilgrastim.

Step therapy is applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.

References

1. Nypozi [Package Insert] San Diego, CA; Tanvex BioPharma USA, Inc: June 2024
2. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 1.2025) 2024 Oct 11. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on November 13, 2024

Ohtuvayre (*ensifentrine*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Ohtuvayre is a nebulized phosphodiesterase inhibitor (PDE3/PDE4) indicated for the maintenance treatment of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). Roflumilast is another phosphodiesterase inhibitor (PDE4). The safety and efficacy of using Ohtuvayre and roflumilast together has not been established.

The 2024 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) Guidelines recommend COPD treatment based on the assessment of airflow obstruction, symptoms, and exacerbation history.

Airway obstruction severity is classified into GOLD grades 1 through 4 using predicted forced expiratory volume or FEV1 (% predicted). Moderate COPD (GOLD Group 2) is characterized by a FEV1 between 50 to 79% and severe COPD (GOLD Group 3) by an FEV1 of 30-49% of what is expected. Ohtuvayre was approved based on the ENHANCE-1 and ENHANCE-2 trials, which included patients with moderate to severe COPD defined as a post-albuterol FEV1 > 30% and < 70%, corresponding to GOLD groups 2 and 3.

In addition to the FEV1 assessment and GOLD grades, guidelines utilize GOLD Groups to assess morbidity (exacerbations) and symptoms (dyspnea) and provide initial treatment recommendations. Exacerbations are considered moderate if treated with oral steroids and/or antibiotics without hospitalization, and severe if hospitalization or emergency room visits are required. Patients with 2 or more moderate or 1 or more severe exacerbations are GOLD Group E. Patients with 0 or 1 moderate exacerbations (without hospitalization) per year are either GOLD Group A or GOLD Group B based on symptoms. Symptoms are assessed through validated tools, the modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) and the COPD assessment test (CAT). Those without symptoms (mMRC 0 to 1 or CAT < 10) represent Group A and those with more disease burden are assigned to group B (mMRC 2+ or CAT 10+). Initial treatment for Group A is a single bronchodilator, Group B is dual therapy with a Long-Acting Beta Agonist (LABA) and Long-Acting Muscarinic Antagonist (LAMA), and Group E is dual therapy with a LABA/LAMA or triple therapy (LABA/LAMA/ICS) for elevated eosinophils (>300 cells/uL) or concomitant asthma.

Follow-up drug therapy is a stepped approach based on the initial therapy and the predominant trait of either dyspnea or exacerbations. If dyspnea is the predominant trait, follow-up therapy with a LAMA/LABA is recommended. If exacerbations are the predominant trait, follow-up therapy with a LABA/LAMA or LABA/LAMA/ICS is recommended. Addition of roflumilast (for those with FEV1< 50%) or azithromycin (preferred in former smokers) is also recommended. Current GOLD guidelines do not reference Ohtuvayre or its role in COPD management.

References

1. Ohtuvayre [Package Insert]. Raleigh, NC; Verona Pharma, Inc: 2024
2. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (2024 Report). Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease, 2024.

3. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Phase 3 Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Ensifentrine in Patients With COPD. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04535986>
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Phase 3 Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Ensifentrine in Patients With COPD. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04542057>

OmvoH (*mirikizumab-mrkz*) IV

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

OmvoH (*mirikizumab-mrkz*) is an interleukin-23 antagonist indicated for the treatment of moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis in adults

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory disease that affects the colon in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. UC-related inflammation can damage the lining in the colon. This inflammation can lead to symptoms—such as bowel urgency, blood in stool, and frequent bowel movements—that can get worse over time if left untreated. The pattern of disease activity is most often described as relapsing and remitting, with symptoms of active disease alternating with periods of clinical quiescence, which is called remission. Some patients with UC have persistent disease activity despite diagnosis and medical therapy, and a small number of patients present with the rapid-onset progressive type of colitis known as fulminant disease.

Ulcerative colitis is a chronic condition for which therapy is required to induce and maintain remission. Per the 2019 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) Clinical Guideline: Ulcerative Colitis in Adults, therapeutic decisions should be categorized into those for induction and maintenance, with a goal of obtaining and maintaining a steroid-free remission. Selection of induction and maintenance therapies for UC should be based on disease extent, severity, and prognosis. Strategies for the management of the nonhospitalized patient with moderately to severely active UC include: 5-aminosalicylate (5-ASA) therapy as monotherapy for induction of moderately but not severely active UC; non-systemic corticosteroids such as budesonide MMX before the use of systemic therapy in patients with moderately active UC; and systemic corticosteroids rather than topical corticosteroids in patients with severely active UC. In patients with moderately to severely active UC, the guidelines recommend anti-TNF therapy (adalimumab, golimumab, or infliximab), vedolizumab, and tofacitinib for induction and maintenance of remission. The 2020 American Gastroenterological Association Institute Clinical Guideline on the Management of Moderate to Severe Ulcerative Colitis strongly recommends the same therapies as the ACG guidelines including ustekinumab as an additional option. The guidelines have not been updated with OmvoH. There is no support for combination use of biologics in the guidelines.

In 2 randomized controlled trials in adults with moderate to severe active ulcerative colitis, *mirikizumab-mrkz* was associated with a significantly greater proportion of patients achieving clinical remission compared with placebo. Significantly more patients treated with *mirikizumab-mrkz* also experienced a decrease in stool frequency and rectal bleeding compared with

placebo. In study UC-1, a greater proportion of patients treated with mirkizumab-mrkz compared with placebo achieved clinical response, defined as a 2-point or greater and 30% or less decrease from baseline in modified Mayo score (mMS), and a 1-point or more decrease from baseline in rectal bleeding (RB) subscore or an absolute RB subscore of 0 or 1 at Week 12 (65% vs 43%). Decreases in stool frequency (SF) and rectal bleeding subscores were observed as early as Week 3 in patients treated with mirkizumab-mrkz compared with placebo. Of the patients who achieved clinical remission at week 12 in study UC-1 with mirkizumab-mrkz induction treatment, significantly more patients achieved clinical remission at week 40 with mirkizumab-mrkz compared with placebo in the maintenance study UC-2.

References

1. Omvoh™ intravenous infusion and subcutaneous injection [prescribing information]. Indianapolis, IN: Eli Lilly; October 2023
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 - 61.
3. Rubin DT, Ananthakrishnan AN, Siegel CA, et al. ACG clinical guideline: ulcerative colitis in adults. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2019; 114: 384–413.

Onpattro (patisiran)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Onpattro (patisiran) lipid complex injection contains a transthyretin-directed small interfering RNA and is indicated for the treatment of the polyneuropathy of hereditary transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) in adults. The recommended dosage is 0.3 mg/kg (or 30 mg for patients weighing 100 kg or more) every 3 weeks by intravenous infusion. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

Transthyretin (TTR) amyloidosis is caused by the extracellular deposition of amyloid fibrils composed of TTR. TTR is predominantly produced by the liver and is a plasma transport protein for thyroxine and vitamin A. TTR amyloidosis is caused by mutations that destabilize the TTR protein. The disease can present as an infiltrative cardiomyopathy (familial amyloid cardiomyopathy) or as a progressive, axonal sensory autonomic and motor neuropathy (familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy; TTR-FAP, also referred to as FAP or ATTR-PN). The disease induces peripheral neuropathy, initially affecting the lower limbs generally including toes, extending above the ankle, and moving toward the proximal lower limbs with motor deficits. Life-threatening autonomic dysfunction is also generally present as the disease

progresses, which may include anhidrosis, sexual impotence, orthostatic hypotension, and neurogenic bladder.

Scoring systems for evaluating TTR-FAP include systems based on the stages of peripheral and autonomic neuropathies proposed by Coutinho, disease staging based on polyneuropathy disability (PND) score, the Portuguese classification to evaluate the severity of TTR-FAP, sensory impairment scoring, autonomic dysfunction scoring, and scoring of motor function for muscle weakness. Coutinho et al. divides clinical staging of TTR-FAP into stage 0 (no symptoms), stage I (unimpaired ambulation; mostly mild sensory, motor, and autonomic neuropathy in the lower limbs), stage II (assistance with ambulation required; mostly moderate impairment progression to the lower limbs, upper limbs, and trunk) and stage III (wheelchair-bound or bedridden; severe sensory, motor, and autonomic involvement of all limbs). The PND score divides neuropathic symptoms into stage 0 (no impairment), stage I (sensory disturbances but preserved walking capability), stage II (impaired walking capability but ability to walk without a stick or crutches), stage IIIA (walking only with the help of one stick or crutch), stage IIIB (walking with the help of two sticks or crutches), and stage IV (confined to a wheelchair or bedridden).

There is no data to support the efficacy and safety in use of disease-modifying therapies in liver transplant recipients or for use of pharmacotherapy in patients with stage 0 disease or with later-stage disease or cardiomyopathy. As such the ‘Guideline of transthyretin-related hereditary amyloidosis for clinicians’ recommends these populations should be treated only within the confines of a clinical trial.

Onpattro was studied in patients with polyneuropathy caused by hereditary transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis (hATTR amyloidosis) that were in Stage 1 or Stage 2 of the disease and had Val30Met mutation in the transthyretin gene or one of 38 other point mutations. Onpattro improved multiple clinical manifestations over 18 months compared with placebo. Onpattro has not been studied in combination with other TTR stabilizers or TTR-lowering agents. As such, use of Amvuttra in combination with other TTR stabilizers or TTR-lowering agents is not recommended and will not be covered.

References

1. Onpattro [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2018
2. Ando Y, Coelho T, Berk JL, et al. Guideline of transthyretin-related hereditary amyloidosis for clinicians. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases. 2013;8:31. Doi: 10.1186/1750-1172-8-31.

Ontruzant (<i>trastuzumab-dttb</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Ontruzant is a trastuzumab biosimilar. Other trastuzumab biosimilars include Kanjinti (trastuzumab-anns), Ogivri (trastuzumab-dkst), Herzuma (trastuzumab-pkrb), and Trazimera (trastuzumab-qyyp).</p> <p>The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and current treatment guidelines including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines support the use of FDA-approved trastuzumab biosimilars and do not favor one biosimilar over another. Step therapy may be applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.</p> <p>Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ontruzant [Package Insert]. Incheon, Korea; Samsung Bioepis Co., Ltd.: 2019 2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024) 3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Gastric Cancer (Version 2.2024) 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs. 5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf 6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

Orbactiv (oritavancin)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Orbactiv (oritavancin) is indicated for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections caused by susceptible methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolates in adults for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections caused by susceptible isolates.

Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) advises that clinical evaluation of patients with SSTI aims to establish the cause and severity of infection and must take into account pathogen-specific and local antibiotic resistance patterns. There has been a significant increase in the frequency and severity of infections and the emergence of resistance to many of the antimicrobial agents commonly used to treat SSTIs in the past. Some of this increased frequency is related to the emergence of community-associated MRSA.

Gram stain and culture is recommended when evaluating purulent SSTIs (cutaneous abscesses, furuncles, carbuncles, and inflamed epidermoid cysts). Incision and drainage are the recommended treatments for inflamed epidermoid cysts, carbuncles, abscesses, and large furuncles. The decision to administer antibiotics directed against *S. aureus* as an adjunct to incision and drainage should be made based upon presence or absence of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). For moderate purulent infections in patients with systemic signs of infections, empiric treatment with trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMZ) or doxycycline is recommended. For MRSA infections, TMP/SMX should be used and for MSSA infections dicloxacillin or cephalexin should be used. For severe purulent infections in patients who have failed incision and drainage plus oral antibiotics or those with systemic signs of infection, empiric treatment and/or confirmed MRSA should be treated with vancomycin, daptomycin, linezolid, telavancin or ceftaroline. If methicillin-sensitive staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) is confirmed, nafcillin, cefazolin or clindamycin are recommended.

Cultures of blood or cutaneous aspirates, biopsies, or swabs are not routinely recommended for non-purulent SSTI (necrotizing infection, cellulitis, erysipelas). For mild infections without systemic signs of infection, patients should receive an antimicrobial agent that is active against streptococci (penicillin VK, cephalosporin, dicloxacillin or clindamycin). For moderate infections with systemic signs of infection, intravenous treatment with penicillin, ceftriaxone, cefazolin or clindamycin is recommended. In severe infection, in patients who have failed oral antibiotic treatment or those with systemic signs of infection, emergent surgical inspection/debridement is recommended to rule out necrotizing process. Patients should also receive empiric treatment with vancomycin plus piperacillin/tazobactam. For treatment of streptococcal skin infections, in patients with a severe penicillin sensitivity clindamycin, vancomycin, linezolid, daptomycin or telavancin are recommended. The guidelines do not mention oritavancin.

In adults with cellulitis/erysipelas, wound infection, or major cutaneous abscesses due to MRSA (n=405), single-dose oritavancin 1200 mg IV was associated with an early clinical response rate of

81.4% and a clinical success rate of 83.3%; these results were similar to those achieved with vancomycin 1 g or 15 mg/kg IV every 12 hours for 7 to 10 days (80.6% early clinical response rate and 84.1% clinical success rate).

References

1. Orbactiv [Package Insert]. Lincolnshire, IL; Melinta Therapeutics, LLC: 2021
2. Stevens DL, Bisno AL, Chambers HF, et al. Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Clinical Infect Dis 2014; 59(2): e10–e52
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Patients With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO II). (NCT 01252732). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT01252732>
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Participants With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO I). (NCT 01252719). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT01252719>

Orencia IV (abatacept)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Orencia is a biologic disease-modifying agent that functions as a selective T-cell co-stimulation blocker indicated for Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA).

For Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) for moderate or high disease activity despite prior conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD). Guidelines do not favor one bDMARD (i.e. Skyrizi, Actemra, Cosentyx, Orencia, infliximab) over another nor do they favor tsDMARD (Xeljanz, Rinvoq) over bDMARD.

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic (infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria, Orencia, Skyrizi) or JAK inhibitor (Rinvoq, Xeljanz) is recommended.

Orencia has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Orencia in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Orenia [Package Insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company: 2021
2. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
3. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.

Orthovisc (hyaluronan/ hyaluronic acid) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally recommended against in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly recommended against in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated.

Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Orthovisc [Package Insert]. Woburn, MA; Anika Therapeutics, Inc
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Oxlumo (*lumasiran*) injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Primary hyperoxalurias (PHs) are rare inborn errors of glyoxylate metabolism and are distinguished by the over-production of oxalate, which is poorly soluble and combines with calcium to form kidney and urinary stones. As a patient's glomerular filtration rate decreases throughout their lifetime, plasma oxalate levels will increase, and calcium oxalate will deposit into other areas of the body, such as the heart, bones, and retina. The increased production of oxalate leads to kidney injury, which could lead to kidney failure, necessitating a need for treatment of this condition. Symptoms may appear at any age. There are three notable types of PH that differ based on severity and the genetic mutation present. Primary hyperoxaluria type 1 (PH1) is the most common form, and patients with PH1 have mutation of the AGXT gene, which results in abnormal hepatic enzyme alanine-glyoxylate aminotransferase (AGT), which in turn causes the increase in glyoxylate and oxalate.

Oxlumo is an RNA interference (RNAi) therapy that indirectly lowers the amount of glyoxylate and oxalate. Conservative treatment is recommended initially after diagnosis and includes hyperhydration, alkalizing the urine and trialing pyridoxine. This is noted in The European Rare Kidney Disease Reference Network and OxalEurope developed clinical practice recommendations (2023) for primary hyperoxaluria. RNA interference (RNAi) therapies are briefly mentioned and, in general, are recommended for patients with a genetic diagnosis of PH1. Transplant of the liver and possibly the kidneys are an option to correct the AGXT mutation though recommendations around this area are also unclear. Clinical trials have shown that Oxlumo and other RNAi therapies (e.g., Rivfloza) can effectively treat the underlying pathophysiology of oxalate overproduction. While RNAi therapies have the

potential to improve patient outcomes, it should be noted that the clinical impact is not clear. It is also unclear to what extent these agents might replace a liver and/or kidney transplant.

There is no data or other supporting evidence for concomitant use of RNAi therapies.

References

1. OXLUMO (lumasiran) injection, for subcutaneous use [prescribing information]. Cambridge, MA: Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2020.
2. Bacchetta J, Lieske JC. Primary hyperoxaluria type 1: novel therapies at a glance. Clin Kidney J. 2022;15(Suppl 1):i17-i22. Published 2022 May 17. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfab245
3. Groothoff JW, Metry E, Deesker L, et al. Clinical practice recommendations for primary hyperoxaluria: an expert consensus statement from ERKNet and OxalEurope. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2023;19:194-211.
4. Primary Hyperoxaluria: MedlinePlus Genetics. U.S. National Library of Medicine; National Institutes of Health; Department of Health and Human Services. Available at: <https://medlineplus.gov/genetics/condition/primary-hyperoxaluria/#resources>.
5. Garrelfs, SF, Frishberg Y, et al. Lumasiran, an RNAi Therapeutic for Primary Hyperoxaluria Type 1. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 1216-1226. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021712. (ILLUMINATE-A; NCT03681184).
6. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study of Lumasiran in Infants and Young Children With Primary Hyperoxaluria Type 1 (ILLUMINATE-B). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03905694>.
7. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study to Evaluate Lumasiran in Patients With Advanced Primary Hyperoxaluria Type 1 (ILLUMINATE-C). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04152200>.

Ozurdex (*dexamethasone*) intravitreal implant

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Ozurdex (dexamethasone intravitreal implant) is a corticosteroid indicated for: the treatment of macular edema following branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) or central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO); The treatment of non-infectious uveitis affecting the posterior segment of the eye; and The treatment of diabetic macular edema in patients who are pseudophakic or are phakic and scheduled for cataract surgery

The Diabetic Retinopathy Preferred Practice Pattern guideline advises that management options for diabetic retinopathy includes following a healthy diet and lifestyle, medical management, timely ophthalmic evaluation, and treatment under the care of an ophthalmologist. Cost-effective treatments with laser, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents, or intravitreal corticosteroids may also be considered. Regarding the use of steroids for diabetic macular edema (DME), the guideline references several studies that have evaluated the use of intravitreal administration of short- and long-acting corticosteroids for the treatment of DME. Topical corticosteroids and periocular steroid injection demonstrated no significant benefit. The role of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide was compared with focal

laser photocoagulation surgery. Retinal thickness at 4 months, yet by 24 months, in patients randomized to focal/grid laser photocoagulation surgery had better mean visual acuity. A subsequent study showed that pseudophakic eyes treated with the combination of the intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide and focal laser had visual gains similar to eyes treated with anti-VEGF agents. The sustained-release dexamethasone implant for treatment naïve center-involved diabetic macular edema (CI-DME) improved visual acuity compared with sham treatment. The fluocinolone acetonide implant for DME treatment study revealed improved visual acuity relative to sham at 3 years. At three years, 75% of patients were treated with only one implant. Rates of cataract extraction of phakic eyes was 74.9% with an implant versus 23.1% for sham. Studies of intravitreal corticosteroids for DME have evaluated them as first-line agents only. Because of their side-effect profile, including cataract progression and elevated IOP, they are generally used as second-line agents for DME, especially for phakic patients.

Retinal vein occlusion (RVO) occurs when there is partial or complete obstruction of a retinal vein, and it is classified by the location of the occlusion. An obstruction of the retinal vein at or posterior to the optic nerve head is a central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO), and complete or partial obstruction at a branch or tributary of the central retinal vein is a branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO). Vision loss associated with a vein occlusion usually occurs from macular ischemia or edema, retinal hemorrhages, vitreous hemorrhage, and epiretinal membrane formation.

The Retinal Vein Occlusions Preferred Practice Pattern guideline advises that in eyes with BRVO and macular edema, anti-VEGF injections, focal laser treatment, and intravitreal steroids all have demonstrated therapeutic benefit. In eyes with CRVO and macular edema, anti-VEGF and intravitreal steroids have demonstrated benefit. Intravitreal corticosteroids (triamcinolone and dexamethasone implant) are considered second line because of significant ocular side effects, such as secondary glaucoma and cataract formation.

References

1. Ozurdex [Package Insert]. Irvine, CA; Allergan, Inc.: 2014
2. Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Bailey ST, et al. Retinal Vein Occlusions Preferred Practice Pattern. Ophthalmology. Sept 2019; 127(2): PP288-P320.
3. Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Bailey ST, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy Preferred Practice Pattern. Ophthalmology. Jan 2020; 127(1): P66-P145.

Panzyga (*immune globulin*) intravenous

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) are human derived antibodies used to treat various autoimmune, infectious, and idiopathic diseases including, but not limited to: Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy (CIDP), Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL), multiple myeloma, myasthenia gravis, and Immune Thrombocytopenia (ITP).

Primary immunodeficiency affects the body's natural immune system's ability to combat infection. These are genetic disorders that can be treated by undergoing hemopoietic stem cell transplantation, by receiving preventative medicine (like antibiotics to reduce infection risk) or managing with supportive care. IVIG plays a role in these patients' treatment by reducing infection risk and limiting the potential for disease complications.

Myasthenia gravis is a rare autoimmune disease that can lead to fatigue and generalized muscle weakness. Treatment options include corticosteroids and immunosuppressive therapies (azathioprine, mycophenolate, e.g.), but some patients will continue to show symptoms despite these treatments and are categorized as 'refractory' (per the 2016 International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis). These patients have functional impairment requiring further medical intervention. In severe cases, referred to as 'myasthenic crisis', patients experience a loss in respiratory muscle function requiring intubation or mechanical ventilation. The 2016 International Consensus recommends IVIG be used in these cases to allow the patient to recover from the crisis. IVIG acts to bridge myasthenia gravis patients from exacerbation to recovery while further immunosuppressive care is allowed time to take effect.

There are multiple IVIG products available. No clinical trials have been conducted comparing the efficacy of one therapy to another. For treatment of primary immune deficiency disorder, the following are some, but not all, FDA-approved IVIG products to treat these conditions: Asceniv, Bivigam, Carimune, Privigen, Gammagard Liquid, and Octagam. Certain patient specific factors may affect which IVIG product is selected. Diabetic patients may want to avoid products containing maltose or glucose (Gammagard S/D, Octagam, e.g.). Patients with low tolerance for increased intravascular volume may want to avoid products high in sodium or albumin content (Bivigam, e.g.).

Priority Health follows LCD L34771 for Immune Globulins.

References

1. Panzyga [Package Insert]. Lingolsheim, France; Octapharma SAS: 2021
2. Bonilla FA, et al. Practice parameter for the diagnosis and management of primary immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 136 (5): 1186 – 205
3. Sanders DB, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. Neurology. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L34771: Immune Globulins

Pemfexy (<i>pemetrexed</i> , J9304)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Pemfexy is a pemetrexed injection. Step therapy is applied to certain Part B drugs in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance..</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pemfexy [Package Insert]. Woodcliff Lake, NJ; Eagle Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2020 2. Pemetrexed [Package Insert]. Gujarat, India; Zydus Hospira Oncology Private Ltd.: 2022 3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). <i>Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage</i> [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf
Pemrydi RTU (<i>pemetrexed disodium</i>), J9324
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Pemrydi RTU is a pemetrexed product. Current treatment guidelines including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines support the use of pemetrexed and do not favor one product over another. Step therapy is applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pemfexy [Package Insert]. Woodcliff Lake, NJ; Eagle Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2020 2. Pemetrexed [Package Insert]. Gujarat, India; Zydus Hospira Oncology Private Ltd.: 2022 3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). <i>Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage</i> [Memorandum]. Retrieved from

https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf

Phesgo (*pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and hyaluronidase-zzxf*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Trastuzumab and pertuzumab are anti-HER2 monoclonal antibodies that bind to the HER2 receptor and inhibit proliferation of tumor cells that overexpress the receptor. Breast cancer is one type of tumor that can be positive for the HER2 receptor.

Phesgo is a combination product which includes the same active ingredients as Perjeta and Herceptin with the addition of hyaluronidase. The hyaluronidase component increases permeability of the subcutaneous tissue which increases the rate of absorption for the active ingredients. This product has the same breast cancer indications as the related products of trastuzumab and pertuzumab containing products. The indications include but may not be limited to use in combination with chemotherapy as neoadjuvant treatment of patients with HER2-positive, locally advanced, inflammatory, or early stage breast cancer (either greater than 2 cm in diameter or node positive); in combination with chemotherapy as adjuvant treatment of patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer at high risk of recurrence; and in combination with docetaxel for treatment of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (MBC) who have not received prior anti-HER2 therapy or chemotherapy for metastatic disease.

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines provide recommendations for the use of combination therapy with trastuzumab and pertuzumab. Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

Step therapy may be applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, and biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.

References:

1. Phesgo [prescribing information]. South San Francisco, CA: Genentech, Inc.; June 2020.
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. A phase III, randomized, multicenter, open-label, two-arm study to evaluate the pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety of subcutaneous administration of the fixed-dose combination of pertuzumab and trastuzumab in combination with

chemotherapy in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer (NCT03493854). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03493854?term=NCT03493854&draw=2&rank=1>

3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast cancer (Version 1.2024). 2024 Jan 25. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf

4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs>.

5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf

6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

PiaSky (crovalimab-akkz) No

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

PiaSky (crovalimab-akkz) is a complement C5 inhibitor indicated for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients 13 years and older with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH) with a body weight of at least 40 kg. PiaSky has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with certain other medications used for PHN.

PNH is a hematopoietic stem cell disorder caused by a gene mutation that leads to abnormal red blood cells. Flow cytometry is the method of choice for identifying cells deficient in GPI-linked proteins and is the gold standard test to confirm the diagnosis of PNH. In PNH, thrombotic tendencies can occur in the extremities and atypical locations, such as hepatic portal (Budd-Chiari Syndrome), splenic, or mesenteric veins. Treatment options include supportive care (e.g. red blood cell transfusion), allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, and complement therapy. Consider discontinuation of complement inhibitor treatment in the absence of clinical benefit.

References

1. [PiaSky](#) [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: June 2024
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. Commodore 2. A Phase III Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Crovalimab Versus Eculizumab in Participants With Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria (PNH) Not Previously Treated With Complement Inhibitors. NCT0443092. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT0443092>
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. Commodore 1. A Study Evaluating The Safety, Pharmacokinetics, and Efficacy Of Crovalimab Versus Eculizumab In Participants With Paroxysmal Nocturnal

Hemoglobinuria (PNH) Currently Treated With Complement Inhibitors. NCT04432584. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04432584>.

4. Borowitz MJ, Craig FE, DiGiuseppe JA, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and monitoring of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and related disorders by flow cytometry. Cytometry Part B (Clinical Cytometry). 2010; 78B: 211 – 30.

5. Cançado RD, da Silva Araújo A, Sandes AF, et al. Consensus statement for diagnosis and treatment of paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria. Hematol Transfus Cell Ther. 2021; 43:341- 348.

Pluvicto (*inclisiran*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Pluvicto (lutetium Lu 177 vipivotide tetraxetan) injection is a radioligand therapeutic agent indicated for the treatment of adult patients with prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-positive metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who have been treated with androgen receptor (AR) pathway inhibition and taxane-based chemotherapy. The recommended dosage is 7.4 gigabecquerels (GBq) IV (200 millicuries [mCi]) every 6 weeks for up to 6 doses.

In the studies, patients with stable disease or partial response after 4 doses of Pluvicto plus best standard of care (BSoC) received up to 2 additional doses (for a total of 6 doses) based on the investigator's discretion. Among those who received Pluvicto plus BSoC, the median number of doses of Pluvicto received was 5.

References

1. Pluvicto [Package Insert]. Millburn, NJ; Advanced Accelerator Applications USA, Inc.: 2022
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

Pombiliti (*cipaglucosidase alfa-atga*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Pombiliti (*cipaglucosidase alfa-atga*) for injection is a hydrolytic lysosomal glycogen-specific enzyme indicated, in combination with Opfolda (an enzyme stabilizer) for the treatment of adult patients with late-onset Pompe disease (lysosomal acid alpha-glucosidase [GAA] deficiency) weighing ≥ 40 kg and who are not improving on their current enzyme replacement therapy (ERT). Pombiliti is dosed 20 mg/kg (of actual body weight) and administered every other week. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

Common signs and symptoms of Pompe disease include cardiomegaly, cardiomyopathy, feeding difficulties, failure to thrive, hypotonia, muscle weakness, respiratory distress, and respiratory infections. Late-onset Pompe disease is characterized by a lack of severe cardiac involvement and patients present with symptoms related to skeletal muscle dysfunction affecting proximal lower limb and paraspinal trunk muscles, progressing to the diaphragm and accessory muscles of respiration. Patients with Pompe disease are typically managed by metabolic disease specialists/biochemical geneticists and neuromuscular experts. In the ‘Pompe disease diagnosis and management guideline’, experts recommend enzyme activity analysis with acid α -glucosidase (GAA) assay performed on skin fibroblasts (as the preferred tissue) or muscle biopsy, as the “gold standard” to confirm a diagnosis of Pompe disease. Mutation testing is also useful in identifying carriers when a familial mutation is known and can aid in confirmation of the diagnosis.

In the studies, treatment with Pombiliti in combination with Opfolda (*migLUstat*) resulted in a numerically (although not significantly) greater increase in 6-minute walk distance from baseline and a significantly lower change in sitting FVC (% predicted) from baseline compared to treatment with *alglucosidase alfa* (*Lumizyme*) plus placebo in adult patients with late-onset Pompe disease. Pombiliti has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other enzyme replacement therapy (such as *Lumizyme* or *Nexvazyme*) used to treat late-onset Pompe disease.

References

1. Pombiliti [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA: Amicus Therapeutics US, LLC; September 2023.
2. Opfolda [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA: Amicus Therapeutics US, LLC; September 2023.
3. American College of Medical Genetics – Pompe Disease Diagnosis and Management Guideline, 2006. doi: 10.1097/01.gim.0000218152.87434.f3

Prolia (*denosumab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Prolia (denosumab) is a RANK ligand (RANKL) inhibitor indicated for multiple skeletal related conditions including a) the treatment of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis at high risk for fracture, b) to increase bone mass in men with osteoporosis at high risk for fracture, c) the treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in men and women at high risk for fracture, d) to increase bone mass in men at high risk for fracture receiving androgen deprivation therapy for nonmetastatic prostate cancer, and e) to increase bone mass in women at high risk for fracture receiving adjuvant aromatase inhibitor therapy for breast cancer.

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis 2020 Update strongly recommends pharmacologic therapy for the following patients with listed T-scores in the spine, femoral neck, total hip, or 1/3 radius of: a) between -1.0 and -2.5 and a history of fragility fracture of the hip or spine, b) -2.5 or lower, or c) between -1.0 and -2.5 if the FRAX® 10-year probability for major osteoporotic fracture is $\geq 20\%$ or the 10-year probability of hip fracture is $\geq 3\%$ in the U.S. or above the country-specific threshold in other countries or regions.

Bisphosphonates have been a widely used treatment of osteoporosis for decades. Four bisphosphonates (alendronate, ibandronate, risedronate, and zoledronate) are available in the U.S. which are all available as generic preparations. Additionally, alendronate, risedronate, and zoledronate have evidence for broad-spectrum antifracture efficacy. The AACE Guidelines recommend (in the absence of contraindications) those who have “high fracture risk” can be started on oral agents.

The NCCN Guidelines for Prostate Cancer Version 3.2024 recommend antiresorptive medications to increase bone mineral density and reduce disease-related skeletal complications during androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) for prostate cancer, which can include denosumab, zoledronic acid, or alendronate.

The NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Version 2.2024 recommends the use of a bisphosphonate or denosumab to maintain or improve bone mineral density and reduce risk of fractures in postmenopausal patients receiving adjuvant aromatase inhibitor therapy.

Contraindications to oral bisphosphonate administration include the inability to remain upright for 30 to 60 minutes and the presence of anatomic or functional esophageal abnormalities that might delay transit of the tablet (e.g., achalasia, stricture, or dysmotility).

Also, bisphosphonates should be used with caution in patients with reduced kidney function.

AACE Guidelines suggest that a significant decrease in Bone Mineral Density (BMD) or recurrent fractures in a patient who is compliant to therapy may indicate a treatment failure. Rebound bone loss and fractures can occur following discontinuation of denosumab therapy. It is therefore recommended that patients be transitioned to an alternative antiresorptive therapy to prevent rebound bone loss and possible rebound fracture.

References

1. Camacho PM, Petak SM, Binkley N, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis – 2020 Update. *Endocr Pract.* 2020;26(Suppl 1):1-46. doi:10.4158/GL-2020-0524SUPPL
2. Chakhtoura M, El-Hajj Fuleihan G. Treatment of Hypercalcemia of Malignancy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 2021;50(4):781-792. doi:10.1016/j.ecl.2021.08.002
3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024)
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Bone Cancer (Version 1.2024)
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Multiple Myeloma (Version 2.2024)
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Prostate Cancer (Version 3.2024)
7. Prolia [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen, Inc.: 2010

Qalsody (*tofersen*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Qalsody (tofersen) injection is an antisense oligonucleotide indicated for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) in adults who have a mutation in the superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) gene.

Qalsody was studied in patients with weakness associated with ALS and a SOD1 mutation confirmed by laboratory testing. Study patients had a vital capacity (VC) $\geq 50\%$ of predicted value as adjusted for gender, age, and height (from the sitting position). Study patients with stable VC $< 50\%$ but $\geq 45\%$, were also considered for inclusion (at the discretion of the investigator) if their VC had not declined by more than 5% in the previous 6 months. Qalsody showed a nominally statistically significant plasma neurofilament light chain (NfL) decrease for all subgroups from baseline to Week 28.

At this time, Qalsody is approved under an accelerated approval based on decrease in NfL from baseline observed in patients treated with tofersen (Qalsody). Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification of clinical benefit in confirmatory trial(s).

References

1. Qalsody [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Biogen MA Inc.: 2023
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. An Efficacy, Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics Study of BII067 (Tofersen) in Adults With Inherited Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) (VALOR (Part C)) (NCT02623699). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT02623699>

Qutenza (capsaicin) 8% patch

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

The transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) is an ion channel expressed on nociceptive nerve fibers in the skin. Qutenza is a TRPV1 channel agonist indicated for the management of neuropathic pain associated with postherpetic neuralgia (PHN) or diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN). It is a synthetic version of a substance found in chili peppers. This patch targets neuropathic pain peripherally and delivers medication directly to nerves and then selectively binds with the TRPV1 protein that resides in the pain and heat sensing neurons.

Medication therapy represents the foundation of first and second-line therapy in diabetic neuropathic pain and includes options such as lidocaine patch, duloxetine, venlafaxine, pregabalin, gabapentin, or a tricyclic antidepressant (e.g., amitriptyline, nortriptyline).

Recommendations for postherpetic neuralgia treatment present a broad range of options that include topicals, pregabalin, gabapentin or a tricyclic antidepressant.

References

1. Qutenza [Package Insert]. Morristown, NJ; Averitas Pharma, Inc.: 2020
2. Price R, Smith D, Franklin G, et al. Oral and Topical Treatment of Painful Diabetic Polyneuropathy: Practice Guideline Update Summary: Report of the AAN Guideline Subcommittee. Neurology 2022; 98:31.
3. Pop-Busui R, Boulton AJ, Feldman EL, et al. Diabetic Neuropathy: A Position Statement by the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2017; 40:136.
4. Johnson RW, Rice AS. Clinical practice. Postherpetic neuralgia. N Engl J Med 2014; 371:1526.

Quzyttir (<i>cetirizine</i>) intravenous
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Quzyttir is an intravenous formulation of cetirizine which is a histamine-1 (H1) receptor antagonist. The H1 receptors inhibited by cetirizine are primarily on respiratory smooth muscle, vascular endothelial, immune, and gastrointestinal cells. H1 antihistamines are typically divided into older, first generation and new, second-generation agents. Agent selection may be based on a variety of factors including potential side effect profile.</p> <p>Cetirizine does not cross the blood-brain barrier, avoiding neurons of the central nervous system and causing minimal sedation compared with first generation antihistamines.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Quzyttir [Package Insert]. Rocky Mount, NC; Pfizer: 2019 2. Kaplan AP. Chronic urticaria: pathogenesis and treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004 Sep;114(3):465-74; quiz 475. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2004.02.049. PMID: 15356542.
Reblozyl (<i>luspatercept-aamt</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Reblozyl (luspatercept-aamt) is an erythroid maturation agent (EMA) indicated for the treatment of anemia in adults with beta thalassemia and myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) who require red blood cell (RBC) infusions.</p> <p>Beta thalassemia is an inherited blood disorder that can cause reduction of normal hemoglobin and red blood cells in the body. This can lead to insufficient delivery of oxygen throughout the body. Reduced levels of red blood cells (anemia) can lead to symptoms of dizziness, weakness, fatigue, shortness of breath and headaches. Blood transfusions are the mainstay of care for individuals with thalassemia. Guidelines define a patient as transfusion dependent when they are getting infusions of packed red blood cells every 2 to 5 weeks to maintain the pre-transfusion hemoglobin of 9 g/dL - 10.5 g/dL and the post-transfusion hemoglobin less than 14 - 15 g/dL. Repeated blood transfusions can cause iron overload in these patients because the body has no normal way to remove excess iron. Guidelines recommend use of Reblozyl in adult patients with beta thalassemia who require regular red</p>

blood cell transfusions. Reblozyl allows for significant improvement in hemoglobin levels and reduction in transfusion requirements, which decreases risk of iron overload.

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are clonal hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) disorders that cause blood cytopenias and can progress to acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in one-third of cases. The main risk factors, allowing an individual risk-adapted treatment strategy, are cytogenetic abnormalities, marrow blasts percentage and number and severity of cytopenias. Patients with MDS are stratified into five risk groups (very low-, low-, intermediate-, high- and very high-risk. Higher-risk MDS carries a major risk of progression to AML and short survival, and treatment should aim to modify the disease course, with options including allogeneic stem cell transplantation and hypomethylating agents. In lower-risk MDS, the risk of AML progression is lower. The main priority is generally the treatment of cytopenias, mainly of anemia, and improvement in quality of life. Chronic RBC transfusions can be considered as the sole treatment of anemia in lower-risk MDS. However, repeated RBC transfusions are associated with chronic anemia. Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs), such as recombinant erythropoietin or darbepoetin, are the first-choice treatment of anemia in most lower-risk MDS without del(5q) cytogenetic abnormalities. Lenalidomide is the preferred treatment for anemia in lower-risk MDS with del(5q). NCCN recommends use of Rebloyzl for treating ring sideroblastic MDS in patients with no response to prior ESA treatment or for treating very low- to intermediate-risk MDS.

Reblozyl has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with imetelstat (Rytelo).

References

1. Reblozyl [Package Insert]. Summit, NJ: Celgene Corporation; 2023
2. Cappellini MD, Cohen A, Porter J, et al. Guidelines for the management of transfusion dependent thalassemia. 2021. Available at: https://issuu.com/internationalthalassaemiafederation/docs/final_guideline_4th
3. National Organization for Rare Disorders. Beta thalassemia. Accessed September 26, 2024. <https://rarediseases.org/rarediseases/thalassemia-major/>
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Myelodysplastic syndromes (Version 3.2024). 2024 July 25. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/mds.pdf. Accessed on September 26, 2024.
5. Fenaux P, Haase D, Santini , et al. Myelodysplastic syndromes: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of Oncology. 2020 Jan 9; 32(2): 142-156.

Rebyota (*fecal microbiota, live-jslm*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Rebyota (fecal microbiota, live - jslm) suspension is indicated for the prevention of recurrence of *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) in individuals 18 years of age and older, following antibiotic treatment for recurrent CDI. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

For the initial *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) episode, the 2021 Clinical Practice Guideline by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) recommend fidaxomicin, oral vancomycin, or (in some cases) metronidazole. For the first recurrence, recommendations include fidaxomicin, oral vancomycin, and (in some cases) bezlotoxumab as adjunctive treatment.

For second or subsequent CDI recurrence, recommendations include fidaxomicin, vancomycin, fecal microbiota transplantation, and (in some cases) bezlotoxumab as adjunctive treatment. The panel recommends that appropriate antibiotic treatments should be tried for at least 2 recurrences (ie, 3 CDI episodes) before offering fecal microbiota transplantation.

Rebyota is given as a single dose of 150 mL administered rectally 24 to 72 hours after the last dose of antibiotics for CDI.

References

1. Rebyota [Package Insert]. Roseville, MN; Rebiotix, Inc.
2. Stuart Johnson, Valéry Lavergne, Andrew M Skinner, Anne J Gonzales-Luna, Kevin W Garey, Ciaran P Kelly, Mark H Wilcox, Clinical Practice Guideline by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA): 2021 Focused Update Guidelines on Management of *Clostridioides difficile* Infection in Adults, *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Volume 73, Issue 5, 1 September 2021, Pages e1029–e1044, <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab549>

Releuko (*filgrastim-ayow*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with nonmyeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the

treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the completion of the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

Per NCCN guidelines on Hemopoietic growth Factors, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for filgrastim and pegfilgrastim.

References

1. Releuko [Package Insert]. Piscataway, NJ; Kashiv BioSciences, LLC: 2022
2. Apro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Remicade (*infliximab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Remicade (infliximab) is a tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) indicated for Crohn's Disease (CD) and Ulcerative Colitis (UC) with an inadequate response to conventional therapy, fistulizing CD, Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), active ankylosing spondylitis (AS), psoriatic arthritis (PsA), and plaque psoriasis (PsO).

Ankylosing spondylitis 'AS' and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis 'NRAS' are related conditions. The 2019 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for AS and NRAS are

similar. Recommended first-line agents include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) due to their well-known safety and efficacy profiles. For patients who have active disease despite treatment with NSAIDs, treatment with a TNFi is recommended. Guidelines do not favor one TNFi over another.

Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic, painful skin condition that varies in presentation. There are no established treatment guidelines for this condition, but the foundation for HS has put forth evidence-based recommendations. Initial treatment includes topical and systemic antibiotics with progression to biologics if refractory or unresponsive to initial treatment. Antibiotics have been used to treat HS for decades; there is robust evidence to show symptom improvement and patient tolerability. Biologic agents (e.g., TNFi, IL-1, IL-12/IL-23 inhibitors) have shown some benefit in small studies but lack the robust support to make strong recommendations for dosing, appropriate goals of therapy, and duration of treatment.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend mercaptopurine, azathioprine, and methotrexate in symptomatic CD despite prior corticosteroid use. TNFi agents are effective in those with inadequate response to these initial therapies.

Per the 2020 American Gastroenterology Association guidelines, multiple agents effectively induce and maintain remission of UC, including corticosteroids, 5-aminosalicylates '5-ASA', and biologics. Treatment of mild-to-moderate UC is typically started with 5-ASA therapy. In those who do not respond to 5-ASA therapy, induction can be achieved through short-term corticosteroids. Once induction is achieved, maintenance can be managed with thiopurines. Methotrexate is not recommended for induction or maintenance of remission in UC, whereas biologic agents do have support for use in these treatment areas. Guidelines do not favor one biologic over another, nor do they favor biologics over thiopurine monotherapy for those in remission.

For Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) for moderate or high disease activity despite prior conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD). Guidelines do not favor one bDMARD over another, however TNFi agents have the most documented safety and efficacy profiles.

Per the 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic), recommended treatments include methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i), IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition.

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic is suggested.

References

1. Alikhan A, Sayed C, Alavi A, et al. North American clinical management guidelines for hidradenitis suppurativa: A publication from the United States and Canadian Hidradenitis Suppurativa Foundations: Part I: Diagnosis, evaluation, and the use of complementary and procedural management. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;81(1):76-90. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2019.02.067
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 – 6
3. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
4. Remicade (infliximab) [Package Insert]. Horsham, PA; Janssen Biotech, Inc.: 1998
5. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 April; 113 (4): 481-517
6. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.
7. Ward, MM, Deodhar, A, Akl, EA, et al. 2019 Update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Oct;71(10):1599-1613

Rethymic (*allogeneic processed thymus tissue–agdc*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Congenital athymia is a rare immune disorder where a child is born without a thymus, a gland that produces white blood cells and helps the immune system fight infections. Newborns with congenital athymia have severe immunodeficiency, making them susceptible to life-threatening infections, and many infants die from infections or autoimmune symptoms by 2 or 3 years of age. Congenital athymia is sometimes mistaken for Severe Combined Immunodeficiency (SCID). Patients with either disorder present with very low T-cell counts. Both congenital athymia and SCID are primary immunodeficiency disorders, but they are two separate conditions. Per Rethymic's Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved labeling, Rethymic is not indicated for the treatment of patients with SCID. Due to the condition, diagnostic and treatment requirements, patients are best managed by a specialist for the condition such as a pediatric immunologist.

References

1. Rethymic [prescribing information]. Cambridge MA; Enzyvant Therapeutics, Inc.; 2021.
2. Markert MD, M. Louise, et al. Experience with cultured thymus tissue in 105 children. 2022. Available at <https://www.jacionline.org/action/showPdf?pii=S0091-6749%2821%2901056-3>.
3. Howley E, Davies EG, Kreins AY. Congenital athymia: unmet needs and practical guidance. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2023;19:239-254. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S379673.
4. Janda A, Sedlacek P, Hönig M, et al. Multicenter survey on the outcome of transplantation of hematopoietic cells in patients with the complete form of DiGeorge anomaly. *Blood*.

2010;116(13):2229-2236. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-03-275966.

5. Perez E, Orange J, Bonilla F, et al. Work Group of Report of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology (AAAAI). Update on the use of immunoglobulin in human disease: A review of evidence. 2016. Available at: [https://www.jacionline.org/article/S0091-6749\(16\)31141-1/fulltext](https://www.jacionline.org/article/S0091-6749(16)31141-1/fulltext).

6. Kreins A, Dhalla F, Flinn A, et al. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. European Society for Immunodeficiencies guidelines for the management of patients with congenital athymia. 2024. Available at: [https://www.jacionline.org/article/S0091-6749\(24\)00980-1/fulltext](https://www.jacionline.org/article/S0091-6749(24)00980-1/fulltext).

Retisert (*fluocinolone acetonide*) intravitreal implant

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Retisert (fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant) is indicated for the treatment of chronic non-infectious uveitis affecting the posterior segment of the eye. Retisert has also been studied for use in macular edema due to diabetes mellitus.

Uveitis is a group of eye diseases caused by inflammation (redness, swelling, pain, etc.) inside the eye, which can lead to vision loss. Uveitis can result from infections, or non-infectious causes. Non-infectious uveitis can result from a disease somewhere else in the body. The uvea (middle layer of the eye) has many blood vessels. If the immune system is fighting a problem in one area, the cells and chemicals it makes can travel through the bloodstream and enter the eye, leading to inflammation. Acute uveitis lasts less than three months; chronic uveitis lasts longer than three months. Chronic non-infectious uveitis is generally treated with steroids, applied near or inside the eye, or other medicines, taken either by mouth or injection, to control the inflammation.

Fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant effectively controlled ocular inflammation and significantly reduced uveitis recurrence rates over 3 years in patients with noninfectious posterior uveitis in a randomized trial. The preimplantation uveitis recurrence rate of 62% for the 0.59-mg implant group significantly dropped to 4%, 10%, and 20% in years 1, 2, and 3, respectively, following implantation. Recurrence rates for non-implanted eyes increased significantly from 44% in to 59% in 3 years. The need for adjunctive therapy decreased significantly 1 year (80% reduction in systemic medications and 95% reduction in periocular injections) after implantation for implanted eyes which continued for years 2 and 3. Topical corticosteroid use decreased by approximately 50% 1 year following implantation. Visual acuity for implanted eyes did not change significantly over the 3-year postimplantation period; however, visual acuity for non-implanted eyes declined significantly.

Diabetic macular edema (DME) is defined as the presence of intraretinal fluid (edema) and thickening involving the macula, the part of the retina responsible for central vision. It is a vision-

threatening complication of diabetes and can occur at any stage or severity of diabetic retinopathy. Edema that is centrally located within the macula can be associated with more substantial decreases in visual acuity.

The 3-year efficacy and safety results of a 4-year study evaluating fluocinolone acetonide (FA) intravitreal implants in eyes with persistent or recurrent diabetic macular edema (DME) was studied prospectively. Patients were randomized 2:1 to receive 0.59-mg FA implant (n = 127) or standard of care (SOC additional laser or observation; n = 69). The primary efficacy outcome was ≥ 15 -letter improvement in visual acuity (VA) at 6 months. Overall, VA improved ≥ 3 lines in 16.8% of implanted eyes at 6 months. Secondary outcomes included resolution of macular retinal thickening and Diabetic Retinopathy Severity Score (DRSS). The number of implanted eyes with no evidence of retinal thickening at the center of the macula was higher than SOC eyes at 6 months. A higher rate of improvement and lower rate of decline in DRSS occurred in the implanted group versus the SOC group at 6 months. The study concluded that The 0.59-mg FA intravitreal implant may be an effective treatment for eyes with persistent or recurrent DME.

References

1. Retisert [Package Insert]. Waterford, Ireland; Bausch & Lomb Incorporated
Callanan DG, Jaffe GJ, Martin DF, et al. Treatment of posterior uveitis with a fluocinolone acetonide implant: three-year clinical trial results. Arch Ophthalmol 2008; 126(9):1191-1201.
2. Pearson PA, Comstock TL, Ip M, et al. Fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant for diabetic macular edema: a 3-year multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial. Ophthalmology 2011; 118(8):1580-1587.

Revcovi (*elapegademase-lvlr*) injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Revcovi (*elapegademase-lvlr*) injection is a recombinant adenosine deaminase indicated for the treatment of adenosine deaminase severe combined immune deficiency (ADA-SCID) in pediatric and adult patients. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s). Revcovi is given 0.2 mg/kg intramuscularly weekly in some patients transitioning from Adagen or 0.2 mg/kg twice a week (based on ideal body weight or actual weight, whichever is greater) in Adagen-naïve patients. Following the initial dosing recommendations, maintenance doses may be adjusted to maintain a target trough plasma ADA activity of at least 30 mmol/hr/L, a trough erythrocyte deoxyadenosine nucleotide (dAXP) below 0.02 mmol/L, and adequate immune reconstitution based on the clinical assessment of the patient.

The manufacturer recommends the optimal long-term dose and schedule of administration be established for each patient individually and may be adjusted based on the laboratory values for trough ADA activity, trough dAXP level, and/or according to the treating physician's medical assessment of the patient's clinical status above.

References

1. Revcovi [Package Insert]. Gaithersburg, MD; Leadiant Biosciences Inc.: 2018

Rezzayo (*rezafungin acetate*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Rezzayo (rezafungin) is indicated for the treatment of candidemia and invasive candidiasis (IC) in adult patients who have limited or no alternative options. Approval is based on limited clinical safety and efficacy data. Rezafungin has not been studied in patients with endocarditis, osteomyelitis, or meningitis due to *Candida*.

Infections due to *Candida* species are major causes of morbidity and mortality, causing clinical disease ranging from superficial and mucosal infections to invasive disease associated with candidemia and metastatic organ involvement. Candidemia is one of the most common healthcare-associated bloodstream infections in US hospitals. Earlier intervention with appropriate antifungal therapy and removal of a contaminated central venous catheter (CVC) or drainage of infected material is generally associated with better overall outcomes

The 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America for Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Candidiasis recommends systemic antifungals comprising azoles, amphotericin B, and echinocandins. The echinocandins are preferred agents for most episodes of candidemia and invasive candidiasis, with the exception of central nervous system (CNS), eye, and urinary tract infections due to these organisms. This preference is based on a strong safety profile, convenience, early fungicidal activity, a trend toward better outcomes based on data from individual studies and combined analyses of candidemia studies and the emergence of azole-resistant *Candida* species. Rezafungin is a newer echinocandin that is not addressed in the guidelines.

The Phase 3 ReSTORE trial in patients with candidemia and/or IC, on which FDA approval was based, compared weekly treatment with rezafungin vs daily treatment with caspofungin. Rezafungin was non-inferior to caspofungin for the efficacy endpoints of all-cause mortality at day 30 (primary endpoint for FDA) and global cure at day 14 (primary endpoint for European Medicines Agency), with a similar safety profile.

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References

1. Rezzayo [Package Insert]. Monza, Italy; Patheon Italia S.p.A.: 2023
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. Study of Rezafungin Compared to Caspofungin in Subjects With Candidemia and/or Invasive Candidiasis (ReSTORE). (NCT 03667690) Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03667690>
3. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes DR, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Candidiasis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 62:e1-e50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ933>

Riabni (*rituximab-arrx*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Riabni (rituximab-aarx) is a monoclonal antibody that induces apoptosis in DHL 4 human B cell lymphoma cells and inhibits rheumatoid factor production, antigen presentation, T-cell activation and proinflammatory cytokine production in rheumatoid arthritis.

Rituxan was the original rituximab product launched, but many biosimilars have since come to market including Riabni, Ruxience, Truxima, and Rituxan Hycela. NCCN and Rheumatoid Arthritis Guidelines do not favor one rituximab product over another.

Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.
2. Riabni [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen, Inc.: 2020
3. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939

Rituxan (<i>rituximab</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Rituxan (rituximab) is a monoclonal antibody that induces apoptosis in DHL 4 human B cell lymphoma cells and inhibits rheumatoid factor production, antigen presentation, T-cell activation and proinflammatory cytokine production in rheumatoid arthritis.</p> <p>Rituxan was the original rituximab product launched, but many biosimilars have since come to market including Riabni, Ruxience, Truxima, and Rituxan Hycela. NCCN and Rheumatoid Arthritis Guidelines do not favor one rituximab product over another.</p> <p>Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts. Rituxan [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: 2010 Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939
Rituxan Hycela (<i>rituximab/hyaluronidase</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Rituxan Hycela (rituximab/hyaluronidase) is a monoclonal antibody that induces apoptosis in DHL 4 human B cell lymphoma cells and inhibits rheumatoid factor production, antigen presentation, T-cell activation and proinflammatory cytokine production in rheumatoid arthritis. Hyaluronidase is an enzyme that serves to promote rituximab delivery under the skin so that rituximab can be given subcutaneously (versus intravenously).</p> <p>Rituxan was the original rituximab product launched, but many biosimilars have since come to market including Riabni, Ruxience, Truxima, and Rituxan Hycela. NCCN and Rheumatoid Arthritis Guidelines do not favor one rituximab product over another.</p> <p>Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.</p>

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.
2. Rituxan Hycela [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: 2017
3. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939

Rivfloza (*nedosiran*) injection solution

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Primary hyperoxalurias (PHs) are rare inborn errors of glyoxylate metabolism and are distinguished by the over-production of oxalate, which is poorly soluble and combines with calcium to form kidney and urinary stones. As a patient's glomerular filtration rate decreases throughout their lifetime, plasma oxalate levels will increase, and calcium oxalate will deposit into other areas of the body, such as the heart, bones, and retina. The increased production of oxalate leads to kidney injury, which could lead to kidney failure, necessitating a need for treatment of this condition. Symptoms may appear at any age. There are three notable types of PH that differ based on severity and the genetic mutation present. Primary hyperoxaluria type 1 (PH1) is the most common form, and patients with PH1 have mutation of the AGXT gene, which results in abnormal hepatic enzyme alanine-glyoxylate aminotransferase (AGT), which in turn causes the increase in glyoxylate and oxalate.

Rivfloza is an RNA interference (RNAi) therapy that indirectly lowers the amount of glyoxylate and oxalate. Conservative treatment is recommended initially after diagnosis and includes hyperhydration, alkalizing the urine and trialing pyridoxine. This is noted in The European Rare Kidney Disease Reference Network and OxalEurope developed clinical practice recommendations (2023) for primary hyperoxaluria. RNA interference (RNAi) therapies are briefly mentioned and, in general, are recommended for patients with a genetic diagnosis of PH1. Transplant of the liver and possibly the kidneys are an option to correct the AGXT mutation though recommendations around this area are also unclear.

Clinical trials have shown that Rivfloza and other RNAi therapies (e.g., Oxlumo) can effectively treat the underlying pathophysiology of oxalate overproduction. While RNAi therapies have the potential to improve patient outcomes, it should be noted that the clinical impact is not clear. It is also unclear to what extent these agents might replace a liver and/or kidney transplant.

References

1. Rivfloza subcutaneous injection [prescribing information]. Plainsboro, NJ and Costa Mesa, CA: Novo Nordisk/Dicerna and Pyramid; 2023.
2. Bacchetta J, Lieske JC. Primary hyperoxaluria type 1: novel therapies at a glance. Clin Kidney J. 2022;15(Suppl 1):i17-i22. Published 2022 May 17. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfab245
3. Groothoff JW, Metry E, Deesker L, et al. Clinical practice recommendations for primary hyperoxaluria: an expert consensus statement from ERKNet and OxalEurope. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2023;19:194-211.
4. Drug Evaluation: Rivfloza. Express Scripts Holding Company; 2023.
5. New Drug Review: Rivfloza. IPD Analytics; 2023.
6. Primary Hyperoxaluria: MedlinePlus Genetics. U.S. National Library of Medicine; National Institutes of Health; Department of Health and Human Services. Available at: <https://medlineplus.gov/genetics/condition/primary-hyperoxaluria/#resources>.
7. Baum MA, Langman C, Cochat P, et al. PHYOX2: a pivotal randomized study of nedosiran in primary hyperoxaluria type 1 or 2. Kidney International. 2023 Jan;103(1):207-217. DOI: 10.1016/j.kint.2022.07.025. PMID: 36007597.

Roctavian (*valoctocogene roxaparvovc-rvox*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Roctavian is an adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector-based gene therapy product indicated for the treatment of adults with severe hemophilia A without antibodies to adeno-associated virus serotype 5 (AAV5). Roctavian consists of an AAV5 capsid that contains a DNA sequence that encodes the B-domain deleted SQ form of the human coagulation factor VIII. This is designed to introduce a functional copy of a transgene encoding the B-domain deleted SQ form of human coagulation factor VIII. Transcription of the gene occurs within the liver and results in expression of this factor. The expressed factor replaced missing coagulation factor VIII needed for effective homeostasis.

Hemophilia A is a rare genetic bleeding disorder in which affected individuals have insufficient levels of factor VIII. It is the second most common type of hemophilia and caused by mutations in the F8 gene. The F8 gene is located on the X chromosome and thus the disease is inherited as an X-linked recessive trait.

Symptoms may vary from mild to severe based on the level of factor activity. Severe are noted to have a factor level less than 1% and often have bleeding for no known reason, particularly in joints and muscles.

The standard of care for Hemophilia A is the use of factor VIII replacement therapy. There are two types of products available which include plasma derived factor made from human donations and there is also recombinant factor made by genetically engineered technology. All factors have demonstrated similar efficacy and safety and reduce bleeding episodes.

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References:

1. Roctavian [package insert]. Novato, CA: BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc.: Revised June 2023.
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. Study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of valoctocogene roxaparvovec, with prophylactic steroids in hemophilia A (GENEr8-3) (NCT04323098). Available at: <https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04323098>. Accessed on June 30, 2023.
3. Micromedex Healthcare Series: DRUGDEX. Thomson Micromedex, Greenwood Village, CO. Updated periodically.
4. World Federation of Hemophilia. Guidelines for the management of hemophilia. Haemophilia. 2020 August 3. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/hae.14046>
5. National Organization for Rare Disorders. Hemophilia A. 2022 Aug 31. Available at: <https://rarediseases.org/rarediseases/hemophilia-a/?filter=ovr-ds-resources>. Accessed on June 5, 2024.

Rolvedon (*eflapeggrastim-xnst*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with nonmyeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends

individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the completion of the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

Per NCCN guidelines on Hemopoietic growth Factors, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for filgrastim and pegfilgrastim.

References

1. Rolvedon [Package Insert]. Irvine, CA; Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2022
2. Apro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at:

https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Ryplazim (*plasminogen, human-tvmh*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Ryplazim (plasminogen, human-tvmh) is plasma-derived human plasminogen indicated for the treatment of patients with plasminogen deficiency type 1 (hypoplasminogenemia), to be given 6.6 mg/kg body weight administered every 2 to 4 days.

Congenital type 1 plasminogen deficiency (PLGD) is caused by variants in the plasminogen (PLG) gene, which leads to a deficiency of the plasminogen enzyme and causes reductions in both the level of immunoreactive and functional plasminogen. Congenital type 2 PLGD (dysplasminogenemia) is characterized by a normal or near normal plasminogen immunoreactive plasminogen level with decreased activity. This patient population usually does not exhibit symptoms.

Individuals with PLGD type 1 develop thick growths on the mucous membranes of the body, often referred to as woody lesions or pseudomembranes. Symptoms include juvenile colloid milium, ligneous conjunctivitis, and ligneous gingivitis but lesions can also form in the mucous membranes of the middle ear (leading to chronic middle ear infection (otitis media) and hearing loss), nose, throat, vocal cords, larynx, respiratory tract (leading to recurrent pneumonia and obstruction of the airways), gastrointestinal tract (leading to ulcers or what appears as an inflammatory bowel disease), renal tubules of the kidney (leading to obstruction and poor kidney function), and the female genital tract (leading to pain with menses, intercourse and infertility).

Molecular genetic testing can detect variants in the PLG gene known to cause the disorder and can confirm the diagnosis.

Ryplazim was studied in patients with PLGD type 1 and a baseline plasminogen activity level between <5% and 45% of normal, and biallelic mutations in the PLG gene. Initial dosing frequency was determined based on the plasminogen activity level and was maintained for 12 weeks. If lesions did not resolve by 12 weeks, or there were new or recurrent lesions, the dosing frequency was increased. After 12 weeks, average absolute plasminogen activity in study patients reached physiological levels (70% to 130%) immediately after dosing, were sustained for approximately 24 hours, and continued to maintain an absolute 10% above baseline 96 hours after dosing. External and internal lesions were resolved by the end of week 48 in 75% or more of study patients. No recurrent or new external or internal lesions were observed in any patient through week 48.

References

1. National organization for rare disease NORD. Rare disease database, congenital plasminogen deficiency. <https://rarediseases.org/rare-diseases/congenital-plasminogen-deficiency/> accessed July 2024
2. Ryplazim [Package Insert]. Fort Lee, NJ; Prometic Biotherapeutics, Inc.: 2021

Rystiggo (rozanolixizumab-noli)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Rystiggo (rozanolixizumab-noli) is a neonatal Fc receptor blocker indicated for the treatment of generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG) in adult patients who are anti-acetylcholine receptor (AChR-Ab+) or anti-muscle-specific tyrosine kinase (MuSK) antibody positive. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s). Rystiggo has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other medications used to treat MG.

The International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis recommends a nonsteroidal immunosuppressive (IS) agent be used initially in conjunction with corticosteroids, be used alone, or be added to corticosteroids in certain patients. Nonsteroidal IS agents for MG include azathioprine, cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, methotrexate, and tacrolimus. The effect of azathioprine may be delayed for 4 to 12 months but can reverse symptoms in most patients. Maximum improvement with cyclosporine is achieved 6 months or longer after starting treatment. More than half of patients treated with cyclophosphamide become asymptomatic after one year. Once treatment goals have been achieved and maintained for 6 months to 2 years, the IS dose should be tapered slowly to the minimal effective amount.

Rystiggo was studied in patients with an MG-Activities of Daily Living (MG-ADL) total score of at least 3 (with at least 3 points from non-ocular symptoms) and found to have significantly lower MG-ADL score at day 43 compared with placebo.

Vyvgart (efgartigimod) is also a neonatal Fc receptor blocker approved for the treatment of gMG in adult patients who are AChR-Ab+. Guidelines do not address Rystiggo or Vyvgart.

References

1. Howard JF Jr. Clinical Overview of MG. Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA). Published June 2015. <https://myasthenia.org/Professionals/Clinical-Overview-of-MG>

2. Narayanaswami P, Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: 2020 update. *Neurology*. 2021; 96: 114 - 22. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000011124
3. Rystiggo [Package Insert]. Smyrna, GA; UCB, Inc.: 2023
4. Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, Benatar M, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. *Neurology*. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000002790
5. Skeie GO, Apostolski S, Evoli A, et al. Guidelines for treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular transmission disorders. *European J Neurol*. 2010 Jul; 17 (7): 893 - 902. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03019.x
6. Rystiggo [Package Insert]. Smyrna, GA; UCB, Inc.: 2023

Saphnelo (*anifrolumab-fnia*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Saphnelo (anifrolumab-fnia) is a type I interferon (IFN) receptor antagonist indicated for the treatment of adult patients with moderate to severe systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), who are receiving standard therapy. Saphnelo has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other biologic drug or Lupkynis.

In the absence of contraindications, the 2019 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommends hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) for all patients with SLE. Glucocorticoids (GC) can provide rapid symptom relief, but various detrimental effects limit its use. Consequent initiation of immunosuppressive (IS) drugs, however, facilitates a more rapid GC tapering and may prevent disease flares. IS options include methotrexate, azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, and cyclophosphamide.

Guidelines recommend belimumab should be considered in extrarenal disease with inadequate control (ongoing disease activity or frequent flares) to first-line treatments (typically including combination of HCQ and prednisone with or without IS agents), and inability to taper GC daily dose to acceptable levels. Benlysta (belimumab) is a B-lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS)-specific inhibitor also indicated for the treatment of active systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) in patients aged 5 years and older who are receiving standard therapy. Benlysta was studied in patients with active SLE disease with a SELENA-SLEDAI score ≥ 6 and positive autoantibody test results. Patients receiving Benlysta 10 mg/kg plus standard therapy achieved a significantly higher SRI-4 response than the group receiving placebo plus standard therapy. The SRI uses the SELENA-SLEDAI score as an objective measure of reduction in global disease activity; along with the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG) and the Physician's Global Assessment (PGA) score. Guidelines do not include Saphnelo yet.

Guidelines do recommend treatment in SLE should aim at remission or at low disease activity in all organ systems (if remission cannot be achieved).

References

1. Saphnelo [Package Insert]. Sodertalje, Sweden; AstraZeneca: 2021
2. Benlysta [Package Insert]. Rockville, MD; Human Genome Sciences, Inc.: 2018
3. Fanouriakis A, Kostopoulou M, Alunno A, et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2019;78:736–745. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215089
4. Tunnicliffe DJ, Singh-Grewal D, Kim S, et al. Diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review of clinical practice guidelines. 2015 Oct; 67 (10): 1440 – 52.

Signifor LAR (*pasireotide*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Signifor LAR (pasireotide) is a somatostatin analog indicated for the treatment of Acromegaly and Cushing's disease in adults for whom surgery has not worked well enough or who cannot have surgery.

A Pituitary Society update to acromegaly management guidelines recommend Sandostatin LAR (octreotide) as a well-established treatment for acromegaly. This update further suggests several studies confirm efficacy of Signifor LAR (pasireotide) for some patients uncontrolled on octreotide LAR.

The Consensus on Diagnosis Management of Cushing's Disease: A Guideline Update recommends use of ketoconazole and other steroidogenesis inhibitors for rapid normalization of cortisol. Adrenal steroidogenesis inhibitors are used as first-line agents given their reliable effectiveness. In mild disease, if residual tumor is present and there is a potential for tumor shrinkage, pasireotide or cabergoline can be considered. Combination therapy of ketoconazole plus cabergoline or pasireotide may be rational combinations if there is visible tumor present.

References

1. Signifor LAR [Package Insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation: 2014
2. Fleseriu M, Auchus R, Bancos I, et al. Consensus on diagnosis and management of Cushing's disease: a guideline update. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2021;9(12):847-875. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00235-7
3. Fleseriu M, Biller BMK, Freda PU, et al. A Pituitary Society update to acromegaly management guidelines. *Pituitary*. 2021;24(1):1-13. doi:10.1007/s11102-020-01091-7

Simponi Aria (*golimumab*) IV

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Simponi Aria is a tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) indicated for Ulcerative Colitis (UC), Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), ankylosing spondylitis (AS), and psoriatic arthritis (PsA).

Ankylosing spondylitis 'AS' and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis 'NRAS' are related conditions. The 2019 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for AS and NRAS are similar. Recommended first-line agents include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) due to their well-known safety and efficacy profiles. For patients who have active disease despite treatment with NSAIDs, treatment with a TNFi (Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria, infliximab) is recommended. Cosentyx has a role in those who do not respond to initial TNFi agent. Guidelines do not favor one TNFi over another, nor do they address JAK inhibitors (Rinvoq, Xeljanz), however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in those who had previously had inadequate response to a TNFi.

For Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) for moderate or high disease activity despite prior conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARD). Guidelines do not favor one bDMARD (i.e. infliximab, Skyrizi, Actemra, Cosentyx, Orencia) over another nor do they favor tsDMARD (Xeljanz, Rinvoq) over bDMARD.

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic (infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria, Orencia, Skyrizi) or JAK inhibitor (Rinvoq, Xeljanz) is recommended.

Per the 2020 American Gastroenterology Association guidelines, multiple agents effectively induce and maintain remission of UC, including corticosteroids, 5-aminosalicylates '5-ASA', and biologics. Treatment of mild-to-moderate UC is typically started with 5-ASA therapy. In those who do not respond to 5-ASA therapy, induction can be achieved through short-term corticosteroids. Once induction is achieved, maintenance can be managed with thiopurines. Methotrexate is not recommended for induction or maintenance of remission in UC, whereas biologic agents do have support for use in these treatment areas. Guidelines do not favor one biologic (i.e. Humira, infliximab) over another, nor do they favor biologics over thiopurine monotherapy for those in remission. The guidelines do not address tsDMARDs (Rinvoq, Xeljanz), however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in this condition.

Simponi Aria has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Simponi Aria in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Simponi Aria [Package Insert]. Horshman, PA; Janssen Biotech, Inc.: 2020
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 – 6
3. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939.
4. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.
5. Ward, MM, Deodhar, A, Akl, EA, et al. 2019 Update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Oct;71(10):1599-1613

Sivextro (*tedizolid*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Sivextro (tedizolid) is indicated for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients (12 years or older) with acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (SSTI) and acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections caused by susceptible methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolates.

Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) advises that clinical evaluation of patients with SSTI aims to establish the cause and severity of infection and must take into account pathogen-specific and local antibiotic resistance patterns. There has been a significant increase in the frequency and severity of infections and the emergence of resistance to many of the antimicrobial agents commonly used to treat SSTIs in the past. Some of this increased frequency is related to the emergence of community-associated MRSA.

Gram stain and culture is recommended when evaluating purulent SSTIs (cutaneous abscesses, furuncles, carbuncles, and inflamed epidermoid cysts). Incision and drainage are the recommended treatments for inflamed epidermoid cysts, carbuncles, abscesses, and large furuncles. The decision to administer antibiotics directed against *S. aureus* as an adjunct to incision and drainage should be made based upon presence or absence of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). For moderate purulent infections in patients with systemic signs of infections, empiric treatment with trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMZ) or doxycycline is recommended. For MRSA infections TMP/SMX should be used and for MSSA infections dicloxacillin or cephalexin should be used. For severe purulent infections in patients who have failed incision and drainage plus oral antibiotics or those with systemic signs of infection, empiric treatment and/or confirmed MRSA should be treated with vancomycin,

daptomycin, linezolid, telavancin or ceftaroline. If methicillin-sensitive staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) is confirmed, nafcillin, cefazolin or clindamycin are recommended.

Cultures of blood or cutaneous aspirates, biopsies, or swabs are not routinely recommended for non-purulent SSTI (necrotizing infection, cellulitis, erysipelas). For mild infections without systemic signs of infection, patients should receive an antimicrobial agent that is active against streptococci (penicillin VK, cephalosporin, dicloxacillin or clindamycin). For moderate infections with systemic signs of infection, intravenous treatment with penicillin, ceftriaxone, cefazolin or clindamycin is recommended. In severe infection, in patients who have failed oral antibiotic treatment or those with systemic signs of infection, emergent surgical inspection/debridement is recommended to rule out necrotizing process. Patients should also receive empiric treatment with vancomycin plus piperacillin/tazobactam. For treatment of streptococcal skin infections, in patients with a severe penicillin sensitivity clindamycin, vancomycin, linezolid, daptomycin or telavancin are recommended. The guidelines mention tedizolid as an effective agent in SSTIs, including MRSA, but makes no recommendation regarding its use since it was not yet approved by the Food and Drug administration when they were published.

A 6-day course of oral tedizolid was noninferior to a 10-day course of oral linezolid for the treatment of acute complicated bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) in adults (N=667). Early clinical response (ECR; no increase in lesion surface area and an oral temperature of 37.6 degrees C or lower at 48 to 72 hours) was achieved in 79.5% of tedizolid recipients and in 79.4% of linezolid recipients. This included 42.1% and 43.1% of tedizolid and linezolid recipients with MRSA. Additionally, clinical response rate at the end of treatment (day 11) and posttherapy evaluation (days 7 to 14), failure rate, and adverse event profiles were similar among study arm.

References

1. Sivextro for injection [Package Insert]. Rahway, NF; Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC: 2014
2. Stevens DL, Bisno AL, Chambers HF, et al. Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Clinical Infect Dis 2014; 59(2): e10–e52, <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciu296>
3. Mikamo H, Takesue Y, Iwamoto Y, et al: Efficacy, safety and pharmacokinetics of tedizolid versus linezolid in patients with skin and soft tissue infections in Japan - results of a randomised, multicentre phase 3 study. J Infect Chemother 2018; 24(6):434-442.
4. Prokocimer P, De Anda C, Fang E, et al: Tedizolid phosphate vs linezolid for treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections: the ESTABLISH-1 randomized trial. JAMA 2013; 309(6):559-569

Skyrizi (*risankizumab-rzaa*) IV 600 mg/10 mL vial

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Skyrizi (*risankizumab-rzaa*) is an IL-23 antagonist indicated for multiple inflammatory conditions including moderate to severe active Crohn's disease and moderate to severely active ulcerative colitis. Inhibition of IL-23 blocks the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, disrupting the inflammation cascade. It is available as both an IV and SC formulation. The IV formulation is only approved for Crohn's Disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis induction dosing and is not indicated for maintenance treatment or for other inflammatory conditions. Following induction-dosing, all patients being treated for active CD or UC should be transitioned to the SC formulation.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology guidelines recommend multiple agents in the treatment of active CD and induction of CD remission. Corticosteroids are primarily used to treat active flares but have been shown to induce/maintain remission in those with moderate-to-severe CD. These steroids are recommended for short-term use only. They should be discontinued through tapering and switched to steroid-sparing options within weeks of starting, should symptoms persist despite initial steroid treatment. The guidelines recommend mercaptopurine, azathioprine, and methotrexate as steroid-sparing options (other agents like cyclosporine, tacrolimus, and mycophenolate are not indicated for CD and should not be used). Biologics, such as TNFis (infliximab, adalimumab, and certolizumab pegol) and Skyrizi, are recommended to treat CD that does not respond adequately to treatment with corticosteroids or other steroid-sparing DMARD treatments.

The 2020 American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) Clinical Practice Guidelines recommend multiple agents in the treatment of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. Systemic oral glucocorticoids are used for inducing remission while thiopurine monotherapy can be considered for maintenance of remission. In hospitalized adult patients with acute severe ulcerative colitis refractory to intravenous corticosteroids, the AGA suggests using infliximab or cyclosporine. The AGA also recommends using infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab, vedolizumab, tofacitinib, or ustekinumab for induction and maintenance of remission. Skyrizi is not mentioned in the 2020 AGA guidelines for management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. In the INSPIRE induction study, clinical remission was significantly greater in adults who received risankizumab-rzaa compared to placebo. In the COMMAND maintenance study, patients who achieved a clinical response in the induction study were randomized to receive maintenance treatment with risankizumab-rzaa. Clinical remission was significantly greater for patients receiving risankizumab-rzaa compared to placebo.

Skyrizi has not been studied in combination with other biologic disease-modifying agents (tumor necrosis factor inhibitors, interleukin receptor antagonists, etc), targeted synthetic DMARDs (JAK inhibitors), or PDE4 inhibitors (Otezla) due to an increased risk of infection and

increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Skyrizi in combination with other biologic agents, targeted synthetic DMARDs, or Otezla is not recommended.

References

1. Skyrizi [Package Insert]. North Chicago, IL; AbbVie Inc.; 2024
2. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of Crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 May; 113 (4): 481-517
3. Feuerstein, JD, Isaacs KL, Yecheskel Y, et al. AGA Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Moderate to Severe Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020 April; 158 (5):1450 - 1461

Skysona (*elivaldogene autotemcel*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Skysona is a lentiviral vector (LVV)-based autologous hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy indicated as a single dose per lifetime, to slow the progression of neurological dysfunction in male pediatric patients diagnosed with cerebral adrenoleukodystrophy (CALD). CALD is a rare neurologic disease caused by mutations in the ABCD1 gene that leads to a buildup of VLCFA causing inflammation and damage to the brain.

The possibility of adrenoleukodystrophy (ALD) may be raised by clinical signs or symptoms, family history of ALD, or a positive newborn screen. The VLCFA panel is highly sensitive for detecting ALD and is the appropriate first step in the diagnosis. If VLCFA levels are elevated or if the ratios of VLCFA are abnormal, genetic testing for mutations of the ABCD1 gene should be performed to confirm the diagnosis. Individuals with confirmed ALD should undergo neuroimaging of the brain using MRI at the time of diagnosis. In symptomatic males with cerebral disease, MRI demonstrates abnormal demyelination in cerebral white matter.

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) may delay progression of childhood CALD however, there are limitations. HSCT is only indicated for patients in early stages of disease who show evidence of central nervous system involvement but no neurological symptoms. The most successful outcomes are reported in patients who received cells from human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-identical, related donors unaffected with the disorder. Also note that allogeneic HCT is a major procedure that carries significant risks, including infection and graft-versus-host disease.

References:

1. Skysona [Package Insert]. Somerville, MA; bluebird bio, Inc.: 2022

2. Eichler F, Duncan C, Musolino PL, et al. Hematopoietic stem-cell gene therapy for cerebral adrenoleukodystrophy. NEJM. 2017 Oct 26; 377 (17): 1630 – 8.
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. A phase 3 study of Lenti-D drug product after myeloablative conditioning using busulfan and fludarabine in subjects ≤ 17 years of age with cerebral adrenoleukodystrophy (CALD). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03852498?intr=%22LentiD%22+OR+%22Elivaldogene+A utotemcel%22+OR+%22eli-cel%22&draw=2&rank=3>. Accessed on May 29, 2024.

Soliris (eculizumab)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Soliris (eculizumab) is a complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of multiple indications involving the complement system including neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD), generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG) in patients who are anti-acetylcholine receptor antibody positive (AChR-Ab+), atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS), and paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH). Soliris has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with certain other medications used for NMOSD, MG, aHUS, or PHN (except danicopan).

The NMOSD diagnostic criteria for adults include at least 1 core clinical characteristic (optic neuritis, acute myelitis, area postrema syndrome, acute brainstem syndrome, symptomatic narcolepsy or acute diencephalic clinical syndrome with NMOSD-typical diencephalic MRI lesions, or symptomatic cerebral syndrome with NMOSD-typical brain lesions) and detection of AQP4-immunoglobulin G antibodies. Treatments for relapse prevention in NMOSD include immunosuppressants (corticosteroids, azathioprine, mycophenolate, methotrexate, cyclosporine, and tacrolimus), B cell depleting agents (rituximab and inebilizumab (Uplizna)), interleukin-6 signaling blocking agents (satralizumab (Enspryng)), and complement blocking agents (Soliris, Ultomiris). The European Federation of the Neurological Societies recommend azathioprine and rituximab as first-line therapy and cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate as second-line therapy for NMOSD. The NMOSD Delphi Consensus Statements recommend Enspryng, Uplizna, or Soliris following failure of existing treatments. Soliris was studied in patients with at least 1 attack in the previous 12 months and with an Expanded Disability Status Scale score of 7 or less. Attacks were significantly reduced with Soliris compared with placebo.

The International Consensus Guidance for Management of MG recommends a nonsteroidal immunosuppressive (IS) agent (azathioprine, cyclosporine, e.g.) be used initially with or without corticosteroids in certain patients. Azathioprine can reverse symptoms in most patients, but the effect is delayed by 4 to 8 months. Maximum improvement with

cyclosporine is achieved after 6 months. Most patients treated with cyclophosphamide become asymptomatic after 1 year. Once treatment goals are achieved and maintained for at least 6 months, the IS dose is tapered slowly to the minimal effective dose.

Vyvgart (efgartigimod) is a neonatal Fc receptor blocker also approved for the treatment of gMG in patients with AChR-Ab+ disease. Soliris was studied in patients with an MG-Activities of Daily Living (MG-ADL) total score of 6 or more and found to have significantly improved the MG-ADL score compared with placebo. The 2020 Update to the guidance recommends Soliris be considered in the treatment of severe, refractory, AChR-Ab+ gMG.

AHUS consists of acute hemolytic anemia with fragmented red blood cells (microangiopathic hemolytic anemia), thrombocytopenia, and acute kidney injury. Mutations in complement genes, or antibodies to their protein products, result in unregulated activity of the alternate complement pathway, endothelial injury, and TMA (lesions in the kidneys and other organs). Signs of TMA include increases in serum LDH and serum creatinine levels and a decrease in platelet count. aHUS is diagnosed with laboratory and clinical aspects along with exclusion of other causes of HUS and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura.

PNH is a hematopoietic stem cell disorder caused by a gene mutation that leads to abnormal red blood cells. Flow cytometry is the method of choice for identifying cells deficient in GPI-linked proteins and is the gold standard test to confirm the diagnosis of PNH. In PNH, thrombotic tendencies can occur in the extremities and atypical locations, such as hepatic portal (Budd-Chiari Syndrome), splenic, or mesenteric veins. Treatment options include supportive care (e.g. red blood cell transfusion), allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, and complement therapy. Consider discontinuation of complement inhibitor treatment in the absence of clinical benefit.

References

1. Borowitz MJ, Craig FE, DiGiuseppe JA, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and monitoring of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and related disorders by flow cytometry. Cytometry Part B (Clinical Cytometry). 2010; 78B: 211 – 30.
2. Kaplan BS, Ruebner RL, Spinale JM, et al. Current treatment of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Intractable Rare Dis Res. 2014 May; 3 (2): 34 – 45
3. Narayanaswami P, Sanders DB, Wolfe G, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. Neurology. 2021 Jan 19; 96 (3): 114 – 22.
4. Sellner J, Boggild M, Clanet M, et al. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica. EJM. 2010; 17: 1019 – 32.
5. Soliris [Package Insert]. Cheshire, CT; Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2007
6. Howard JF Jr. Clinical Overview of MG. Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA). Published June 2015. <https://myasthenia.org/Professionals/Clinical-Overview-of-MG>
7. Vyvgart [Package Insert]. Zwijnaarde, Belgium; argenx BV: 2021
8. Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, Benatar M, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. Neurology. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002790

9. Skeie GO, Apostolski S, Evoli A, et al. Guidelines for treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular transmission disorders. *European J Neurol*. 2010 Jul; 17 (7): 893 - 902. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03019.x
10. Cançado RD, da Silva Araújo A, Sandes AF, et al. Consensus statement for diagnosis and treatment of paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria. *Hematol Transfus Cell Ther*. 2021; 43:341-348.
11. Chan, Koon-Ho, and Chi-Yan Lee. "Treatment of Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorders." *International journal of molecular sciences* vol. 22,16 8638. 11 Aug. 2021, doi:10.3390/ijms22168638.
12. Sellner J, Boggild M, Clanet M, et al. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica. *EJN*. 2010; 17: 1019 – 32. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03066.x
13. Wingerchuk DM, Banwell B, Bennett JL, et al. International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurology*. 2015 Jul 14; 85(2):177-189. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000001729

Spevigo (*spesolimab-sbzo*) 450 mg/7.5 mL vial

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

There are various types of psoriasis including plaque, pustular, guttate, inverse and erythrodermic. Generalized pustular psoriasis (GPP) is a rare and potentially life-threatening subtype of pustular psoriasis characterized by flares of widespread, painful, neutrophil-containing pustules. Patients can appear ill with systemic symptoms such as fever, fatigue, nausea, and headache.

The European Rare and Severe Psoriasis Expert Network (ERASPEN) consensus criteria are used to help define and diagnose GPP. ERASPEN defines GPP as primary, sterile, macroscopically visible pustules occurring on non-acral skin and not within psoriasis plaques. GPP can occur with or without systemic inflammation and with or without psoriasis vulgaris. ERASPEN states that GPP should only be diagnosed if it has relapsed at least once or when it persists for more than 3 months.

Goals of treatment of GPP are to improve pustules, alleviate systemic symptoms, and minimize risk of life-threatening complications. There are no standard guidelines for treatment of GPP. Oral retinoids (e.g., acitretin), cyclosporine, methotrexate, and various biologics including tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors such as infliximab are recommended first-line for GPP in the Japanese guidelines for the treatment of GPP (2018), a 2012 consensus statement from the NPF Medical Board, and joint guidelines on psoriasis from the American Academy of Dermatology and NPF (2019, 2020). More severe, acute GPP flares require faster-acting therapies including cyclosporine, infliximab, interleukin (IL-17) and (IL-23) biologics. Cyclosporine and infliximab have a long-standing history for the treatment of GPP and are supported by the above guidelines.

Spevigo for GPP flares was evaluated in the Effisayil-1 trial. Patients had a diagnosis of GPP per the ERASPEN diagnostic criteria and presented with a GPP flare of moderate to severe intensity defined by the following: a GPPPGA total score of 3 or more, new or worsening pustules, GPPPGA pustulation sub-score of 2 more, and 5% more of body-surface area with erythema and the presence of pustules. Participants received a single 900 mg intravenous (IV) dose of Spevigo. Participants could then receive an additional open-label, IV dose of Spevigo on day 8, an open-label, IV dose of Spevigo as a rescue medication after day 8, or both, and were followed to week 12. Subsequent flares were treated with standard of care therapy per the physician's discretion.

Per its prescribing information, the recommended dose of Spevigo is a single 900 mg dose administered by IV infusion to treat a GPP flare. If flare symptoms persist, an additional IV 900 mg dose may be given one week after the initial dose. There is no literature supporting the continued use of the Spevigo intravenous (IV) formulation as maintenance treatment for prevention or control of GPP flares.

References

1. Spevigo [Package Insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2022.
2. Fujita H, Terui T, et al. Japanese guidelines for the management and treatment of generalized pustular psoriasis: The new pathogenesis and treatment of GPP. *Journal of Dermatology* 2018; 45: 1235-1270.
3. Krueger J, Puig L, Thaci D. Treatment Options and goals for Patients with Generalized Pustular Psoriasis. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 23 (Suppl 1), 51–64 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-021-00658-9>.
4. Robinson A, Van Voorhees, AS, et al. Treatment of pustular psoriasis: From the Medical Board of the National Psoriasis Foundation. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2012;67:279-88.
5. Navarini AA, Burden AD, et al. European consensus statement on phenotypes of pustular psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2017 Nov;32(11):1792-1799. doi: 10.1111/jdv.14386

Spinraza (*nusinersen sodium*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Spinraza (nusinersen) intrathecal injection is a survival motor neuron-2 (SMN2)-directed antisense oligonucleotide indicated for the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) in pediatric and adult patients. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

Currently, there are no guidelines regarding the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy. Evrysdi (risdiplam) for oral solution is a survival of motor neuron 2 (SMN2) splicing modifier also indicated for the treatment of SMA in pediatric and adult patients. It is a systemic therapy administered by mouth and is the least invasive treatment of SMA approved by the

US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In the study of Evrysdi, outcomes were better than those predicted from the natural history of SMA disease progression.

Spinraza was studied in presymptomatic SMA patients who had a genetic diagnosis of 5q SMA and 2 or 3 copies of SMN2.

References

1. Spinraza [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Biogen Inc.: 2016
2. Evrysdi [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: 2024

Spravato (*esketamine*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Spravato is a non-competitive N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist indicated for the treatment of Treatment-Resistant Depression (TRD), in conjunction with an oral antidepressant, in adults.

Although there is not an official consensus for the definition of TRD, many treatment models consider TRD as the inadequate response to at least 2 adequate trials of antidepressant pharmacotherapy. Initial treatment options include but are not limited to selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) [ex. sertraline, fluoxetine, paroxetine], serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) [ex. venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine, duloxetine], norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors (NDRIs) [bupropion], and tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) [ex. amitriptyline, nortriptyline]. Once considered treatment resistant, recommended augmentation strategies include addition of one of the following agents to a first-line antidepressant: antipsychotics [ex. aripiprazole, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone], lithium, or thyroid hormone (T3) [ex. liothyronine].

References

1. Spravato [Package Insert]. Lakewood, NJ; Renaissance Lakewood LLC: 2019
2. Voineskos, Daphne et al. "Management of Treatment-Resistant Depression: Challenges and Strategies." Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment vol. 16 221-234. 21 Jan. 2020, doi:10.2147/NDT.S198774

Stelara (*ustekinumab*) IV 130 mg/26 ml vial

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Stelara is a monoclonal antibody that inhibits IL-12 and IL-23 and is an interleukin-17 (IL-17) receptor A antagonist indicated for Plaque Psoriasis (PsO), Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA), Ulcerative Colitis (UC) and Crohn's Disease (CD).

Per the 2018 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) guidelines, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, cyclosporine, and leflunomide may be used in patients with non-severe Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) and have robust safety and efficacy evidence to support their use. If initial treatment is not sufficient, switching to a biologic (infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Simponi Aria, Orencia, Skyrizi) or JAK inhibitor (Rinvoq, Xeljanz) is recommended.

The 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic) recommend methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i), IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition. These include infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Skyrizi, Stelara and Cosentyx. Otezla is also a recommended treatment option included in the guidelines.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend mercaptopurine, azathioprine, and methotrexate in symptomatic CD despite prior corticosteroid use. TNFi agents (i.e. Humira) are effective in those with inadequate response to these initial therapies. Other bDMARDs (Skyrizi) and tsDMARDs (Rinvoq) are not addressed by the guidelines, however these agents have since been FDA-approved for use in this condition.

Per the 2020 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (non-biologic), recommended treatments include methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin. Methotrexate and cyclosporine are category A recommendations, whereas acitretin is a category B recommendation. The 2019 Joint AAD-NPF guidelines (biologics) recommend (category A) the use of biologics in treating psoriasis but do not suggest one agent over another. TNFis, interleukin-12/23 inhibitors (IL-12/IL-23i), IL-23i, and IL-17i have all shown efficacy in this condition. These include infliximab, Humira, Enbrel, Skyrizi, and Cosentyx. Otezla is also a recommended treatment option included in the guidelines.

Stelara has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Stelara in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Stelara [Package Insert]. Horsham, PA; Janssen Biotech, Inc.: 2016
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 – 6
3. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 April; 113 (4): 481-517
4. Singh JA, et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Jan; 71 (1): 5-32.

Stimufend (pegfilgrastim-fpgk)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well

established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post- remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

References

1. Stimufend [Package Insert]. Lake Zurich, IL; Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC: 2022
2. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Supprelin LA (<i>histrelin acetate</i>) implant
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Supprelin LA (histrelin acetate) is a gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist indicated for the treatment of children with central precocious puberty (CPP).</p> <p>CPP is a condition characterized by typical biochemical and physical features of puberty, but occurs at an abnormally early age. The current standard of care for the treatment of CPP is the initiation of a GNRH agonist. This includes leuprolide monthly or every 3 month injection and histrelin implant. There are currently no clinical studies available suggesting the use of one GnRH agonist product over the other.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supprelin LA [Package Insert]. Chadds Ford, PA; Endo Pharmaceuticals Solutions Inc.: 2011 2. Chen M, Eugster EA. Central Precocious Puberty: Update on Diagnosis and Treatment. <i>Pediatric Drugs</i>. 2015;17(4):273-281. doi:10.1007/s40272-015-0130-8
Susvimo (<i>ranibizumab</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Susvimo (ranibizumab) ocular implant, a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor, is indicated for the treatment of patients with neovascular (wet) age-related macular degeneration (AMD) who have previously responded to at least two intravitreal injections of a VEGF inhibitor.</p> <p>Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a leading cause of severe, irreversible vision impairment in developed countries. The main risk factors for the development of advanced AMD are increasing age, ethnicity (i.e., Caucasian) and family history. The Age-Related Macular Degeneration Preferred Practice Pattern Guideline supports the use of antioxidant vitamins and minerals for slowing the progression to later stages of AMD, intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF agents, photodynamic therapy (PDT), and laser photocoagulation surgery to treat neovascular AMD. The VEGF inhibitors have demonstrated improved visual and anatomic outcomes compared with other therapies. Anti-VEGF therapies have become first-line therapy for treating and stabilizing most cases of neovascular AMD and a Cochrane systematic review demonstrates the effectiveness of these agents to maintain visual acuity. Guidelines recommend the various VEGF inhibitors including Eylea, Avastin, Vabysmo, and Lucentis for treatment.</p>

Ranibizumab intravitreal injection implant (n=248) was equivalent to ranibizumab intravitreal injection (n=167) for the change from baseline in distance Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) score averaged over weeks 36 and 40 measured using the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) visual acuity chart at a starting distance of 4 meters (0.2 vs 0.5; treatment difference, -0.3 [95% CI, -1.7 to 1.1]) in the randomized ARCHWAY trial in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration. The study included patients who had received a median of 4 doses of anti-VEGF intravitreal agents in the study eye with demonstrated response prior to study treatment.

References

1. Susvimo [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech, Inc.: 2021
2. Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Bailey ST, et al. Age-related macular degeneration preferred practice pattern. *Ophthalmology*. 2020 Jan (updated March 2022); 127 (1): P1 - P65.
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Phase III Study to Evaluate the Port Delivery System With Ranibizumab Compared With Monthly Ranibizumab Injections in Participants With Wet Age-Related Macular Degeneration (Archway) (NCT04429503).

Syfovre (*pegcetacoplan*) intravitreal injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Syfovre (pegcetacoplan) is a complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of geographic atrophy (GA) secondary to age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

The American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) state that an estimated 80% of patients with AMD have non-neovascular or atrophic AMD. The neovascular form is responsible for a large fraction of the severe central visual acuity (VA) loss associated with AMD.

Diagnostic testing such as optical coherence tomography (OCT) is important in diagnosing and managing AMD. OCT defines the cross-sectional architecture of the retina, which is not possible with any other imaging technology and can aid in determining the presence of subretinal and intraretinal fluid and in documenting the degree of retinal thickening. AAO also suggests that fundus autofluorescence is helpful to demonstrate areas of geographic atrophy and monitor their progression. Outcome goals are to reverse or minimize visual loss and improve visual function.

Syfovre, given monthly or every other month (EOM), was evaluated in two Phase 3 trials, DERBY and OAKS. In these trials, reductions in geographic lesion growth ranged from 16% to

22% from baseline to 24 months with modest differences between monthly and EOM administration. In OAKS, reductions in overall geographic lesion growth ranged from 16% to 18% in the EOM group compared to 21% to 22% in the monthly group. In DERBY, reductions ranged from 11% to 16% in the EOM group versus 12% to 19% in the monthly group. In addition, Syfovre did not meet its primary outcome of change in lesion growth compared to sham at 12 months in the DERBY trial. There were no differences between the Syfovre and sham groups in outcomes measuring visual function. Adverse reactions occurred more frequently in the monthly Syfovre treatment group compared with the EOM Syfovre group with fewer rates of neovascular (wet) AMD reported with the EOM regimen (7%) compared to the monthly regimen (12%).

At this time, Syfovre has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other medications used to treat GA.

References

1. Syfovre [Package Insert]. Waltham, MA; Apellis Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2023
2. Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Bailey ST, et al. Age-related macular degeneration preferred practice pattern. *Ophthalmology*. 2020 Jan (updated March 2022); 127 (1): 1 - 65. DOI: 10.1016/j.optha.2019.09.024
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to compare the efficacy and safety of intravitreal APL-2 therapy with sham injections in patients with geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration (NCT03525613). Available at: <https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03525613>
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. Study to compare the efficacy and safety of intravitreal APL-2 therapy with sham injections in patients with geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration (NCT03525600). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03525600>.

Synjoynt (*hyaluronan or derivative*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee A

who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally not recommended in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly not recommended in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Synojoynt [Package Insert]. Gyeonggi-do, Korea; Hanmi Pharm Co., Ltd
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarth Cart* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Synvisc/Synvisc One (*hyaluronan/hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection (*hyaluronan or derivative*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally recommended against in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly recommended against in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Synvisc [Package Insert]. Ridgefield, NJ; Biomatix, Incorporated
2. Synvisc One [Package Insert]. Ridgefield, NJ; Biomatix, Incorporated

3. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarth Cart* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
4. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline.
<https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpq>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Tecartus (*brexucabtagene autoleucel*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Priority Health follows NCD 110.24 for Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-Cell Therapy.

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 110.24 Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell Therapy.
2. Tecartus [Package Insert]. Santa Monica, CA; Kite Pharma, Inc.

Tepezza (*teprotumumab-trbw*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Tepezza (teprotumumab-trbw) for injection is an insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor inhibitor indicated for the treatment of Thyroid Eye Disease (TED).

Thyroid eye disease is also known as thyroid-associated orbitopathy or Graves' orbitopathy (GO). The 2021 European Group on Graves' orbitopathy (EUGOGO) clinical practice guidelines for the medical management of Graves' orbitopathy recommend a combination of i.v. methylprednisolone and mycophenolate sodium (or mofetil) as first-line treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO with the optimal regimen being a cumulative dose of 4.5 g of i.v. methylprednisolone given in 12 weekly infusions (six infusions of 0.5 g, followed by six infusions of 0.25 g). Alternatively, in most severe cases and constant/inconstant diplopia, monotherapy with higher cumulative doses not exceeding 8 g can be used. Second-line

treatment options include a second course of i.v. methylprednisolone monotherapy, oral prednisone/prednisolone combined with either cyclosporine or azathioprine, orbital radiotherapy combined with oral or i.v. glucocorticoids, teprotumumab, rituximab, and tocilizumab.

If treated with oral glucocorticoids, treatment is recommended to start with either with a fixed dose of 100 mg prednisone/prednisolone or 1 mg/kg bodyweight and tapered down by 5 to 10 mg each week until withdrawal (over 4 to 6 months).

References

1. Tepezza [Package Insert]. Dublin, Ireland; Horizon Therapeutics Ireland DAC: 2020
2. Bartalena L, Kahaly GJ, Baldeschi L, et al. The 2021 European Group on Graves' orbitopathy (EUGOGO) clinical practice guidelines for the medical management of Graves' orbitopathy. Eur J Endocrinol. 2021 Aug 27;185(4):G43-G67. doi: 10.1530/EJE-21-0479.

Testopel (*testosterone*) implant

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Testopel is an androgen indicated for testosterone replacement therapy in adult males for conditions associated with a deficiency or absence of endogenous testosterone: primary hypogonadism (congenital or acquired) and hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (congenital or acquired).

The 2018 Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline on testosterone therapy in men with hypogonadism recommends diagnosing hypogonadism in men with symptoms and signs of testosterone deficiency and unequivocally and consistently low serum total testosterone and/or free testosterone concentrations. Testosterone therapy is recommended in hypogonadal men to induce and maintain secondary sex characteristics and correct symptoms of testosterone deficiency. After treatment initiation, patients should be evaluated to assess whether the patient has responded to treatment, is suffering any adverse effects, and is complying with the treatment regimen.

Per the American Urological Association (AUA) Guideline on Evaluation and Management of Testosterone Deficiency, clinicians should use a total testosterone level below 300 ng/dL as a reasonable cut-off in support of the diagnosis of low testosterone. The diagnosis of low testosterone should be made only after two total testosterone measurements are taken on separate occasions with both conducted in an early morning fashion. The clinical diagnosis of testosterone deficiency is only made when patients have low total testosterone levels combined with symptoms and/or signs. PSA should be measured in men over 40 years of age prior to commencement of testosterone therapy to exclude a prostate cancer diagnosis.

Per the Clinical Guideline from the American College of Physicians Testosterone Treatment in Adult Men With Age-Related Low Testosterone clinicians should consider intramuscular rather than transdermal formulations when initiating testosterone treatment as costs are considerably lower for the intramuscular formulation and clinical effectiveness and harms are similar. Evidence from 20 observational studies with a mean follow-up ranging from 0.73 to 10.3 years showed no increased risk for mortality, cardiovascular events, prostate cancer, or pulmonary embolism or deep venous thrombosis. No consistent differences were observed in harms according to transdermal versus intramuscular formulations in the included observational studies that addressed the comparison. Evidence from indirect comparisons suggests no substantial differences in clinical effectiveness, benefits, or harms between intramuscular and transdermal testosterone applications, although very little evidence exists from direct comparisons of the 2 formulations.

References

1. Testopel [Package Insert]. Malvern, PA; Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc.: 2018
2. Bhasin et al. Testosterone Therapy in Men with Hypogonadism: An Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, May 2018; 103(5):1715–1744.
3. Qaseem, A et al. Testosterone Treatment in Adult Men with Age-Related Low Testosterone: A Clinical Guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2020; 172(2): 126-133.
4. Mulhall JP, Trost LW, Brannigan RE, et al. Evaluation and management of testosterone deficiency: AUA guideline. J Urol 2018; 200:423.

Tezspire (*tezepelumab-ekko*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Tezspire (tezepelumab-ekko) is a thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) blocker, human monoclonal antibody (IgG2λ), indicated for the add-on maintenance treatment of severe asthma. TSLP is a cytokine involved in the asthma immune response and is over-expressed in asthma patients.

The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) Guidelines on difficult-to-treat & severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients recommend using type 2-targeted biologic agents as add-on for patients with exacerbations and/or poor symptom control despite taking at least high-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and long-acting beta agonist (LABA) combinations, and who have allergic or eosinophilic biomarkers or need maintenance oral corticosteroids. Type 2-inflammation is defined as blood eosinophils $\geq 150\mu\text{l}$ and/or FeNO ≥ 20 ppb and/or sputum eosinophils $\geq 2\%$ and/or asthma is clinically allergen driven. GINA guidelines also advise treatment should be optimized prior to initiating a biologic agent. For therapy optimization,

consider trials of non-biologic medications in addition to medium/high dose ICS, such as LABA, long-acting muscarinic agonists (LAMA), and leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA).

Tezspire has not been studied in combination with other biologic agents due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Tezspire in combination with other biologic agents is not recommended.

References

1. Tezspire [Package Insert]. Sodertalje, Sweden; AstraZeneca: 2023
2. Global Initiative for Asthma. Difficult-To-Treat & Severe Asthma in adolescents and adult patients, 2023.
3. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2023

Tofidence (*tocilizumab-bavi*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Tofidence (tocilizumab-bavi) and Tyenne (tocilizumab-aazg) are biosimilars to Actemra (tocilizumab). Tocilizumab is an interleukin-6 inhibitor (IL-6i) indicated for multiple inflammatory conditions.

A randomized double-blind, single-dose, three-arm, parallel phase I study compared the pharmacokinetics, safety and immunogenicity of Tofidence with reference tocilizumab in healthy volunteers. A randomized, double-blind, multi-dose, three-arm parallel phase III study compared Tofidence with tocilizumab to establish equivalent efficacy and comparable pharmacokinetic, safety and immunogenicity profiles, in subjects with rheumatoid arthritis inadequately controlled by methotrexate.

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has determined the biosimilars to be highly similar to the reference product (Actemra) and supports the use of approved biosimilars.

Tocilizumab has not been studied in combination with other biologics or Janus Kinase (JAK) inhibitors due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of tocilizumab in combination with other biologic agents or JAK inhibitors is not recommended. Tocilizumab has not been studied with Otezla and has no studies to support coadministration.

Step therapy is applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, or biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.

References

1. Tofidence [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Biogen MA Inc: 2024.
2. Actemra [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA: Genentech USA, Inc.; 2013
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. Comparative Study to Evaluate the Pharmacokinetics of BAT1806 vs Actemra® in Healthy Subjects. (NCT03606876) Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03606876>
4. Clinicaltrials.gov. Comparative Study of BAT1806 to RoActemra® in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients With Inadequate Response to Methotrexate. (NCT03830203) Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03830203>
5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs>.
6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf

Tremfya (*guselkumab*) IV vial

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Tremfya is an interleukin-23 (IL-23) inhibitor and is available in both a subcutaneous (SC) injection and an intravenous (IV) infusion. The IV formulation is currently indicated for the induction phase of ulcerative colitis treatment in adults. The SC formulation is indicated in the maintenance phase of treatment in ulcerative colitis, as well as other inflammatory conditions such as psoriatic arthritis and plaque psoriasis.

The 2019 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines for ulcerative colitis (UC) recommend that management of UC be guided by the specific diagnosis, disease activity, and disease prognosis.

Both the 2019 ACG guidelines and the 2020 American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) guidelines have recommendations for the induction of remission in moderate to severely active UC that include tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors, oral 5-aminosalicylates, oral budesonide, and oral systemic corticosteroids. Recommendation for maintenance of remission for moderate to severe disease also include several drug classes including interleukin 12/23 therapies (ustekinumab), vedolizumab, TNF inhibitors, Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors, and immunomodulators (thiopurines, methotrexate).

Per the 2020 AGA guidelines for managing moderate to severe ulcerative colitis (UC), if a drug (excluding corticosteroids and cyclosporine) is effective in inducing remission or response, it should be continued for maintaining remission. For patients who have achieved remission, typically induced with corticosteroids, the panel suggests using thiopurine monotherapy rather than no treatment for maintenance. For induction of remission, the panel recommends biologic monotherapy over thiopurine. However, the panel does not make a specific recommendation for or against using biologic monotherapy over thiopurine monotherapy for maintaining remission.

There is limited data on the concurrent use of Tremfya with other biologic agents, targeted synthetic DMARDs (e.g., JAK inhibitors), and phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4) inhibitors (Otezla). Given the absence of strong clinical evidence and the potential for increased infection risk, it is not recommended to use Tremfya in combination with these agents.

References

1. Tremfya (guselkumab) [prescribing information]. Horsham, PA: Janssen Biotech Inc; September 2024.
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 - 61. 29.
3. Rubin DT, Ananthakrishnan AN, Siegel CA, et al. ACG clinical guideline: ulcerative colitis in adults. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2019; 114: 384–413.

Triluron (*hyaluronan/hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally not recommended in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly not recommended in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported.

These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Triluron [Package Insert]. Padua, Italy; Fidia Farmaceutici S.p.A.: 2019
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarth Cart* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline.
<https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpq>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Trivisc (*hyaluronan/hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular

medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally not recommended in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly not recommended in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Trivisc [Package Insert]. Madrid, Spain; Tedec Meiji Farma
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarth Cart* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Tyenne (<i>tocilizumab-aazg</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Tyenne (tocilizumab-aazg) is a biosimilar to Actemra (tocilizumab).</p> <p>Tocilizumab (including biosimilars) is an interleukin-6 inhibitor (IL-6i) indicated for multiple inflammatory conditions, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), giant cell arteritis, and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has determined Tyenne to be highly similar to its reference product, and supports the use of approved biosimilars.</p> <p>Guidelines favor the use of biologic DMARDs (bDMARD) in those with moderate or high disease activity despite previous conventional synthetic (csDMARD) trials for RA and JIA. Guidelines do not currently favor one bDMARD class over another, however tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFis) have the most documented safety and efficacy profiles. Infliximab agents (including Inflectra and Renflexis) are TNFis that work to block the activity of TNF, a cytokine that causes inflammation. It is this inflammation that is the primary target in the treatment of conditions like RA and JIA.</p> <p>Tocilizumab has not been studied in combination with other biologics or Janus Kinase (JAK) inhibitors due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of tocilizumab in combination with other biologic agents or JAK inhibitors is not recommended. Tocilizumab has not been studied with Otezla and has no studies to support coadministration.</p> <p>Step therapy is applied to certain Part B drugs, biologics, or biosimilars in a way that lowers costs and improves the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries per the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tyenne [Package Insert]. Lake Zurich, IL; Fresenius Kabi USA LLC: 2024. 2. Fraenkel L, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. <i>Arthritis Care & Research</i>. 2021 Jul; 73 (7):924-939. 3. Clinicaltrials.gov. MSB11456 in Participants With Moderately to Severely Active Rheumatoid Arthritis. (NCT04512001) Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04512001 4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Advantage Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs. August 7, 2018. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-advantage-prior-authorization-and-step-therapy-part-b-drugs. 5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2018, August 7). Prior Authorization and Step Therapy for Part B Drugs in Medicare Advantage [Memorandum]. Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/HealthPlansGenInfo/Downloads/MA_Step_Therapy_HPMS_Memo_8_7_2018.pdf

6. Ringold et al. 2019 American College of Rheumatology/Arthritis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of JIA. Arthritis Care and Research. Vol 71 No 6 Jun 2019.

Tyvaso (*treprostinil*) inhalation

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Tyvaso DPI (treprostinil) inhalation powder is a prostacyclin mimetic indicated for the treatment of a) pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH; WHO Group 1) to improve exercise ability and b) pulmonary hypertension associated with interstitial lung disease (PH-ILD; WHO Group 3) to improve exercise ability. Studies with Tyvaso establishing effectiveness in PAH predominately included patients with NYHA Functional Class III symptoms and etiologies of idiopathic or heritable PAH or PAH associated with connective tissue diseases (CTD). The study with Tyvaso establishing effectiveness in PH-ILD predominately included patients with etiologies of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia (IIP) inclusive of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema (CPFE), and WHO Group 3 connective tissue disease (CTD).

The 2022 European Society of Cardiology and the European Respiratory Society (ESC/ERS) Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension (PH) recommend right heart catheterization as the gold standard for diagnosing and classifying PH as well as assessing cardiopulmonary hemodynamics during exercise. Patients with PH are classified based upon etiology and mechanism into: group 1 (pulmonary arterial hypertension), group 2 (pulmonary hypertension associated with left heart disease), group 3 (pulmonary hypertension associated with lung diseases and/or hypoxia), group 4 (pulmonary hypertension associated with chronic pulmonary artery obstruction), and group 5 (pulmonary hypertension with unclear and/or multifactorial mechanisms).

For patients with PAH presenting at low or intermediate risk, the guidelines recommend initial combination therapy with a phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor (PDE5i) and an endothelin receptor antagonist (ERA). PDE5is include sildenafil and tadalafil. ERAs include ambrisentan, bosentan, and macitentan. Initial treatment with oral triple-combination therapy in patients who present at low or intermediate risk is not recommended due to the current lack of evidence supporting this strategy.

The most widely used measure of exercise capacity in PH centers is the 6-minute walking test (6MWT). The 6MWT is easy to perform, inexpensive, and widely accepted by many as an important and validated variable in assessment of PH; and the change in the 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) is one of the most commonly used parameters in PAH clinical trials. In the studies of adults with pulmonary hypertension due to interstitial lung disease,

inhaled treprostinil significantly improved the change in 6 minute walk distance (6WMD) from baseline to week 16 compared with placebo.

References

1. Tyvaso [Package Insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; United Therapeutics Corp.: 2022
2. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension: Developed by the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Eur Heart J 2022;Aug 26. DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00879-2022

Tzield (*teplizumab-mzww*) vial

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Tzield (teplizumab-mzww) injection is a CD3-directed antibody indicated to delay the onset of Stage 3 type 1 diabetes (T1D) in adults and pediatric patients aged 8 years and older with Stage 2 T1D, to be given with dosing based on body surface area and administered once daily for 14 days. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

The manufacturer recommends Stage 2 T1D be confirmed by documenting at least two positive pancreatic islet autoantibodies in those who have dysglycemia without overt hyperglycemia using an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) or alternative method if appropriate and OGTT is not available. In patients who meet criteria for Stage 2 type 1 diabetes diagnosis, the patient's clinical history should be confirmed to not suggest type 2 diabetes.

Tzield was studied in patients 8 to 49 years of age with Stage 2 T1D. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) "Standards of Care in Diabetes" defines stage 2 as individuals with both dysglycemia on OGTT and at least two listed pancreatic islet autoantibodies. Pancreatic islet autoantibodies of study patients include: glutamic acid decarboxylase 65 (GAD) autoantibodies, insulin autoantibody (IAA), insulinoma-associated antigen 2 autoantibody (IA-2A), zinc transporter 8 autoantibody (ZnT8A), and islet cell autoantibody (ICA).

Dysglycemia in the study included fasting blood glucose greater than 110mg/dL and less than 126 mg/dL (5.6–6.9 mmol/L), 2 hour glucose greater or equal to 140 mg/dL and less than 200 mg/dL (7.8–11.0 mmol/L), or 30, 60, or 90 minute value on OGTT greater than or equal to 200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L or greater). ADA guidelines state that unless there is a clear clinical diagnosis, diagnosis requires two abnormal screening test results.

References

1. Tzield [Package Insert]. Red Bank, NJ; Provention Bio, Inc.: 2022
2. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes: Standards of Care in Diabetes - 2024. American Diabetes Association, 2023.
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. Teplizumab for Prevention of Type 1 Diabetes In Relatives "At-Risk". NCT01030861. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT01030861>

Udenyca (pegfilgrastim-cbqv)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts

monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post- remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

References

1. Udenyca [Package Insert]. Redwood City, CA; Coherus BioSciences, Inc.: 2019
2. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. *Eur J Cancer*. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Ultomiris (ravulizumab-cqvz)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Ultomiris (ravulizumab) is a complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of multiple indications involving the complement system including neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) in patients who are anti-aquaporin-4 (AQP4) antibody positive, generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG) in patients who are anti-acetylcholine receptor antibody-positive (AChR-Ab+), atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) and paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH). Ultomiris has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with Soliris, Uplizna, Enspryng, Vyvgart, Rystiggo, Zilbrysq and similar therapies for these conditions.

The NMOSD diagnostic criteria for adults include at least 1 core clinical characteristic (optic neuritis, acute myelitis, area postrema syndrome, acute brainstem syndrome, symptomatic narcolepsy or acute diencephalic clinical syndrome with NMOSD-typical diencephalic MRI lesions, or symptomatic cerebral syndrome with NMOSD-typical brain lesions) and detection of AQP4-immunoglobulin G antibodies. Treatments for relapse prevention in NMOSD include immunosuppressants (corticosteroids, azathioprine, mycophenolate, methotrexate, cyclosporine, and tacrolimus), B cell depleting agents (rituximab and inebilizumab (Uplizna)), interleukin-6 signaling blocking agents (satralizumab (Enspryng)), and complement blocking agents (Soliris, Ultomiris). The European Federation of the Neurological Societies recommend azathioprine and rituximab as first-line therapy and cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate as second-line therapy for NMOSD. The NMOSD Delphi Consensus Statements recommend Enspryng, Uplizna, or Soliris following failure of existing treatments. Ultomiris did not have the NMOSD indication at the time of this publication. Ultomiris was studied in patients with at least 1 relapse in the previous 12 months and an Expanded Disability Status Scale score ≤ 7 . The time to first adjudicated relapse was significantly improved with Ultomiris compared with placebo.

The International Consensus Guidance for Management of MG recommends a nonsteroidal immunosuppressive (IS) agent (azathioprine, cyclosporine, mycophenolate, methotrexate, or tacrolimus) be used initially with or without corticosteroids in certain patients. Azathioprine can reverse symptoms in most patients but the effect is delayed by 4 to 8 months. Maximum improvement with cyclosporine is achieved after 6 months. Once treatment goals are achieved and maintained for at least 6 months, taper the IS dose slowly to the minimal effective dose.

Vyvgart is a neonatal Fc receptor blocker also approved for the treatment of AChR-Ab+ gMG. Ultomiris was studied in patients with an MG-Activities of Daily Living (MG-ADL) total score ≥ 6 and found to have significantly improved MG-ADL score compared with placebo. The

2020 Update to the guidance recommends complement inhibitor (Soliris) be considered in the treatment of severe, refractory, AChR-Ab+ gMG.

AHUS consists of acute hemolytic anemia with fragmented red blood cells, thrombocytopenia, and acute kidney injury. Mutations or antibodies to their protein products result in unregulated activity of the alternate complement pathway, endothelial injury, and TMA (lesions in the kidneys and other organs). Signs of TMA include increases in serum LDH and serum creatinine levels and decrease in platelet count. aHUS is diagnosed with laboratory and clinical aspects along with exclusion of other causes of HUS and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura.

PNH is caused by a gene mutation that leads to a red blood cell deficiency. Flow cytometry is the gold standard diagnostic test for PNH. PNH causes thrombotic tendencies in the extremities and atypical locations. Treatment options may include supportive care (e.g. red blood cell transfusion) and complement therapy. Consider discontinuation of complement inhibitor treatment with no clinical benefit.

References

1. Borowitz MJ, Craig FE, DiGiuseppe JA, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and monitoring of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and related disorders by flow cytometry. *Cytometry Part B (Clinical Cytometry)*. 2010; 78B: 211 – 30.
2. Kaplan BS, Ruebner RL, Spinale JM, et al. Current treatment of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Intractable Rare Dis Res*. 2014 May; 3 (2): 34 – 45
3. Narayanaswami P, Sanders DB, Wolfe G, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. *Neurology*. 2021 Jan 19; 96 (3): 114 – 22.
4. Sellner J, Boggild M, Clanet M, et al. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica. *EJN*. 2010; 17: 1019 – 32. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03066.x
5. Ultomiris [Package Insert]. Boston, MA; Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2018
6. Howard JF Jr. Clinical Overview of MG. Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA). Published June 2015. <https://myasthenia.org/Professionals/Clinical-Overview-ofMG>.
7. Wingerchuk DM, Banwell B, Bennett JL, et al. International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurology*. 2015 Jul 14; 85(2):177-189. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000001729
8. Friedemann P, Marignier R, Palace J, et al. International Delphi Consensus on the Management of AQP4-IgG+ NMOSD. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2023;10:e200124. doi:10.1212/NXI.000000000000200124
9. Sellner J, Boggild M, Clanet M, et al. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica. *EJN*. 2010; 17: 1019 – 32.

Uplizna (inebilizumab-cdon)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Uplizna (inebilizumab-cdon) is a CD19-directed cytolytic antibody indicated for the treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) in adult patients who are anti-aquaporin-4 (AQP4) antibody positive.

Treatments for relapse prevention in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) include conventional immunosuppressants (corticosteroids, azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, methotrexate, cyclosporine A, tacrolimus, and mitoxantrone), B cell depleting agents (rituximab and inebilizumab), interleukin-6 signaling blocking agents (tocilizumab and satralizumab (Enspryng)), complement blocking agents (eculizumab), and intravenous immunoglobulins. The European Federation of the Neurological Societies (EFNS) guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica recommend azathioprine and rituximab (a chimeric anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody) as first-line therapy.

Cyclophosphamide, mitoxantrone, or mycophenolate mofetil are recommended as second-line therapy. The NMOSD Delphi Consensus Statements recommend that eculizumab (Soliris), inebilizumab (Uplizna), or satralizumab (Enspryng) may be initiated at diagnosis, after first attack, or after relapse due to failure of existing treatments.

References

1. Chan, Koon-Ho, and Chi-Yan Lee. "Treatment of Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorders." *International journal of molecular sciences* vol. 22,16 8638. 11 Aug. 2021, doi:10.3390/ijms22168638
2. Sellner J., Boggild M., Clanet M., et al. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 17 (2010) 1019–1032, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03066.x>.
3. Sherman, Elena, and May H Han. "Acute and Chronic Management of Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorder." *Current treatment options in neurology* vol. 17,11 (2015): 48. doi:10.1007/s11940-015-0378-x
4. Uplizna [Package Insert]. Gaithersburg, MD; Viela Bio, Inc.: 2020
5. Friedemann P, Marignier R, Palace J, et al. International Delphi Consensus on the Management of AQP4-IgG+ NMOSD. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2023;10:e200124. doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000200124

Vegzelma (*bevacizumab-abcd*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Vegzelma (bevacizumab-adcd) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Bevacizumab is a vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor indicated for the treatment of multiple cancers including a) metastatic colorectal cancer, in combination with intravenous fluorouracil-based chemotherapy for first- or second-line treatment; b) metastatic colorectal cancer, in combination with fluoropyrimidine-irinotecan- or fluoropyrimidine oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy for second-line treatment in patients who have progressed on a first-line bevacizumab product-containing regimen; c) Unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent or metastatic non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer, in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel for first-line treatment; d) recurrent glioblastoma in adult; e) metastatic renal cell carcinoma in combination with interferon alfa, and more.

Myvasi (bevacizumab-awwb) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Zirabev (bevacizumab-bvzr) is biosimilar to Avastin® (bevacizumab). Per NCCN guidelines, an FDA-approved biosimilar is an appropriate substitute for bevacizumab.

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.
2. Vegzelma [Package Insert]. Incheon, Korea; Celltrion, Inc.: 2022
3. Mvasi [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen Inc.: 2023
4. Zirabev [Package Insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer Inc.: 2023
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Central Nervous System Cancers (Version 1.2024)
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Colon Cancer (Version 2.2024)
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Kidney Cancer (Version 3.2024)
8. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (Version 5.2024)

Ventavis (iloprost) inhalation
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Ventavis (iloprost) inhalation solution is a prostacyclin mimetic indicated for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) (WHO Group 1) to improve a composite endpoint consisting of exercise tolerance, symptoms (NYHA Class), and lack of deterioration. Studies establishing effectiveness included predominately patients with NYHA Functional Class III-IV symptoms and etiologies of idiopathic or heritable PAH or PAH associated with connective tissue diseases.</p> <p>The 2022 European Society of Cardiology and the European Respiratory Society (ESC/ERS) Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension (PH) recommend right heart catheterization as the gold standard for diagnosing and classifying PH as well as assessing cardiopulmonary hemodynamics during exercise. Patients with PH are classified based upon etiology and mechanism into: group 1 (pulmonary arterial hypertension), group 2 (pulmonary hypertension associated with left heart disease), group 3 (pulmonary hypertension associated with lung diseases and/or hypoxia), group 4 (pulmonary hypertension associated with chronic pulmonary artery obstruction), and group 5 (pulmonary hypertension with unclear and/or multifactorial mechanisms).</p> <p>For patients with PAH presenting at low or intermediate risk, the guidelines recommend initial combination therapy with a phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor (PDE5i) and an endothelin receptor antagonist (ERA). PDE5is include sildenafil and tadalafil. ERAs include ambrisentan and bosentan. Initial treatment with oral triple-combination therapy is not recommended due to the current lack of evidence supporting this strategy.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension: Developed by the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Eur Heart J 2022;Aug 26. DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00879-2022 2. Ventavis [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Actelion Pharmaceuticals US, Inc.:2009

Veopoz (<i>pozelimab-bbfg</i>) 400 MG/2 ML vial
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Veopoz (pozelimab-bbfg) injection is a complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients 1 year of age and older with CD55-deficient protein-losing enteropathy (PLE), also known as CHAPLE disease to be administered 30 mg/kg once followed by 10 mg/kg as a subcutaneous injection once weekly starting on day 8. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).</p> <p>Veopoz was studied in patients with protein-losing enteropathy (PLE) with a confirmed genotype of biallelic CD55 loss-of-function mutation. Active CD55-deficient PLE was defined as low serum albumin (also referred to as hypoalbuminemia with a serum albumin concentration of ≤ 3.2 g/dL) with one or more of the following signs or symptoms within the previous six months: diarrhea, abdominal pain, peripheral edema, or facial edema. All study patients achieved normalization by week 12 and maintained serum albumin concentrations within normal range and throughout treatment at week 72.</p> <p>Veopoz has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with eculizumab used to treat CD55-deficient PLE.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clinicaltrials.gov. Open-Label Efficacy and Safety Study of Pozelimab in Patients With CD55-Deficient Protein-Losing Enteropathy (CHAPLE Disease) (NCT04209634). 2. Veopoz [Package Insert]. Tarrytown, NY: Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; August 2023
Vibativ (<i>telavancin</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes
<p>Vibativ (telavancin) is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with complicated skin and skin structure infections (SSTIs), hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP), and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) caused by susceptible methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolates. In hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia, it should be reserved for use when alternative treatments are not appropriate.</p>

The 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines for management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia recommend that MRSA HAP/VAP be treated with either vancomycin or linezolid rather than other antibiotics or antibiotic combinations. Their systematic review identified 7 randomized trials that addressed the selection of antibiotics for HAP/VAP caused by MRSA. Four trials compared linezolid to vancomycin. The remaining 3 trials compared telavancin, quinupristin plus dalbavancin, or vancomycin plus rifampin to vancomycin alone.

None of the other trials demonstrated a clear superiority of an alternative antibiotic or regimen over vancomycin alone. The study comparing telavancin to vancomycin combined 2 smaller trials conducted in patients with gram-positive nosocomial pneumonia. In the combined population of 1503 patients, there were no differences in clinical cure rate, mortality, or adverse effects, although there was a trend toward increased all-cause mortality with telavancin in one of the component studies. This primarily occurred among patients with creatinine clearance values <30 mL/minute, prompting an FDA advisory panel to recommend limiting the use of telavancin to patients with creatinine clearance levels above this threshold]. Increases in serum creatinine were more common in the telavancin group.

Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) recommend telavancin as an option to treat streptococcal skin infections for patients with severe penicillin hypersensitivity, surgical site infections, and cellulitis due to MRSA. For patients with severe penicillin hypersensitivity other treatment options include clindamycin, vancomycin, linezolid, daptomycin. For the management of surgical site infections, if the institution in which the operation was performed has a significant proportion of infections with MRSA or the patient has had prior MRSA infection, nasal colonization or was previously on antibiotics, the initial antibiotic should include vancomycin, linezolid, daptomycin, telavancin, or ceftaroline for MRSA coverage. Coverage for MRSA is advised in cellulitis associated with penetrating trauma, especially from illicit drug use, purulent drainage, or with concurrent evidence of MRSA infection elsewhere. Options for treatment of MRSA in those circumstances include intravenous drugs (vancomycin, daptomycin, linezolid, or telavancin) or oral therapy with doxycycline, clindamycin, or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

Telavancin was noninferior to twice-daily vancomycin based on pooled results from 2 randomized noninferiority trials in patients (n=1867) with complicated skin and skin structure infections due gram-positive bacteria, including MRSA. Overall clinical cure rates were 88.3% and 87.1% for telavancin and vancomycin, respectively, and 90.6% and 84.4% among patients with MRSA. Clinical cure rates among patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia were 57.1% for telavancin compared with 54.6% for vancomycin. Upon post hoc analysis, cure rates were similar between treatment groups for specific types of complicated skin and skin structure infections (eg, abscesses, extensive cellulitis, ulcers).

References

1. Vibativ [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Theravance, Inc.: 2009
2. Stevens DL, Bisno AL, Chambers HF, et al. Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: 2014 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Clinical Infect Dis* 2014; 59(2): e10–e52.

3. Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, et al. Management of Adults With Hospital-acquired and Ventilator-associated Pneumonia: 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society, Clinical Infect Dis 2016; 63(5): e61–e111.
4. Stryjewski ME, Barriere SL, O'Riordan W, et al: Efficacy of telavancin in patients with specific types of complicated skin and skin structure infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012; 67(6):1496-1502.

Visco-3 (*hyaluronan/hyaluronic acid*) for intra-articular injection

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hyaluronic acid injections are indicated to treat osteoarthritis pain of the knee when conservative nonpharmacologic therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or simple analgesics, such as acetaminophen, have failed.

The 2019 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/Arthritis Foundation (AF) Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee recommends a comprehensive plan for the management of osteoarthritis (OA) in an individual patient that may include educational, behavioral, psychosocial, and physical interventions, as well as topical, oral, and intraarticular medications. The guidelines strongly recommend exercise, weight loss in patients with knee OA who are overweight or obese, self-efficacy and self-management programs, tai chi, cane use, hand orthoses for first carpometacarpal (CMC) joint OA, tibiofemoral bracing for tibiofemoral knee OA, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for knee OA, oral NSAIDs, and intraarticular glucocorticoid injections for knee OA.

Intraarticular hyaluronic acid injections are conditionally recommended against in patients with knee and/or first CMC joint OA and strongly recommended against in patients with hip OA. In prior systematic reviews, apparent benefits of hyaluronic acid injections in OA have been reported. These reviews have not, however, considered the risk of bias of the individual primary studies. The conditional recommendation against is consistent with the use of hyaluronic acid injections, in the context of shared decision-making that recognizes the limited evidence of benefit of this treatment, when other alternatives have been exhausted or failed to provide satisfactory benefit.

The 2021 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of OA of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) does not recommend hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection(s) for routine use in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Some studies demonstrated a statistical benefit with the use of HA but could not reach the significance for a minimally clinical meaningful difference, leading to the conclusion that viscosupplementation can represent a viable

option for some patients that failed other treatments when appropriately indicated. Analyses of these studies also demonstrated no significant differences among different viscosupplementation formulations.

Priority Health follows LCD L39529 (Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan).

References

1. Visco-3 [Package Insert]. Tokyo, Japan; Seikagaku Corporation
2. Bannuru RR, Osani, MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarth Cart* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
3. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg>. Published 08/31/2021
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Medicare Coverage Database. Local Coverage Determination (LCD) L39529: Intraarticular Knee Injections of Hyaluronan.

Vivimusta (*Bendamustine*) IV

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Bendamustine is an alkylating agent with a unique mechanism indicated for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and indolent B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) that has progressed during or within six months of treatment with rituximab or a rituximab-containing regimen.

Current National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines for the treatment of CLL recommend bendamustine (category 2A) as a viable consideration for those without del(17p)/TP53 mutation, both as a first-line and refractory treatment. TP53 deletions are associated with worse prognosis and worse outcomes on many treatment options, including bendamustine.

Bendamustine also carries a category 2A recommendation for use in NCCN B-Cell lymphoma guidelines. NCCN Guidelines do not favor one biosimilar over another and recommend any FDA-approved biosimilar can be used to treat these conditions.

Priority Health also follows LCD L37205: Chemotherapy Drugs and their Adjuncts.

References

1. Vivimusta [Package Insert]. Sermoneta, Italy; Corden Pharma Latina S.p.A.: 2022

2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (Version 3.2024). 2024 Mar 26.
3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. B-Cell lymphomas (Version 2.2024). 2024 April 30.

Vyepti (eptinezumab-jjmr)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Vyepti (eptinezumab-jjmr) is indicated for the preventive treatment of migraine in adults. It is a humanized monoclonal antibody (mAb) that binds to calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) ligand and blocks its binding to the receptor. Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

The American Headache Society (AHS) states that those with migraine and poorly controlled attacks are at risk of medication overuse and are more likely to develop medication-overuse headache and chronic migraine. The overuse of medications for the acute treatment of headache may reduce the effectiveness of some preventive treatments. Measures to ensure appropriate use of acute treatments and education and lifestyle modifications should be implemented before developing a preventive treatment plan.

The AHS revised Consensus Statement (June 2021) continues to recommend adequate trials of established acute and/or preventive treatments before initiating use of newer migraine-specific acute and preventive therapies. This is in part due to cost considerations, and no published evidence supports or refutes this hierarchical approach. Additionally, there is also no robust evidence either to support or discard the combination of different migraine preventatives.

Multiple commercial CGRP antagonist products are currently available: erenumab (Aimovig), fremanezumab (Ajovy), galcanezumab (Emgality), and eptinezumab (Vyepti). Ajovy, Emgality, and Vyepti target the CGRP ligand, and Aimovig targets the CGRP receptor. A significant proportion of patients who do not achieve a 50% reduction in migraine headaches in the first 4 weeks following the initial subcutaneous (SC) dose of a CGRP mAb may achieve a response in the 4 weeks following the second dose. A smaller proportion of patients may also respond in the 4 to 8 weeks following the third consecutive SC dose.

The European Headache Federation guidelines suggest most individuals with migraine considered to be responders can be identified after 3 to 6 months. Treatment can be stopped if it does not demonstrate even partial efficacy. In patients with a partial response, cumulative benefits may occur over 6 to 12 months of continued use.

References

1. Ailani J, Burch RC, Robbins MS, the Board of Directors of the American Headache Society (2021) The American Headache Society Consensus Statement: update on integrating new migraine treatments into clinical practice. *Headache* 61(7):1021–1039. DOI: 10.1111/head.14153
2. Vyepeti [Package Insert]. Bothell, WA; Lundbeck Seattle BioPharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2020
3. Sacco S, Amin FM, Ashina M, et al: European Headache Federation guideline on the use of monoclonal antibodies targeting the calcitonin gene related peptide pathway for migraine prevention - 2022 update. *J Headache Pain* 2022; 23(1):67. DOI: 10.1186/s10194-022-01431-x

Vyjuvek (*beremagene geperpavec-svdt*) Gel topical

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Vyjuvek is indicated for the treatment of wounds in patients with Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa (DEB), provided those patients have mutations in the *COL7A1* gene. This *COL7A1* gene is responsible for binding the dermis to the epidermis to promote wound healing. Patients with DEB lack the collagen needed to maintain the skin's integrity during even small incidents. Skin is prone to blistering or tearing which can lead to scarring or increase exposure to infection.

The Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa Research Association (DEBRA) International (2020) Guideline recommends all EB patients undergo genetic testing to confirm or rule out DEB.

The safety and effectiveness of Vyjuvek was established in a Phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, intra-patient GEM-3 trial. Key exclusion criteria: current evidence or history of squamous cell carcinoma, active infection in the area being treated, or those who had received a skin graft within the past 3 months. The study found statistically significant responses (proportion of complete wound close at 24 weeks) in the treatment group vs placebo (39% more wounds were able to be closed).

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References

1. Vyjuvek [Package Insert]. Pittsburgh, PA; Krystal Biotech, Inc.: 2023
2. Krystal Biotech, Inc. A phase III double blinded, placebo-controlled, efficacy and safety study of beremagene geperpavec (B-VEC, previously "KB103") for the treatment of dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (DEB). ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04491604.
3. Has C, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for laboratory diagnosis of epidermolysis bullosa. *Br J Dermatol* (2020); 182: 574-592

Vyvgart (efgartigimod alfa-fcab)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Vyvgart (efgartigimod) is a neonatal Fc receptor blocker indicated for the treatment of generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG) in adult patients who are anti-acetylcholine receptor antibody positive (AChR-Ab+). Vyvgart has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other medications used to treat MG.

The International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis recommends a nonsteroidal immunosuppressive (IS) agent be used initially in conjunction with corticosteroids, be used alone, or be added to corticosteroids in certain patients.

Nonsteroidal IS agents for MG include azathioprine, cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, methotrexate, and tacrolimus. The effect of azathioprine is delayed by 4 to 8 months but can reverse symptoms in most patients. Maximum improvement with cyclosporine is achieved 6 months or longer after starting treatment. More than half of patients treated with cyclophosphamide become asymptomatic after one year. Once treatment goals have been achieved and maintained for 6 months to 2 years, the IS dose should be tapered slowly to the minimal effective amount.

Vyvgart was studied in patients with an MG-Activities of Daily Living (MG-ADL) total score of 5 or more and found greater improvement in MG-ADL score compared with placebo.

References

1. Narayanaswami P, Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: 2020 update. *Neurology*. 2021; 96: 114 - 22. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000011124
2. Skeie GO, Apostolski S, Evoli A, et al. Guidelines for treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular transmission disorders. *European J Neurol*. 2010 Jul; 17 (7): 893 - 902. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03019.x
3. Vyvgart [Package Insert]. Zwijnaarde, Belgium; argenx BV: 2021
4. Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, Benatar M, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. *Neurology*. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002790
5. Howard JF Jr. Clinical Overview of MG. Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA). Published June 2015. <https://myasthenia.org/Professionals/Clinical-Overview-of-MG>

Vyvgart Hytrulo (*efgartigimod alfa and hyaluronidase-qvfc*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Vyvgart Hytrulo (efgartigimod alfa and hyaluronidase-qvfc) is a combination of efgartigimod alfa, a neonatal Fc receptor blocker, and hyaluronidase, an endoglycosidase, indicated for the treatment of generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG) in adult patients who are anti-acetylcholine receptor antibody positive (AChR-Ab+) and for the treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP). Vyvgart Hytrulo has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other medications used to treat MG or CIDP.

The International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis recommends a nonsteroidal immunosuppressive (IS) agent be used initially in conjunction with corticosteroids, be used alone, or be added to corticosteroids in certain patients.

Nonsteroidal IS agents for MG include azathioprine, cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, methotrexate, and tacrolimus. The effect of azathioprine is delayed by 4 to 8 months but can reverse symptoms in most patients. Maximum improvement with cyclosporine is achieved 6 months or longer after starting treatment. More than half of patients treated with cyclophosphamide become asymptomatic after one year. Once treatment goals have been achieved and maintained for 6 months to 2 years, the IS dose should be tapered slowly to the minimal effective amount.

Vyvgart was studied in patients with an MG-Activities of Daily Living (MG-ADL) total score of 5 or more and a significantly greater proportion of patients responded to efgartigimod compared with placebo.

The European Academy of Neurology/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline for diagnosing and treating CIDP highlights that diagnosis relies on a combination of clinical, electrodiagnostic, and laboratory features, while excluding other disorders that may mimic CIDP. The criteria for CIDP are most closely linked to electrodiagnostic detection of peripheral nerve demyelination. The guideline strongly recommends first-line treatment with either corticosteroids or immunoglobulin, with no preference for either treatment based on the level of evidence. For patients with contraindications to long-term high-dose corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) or subcutaneous immunoglobulin (SCIg) may be preferred. Additionally, for patients with motor CIDP, who may deteriorate with corticosteroids, IVIg should be considered as the first-line treatment. Plasma exchange may be also an acceptable option for chronic treatment. If the objective response is inadequate or the maintenance doses of the initial treatment (IVIg, corticosteroids, or plasma exchange) result in significant side-effects, the other first-line treatment alternatives should be tried before considering combination treatments. Adding an immunosuppressant or immunomodulatory drug may be considered, but there is no sufficient evidence to

recommend any particular drug. If the first-line treatment is effective, continuation should be considered until the maximum benefit has been achieved. The guidelines do not mention Vyvgart Hytrulo.

Treatment with Vyvgart Hytrulo resulted in a significantly longer time to clinical deterioration, as indicated by an increase in 1 or more points in the adjusted Inflammatory Neuropathy Case and Treatment (aINCAT) disability score compared with placebo in a randomized withdrawal study of adults with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) that initially experienced clinical benefit with treatment.

References

1. Narayanaswami P, Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: 2020 update. *Neurology*. 2021; 96: 114 - 22. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000011124
2. Skeie GO, Apostolski S, Evoli A, et al. Guidelines for treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular transmission disorders. *European J Neurol*. 2010 Jul; 17 (7): 893 - 902. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03019.x
3. Vyvgart Hytrulo [Package Insert]. Zwijnaarde, Belgium; argenx BV: 2024
4. Howard JF Jr. Clinical Overview of MG. Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA). Published June 2015. <https://myasthenia.org/Professionals/Clinical-Overview-of-MG>.
5. Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, Benatar M, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. *Neurology*. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000002790
6. Van den Bergh PYK, van Doorn PA, Hadden RDM, et al. European Academy of Neurology/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline on diagnosis and treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: Report of a joint Task Force-Second revision. *Eur J Neurol*. 2022 Apr;29(4):1288. doi: 10.1111/ene.15225

Winrevair (*sotatercept*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy Drug: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Winrevair (sotatercept) subcutaneous powder for solution is an activin signaling inhibitor indicated for the treatment of adults with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH, World Health Organization [WHO] Group 1) to increase exercise capacity, improve WHO functional class (FC), and reduce the risk of clinical worsening events. Studies establishing effectiveness included patients with NYHA Functional Class II-III symptoms and who had been receiving stable background PAH therapy.

The 2022 European Society of Cardiology and the European Respiratory Society (ESC/ERS) Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension (PH) recommend

right heart catheterization as the gold standard for diagnosing and classifying PH as well as assessing cardiopulmonary hemodynamics during exercise. Patients with PH are classified based upon etiology and mechanism into group 1 (pulmonary arterial hypertension), group 2 (pulmonary hypertension associated with left heart disease), group 3 (pulmonary hypertension associated with lung diseases and/or hypoxia), group 4 (pulmonary hypertension associated with chronic pulmonary artery obstruction), and group 5 (pulmonary hypertension with unclear and/or multifactorial mechanisms).

For patients with PAH presenting at low or intermediate risk, the guidelines recommend initial combination therapy with a phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor (PDE5i) and an endothelin receptor antagonist (ERA). PDE5is include sildenafil and tadalafil. ERAs include ambrisentan and bosentan.

The most widely used measure of exercise capacity in PH centers is the 6-minute walking test (6MWT). The 6MWT is easy to perform, inexpensive, and widely accepted by many as an important and validated variable in assessment of PH; and the change in the 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) is one of the most used parameters in PAH clinical trials. In the studies of adults with WHO group 1 pulmonary arterial hypertension, Winrevair significantly improved the change in 6MWD from baseline to week 24 compared with placebo.

References

1. Winrevair™ subcutaneous injection [prescribing information]. Rahway, NJ: Merck; March 2024.
2. Hoeper M, Badesch D, Ghofrani A, et al. Phase 3 trial of sotatercept for treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;388:1478-1490.
3. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension: Developed by the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur Heart J* 2022;Aug 26. DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00879-2022
4. Institute for Clinical and Economic Review. Sotatercept for pulmonary arterial hypertension. Final evidence report. January 8, 2024. Accessed June 5, 2024. https://icer.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/PAH_Final-Evidence-Report_For-Publication_01082024.pdf

Xenleta (lefamulin)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Xenleta (lefamulin) is indicated for the treatment of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) in adults caused by the following microorganisms, if susceptible: *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* (methicillin-susceptible isolates), *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Legionella pneumophila*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*.

Antibiotic recommendations for the empiric treatment of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CAP) are based on selecting agents effective against the major treatable bacterial causes of CAP. Traditionally, these bacterial pathogens include *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Legionella* species, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, and *Moraxella catarrhalis*. The Clinical Practice Guideline of the American Thoracic Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America on Diagnosis and Treatment of Adults with Community-acquired Pneumonia recommends obtaining sputum for gram stain and culture in hospitalized patients with severe CAP, and when strong risk factors for MRSA and *P. aeruginosa* are identified, unless local etiological data have already shown these pathogens are very infrequently identified in patients with CAP.

For healthy outpatient adults without comorbidities or risk factors for antibiotic resistant pathogens, the committee recommends amoxicillin, doxycycline, or a macrolide (azithromycin or clarithromycin) only in areas with pneumococcal resistance to macrolides <25% (conditional recommendation, moderate quality of evidence). For outpatient adults with comorbidities such as chronic heart, lung, liver, or renal disease; diabetes mellitus; alcoholism; malignancy; or asplenia they recommend combination therapy of amoxicillin/clavulanate or a cephalosporin with a macrolide or doxycycline. Monotherapy with respiratory fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, or gemifloxacin) is a treatment option. Both sets of treatment recommendations contain multiple antibiotic options without specifying a preference order. The choice between these options requires a risk–benefit assessment for each individual patient, weighing local epidemiological data against specific risk factors that increase the risk of individual choices, such as documented β -lactam or macrolide allergy, cardiac arrhythmia (macrolides), vascular disease (fluoroquinolones), and history of infection with *Clostridium difficile*. The guidelines state there is a need for research on new therapeutic agents for adults with CAP and lefamulin, a new pleuromutilin antibiotic that was recently demonstrated to be noninferior to moxifloxacin in hospitalized adult patients with CAP. In inpatient adults with nonsevere CAP without risk factors for MRSA or *P. aeruginosa* they recommend combination therapy with a β -lactam (ampicillin + sulbactam, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, or ceftaroline) and a macrolide. Monotherapy with respiratory fluoroquinolone is also a treatment option.

In the LEAP 1 randomized, double-blind trial in 551 adult patients with community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP), lefamulin was noninferior to moxifloxacin (with or without linezolid, depending on possibility of MRSA involvement) with regard to early clinical response (ECR) rate

at 72 to 120 hours after the first dose of study drug. Lefamulin ECR rate was 87.3% compared with an ECR rate of 90.2% for moxifloxacin (treatment difference, -2.9%; 95% CI, -8.5% to 2.8%). In the LEAP 2 randomized, double-blind trial in 738 adult patients with CAPB, lefamulin was noninferior to moxifloxacin with regard to ECR rate at 72 to 120 hours after the first dose of study drug. ECR rates for both treatment groups was 90.8% (treatment difference, 0.1%; 1-sided 97.5% CI, -4.4% to infinity). ECR was achieved if improvement in at least 2 signs/symptoms of CABP occurred, no signs/symptoms of CABP worsened, and no additional non-study antibiotic CABP treatment was administered.

References

1. Xenleta [Package Insert]. Dublin, Ireland; Nabriva therapeutics Ireland DAC: 2019
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. Study to Compare Lefamulin to Moxifloxacin (With or Without Linezolid) for the Treatment of Adults With Pneumonia (LEAP). (NCT02559310). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT02559310>
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. Study to Compare Lefamulin to Moxifloxacin for the Treatment of Adults With Pneumonia (LEAP2). (NCT02813694). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT02813694>
4. Metlay JP, Watere GW, Long AC, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Adults with Community-acquired Pneumonia. An Official Clinical Practice Guideline of the American Thoracic Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America. Am J Respir Crit Care Med T 2019; 200(7): e45-e67.

Xenpozyme (*olipudase-alfa-rpcp*) 20 mg vial

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Xenpozyme (*olipudase alfa-rpcp*) for injection is a hydrolytic lysosomal sphingomyelin-specific enzyme indicated for treatment of non-central nervous system manifestations of acid sphingomyelinase deficiency (ASMD) in adult and pediatric patients. The dosing is to be initiated at 0.1 mg/kg in adults or 0.03 mg/kg in pediatrics, with dosing based on adjusted body weight (kg) in patients with a body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 kg/m².

Acid sphingomyelinase deficiency (ASMD) is also called Niemann-Pick disease (NPD). ASMD is divided into 3 phenotypes: infantile neurovisceral ASMD (NPD type A), chronic neurovisceral ASMD (intermediate; NPD type A/B), and chronic visceral ASMD (NPD type B). Patients with ASMD are typically managed by metabolic disease specialists/medical geneticists. In the 'Consensus recommendation for a diagnostic guideline for acid sphingomyelinase deficiency', the advisory panel recommends that when ASMD is suspected, an enzyme assay for ASM activity should be completed first, with diagnosis confirmed by demonstration of decreased ASM activity. The National Organization for Rare

Disorders (NORD) states the diagnosis is confirmed with a sample that demonstrates less than 10% that of a control sample.

Xenpozyme was studied in patients with a clinical diagnosis of acid sphingomyelinase deficiency (ASMD) type B and A/B. Adults studied also had diffusion capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DLco) $\leq 70\%$ of the predicted normal value and a spleen volume ≥ 6 multiples of normal (MN). Pediatrics studied had a spleen volume ≥ 5 MN. In the studies, Xenpozyme demonstrated improvements in spleen and liver volumes, predicted diffusion capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DLco), and platelet counts in adults and pediatrics. However, two patients with ASMD type A that received a version of olipudase alfa manufactured from a different process developed anaphylaxis.

References

1. National Organization of Rare Disorders. Acid sphingomyelinase deficiency. 2019. Available at: <https://rarediseases.org/rare-diseases/acid-sphingomyelinase-deficiency/>
2. McGovern MM, Dionisi-Vici C, Giugliani R, et al. Consensus recommendation for a diagnostic guideline for acid sphingomyelinase deficiency. Genet Med. 2017 Sep; 19 (9): 967 - 74. DOI: 10.1038/gim.2017.7
3. Xenpozyme [Package Insert]. Cambridge, MA; Genzyme Corporation: 2022

Xgeva (*denosumab*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Xgeva (denosumab) is a RANK ligand (RANKL) indicated for multiple skeletal related conditions including a) prevention of skeletal-related events in patients with multiple myeloma (MM) and in patients with bone metastases from solid tumors, b) treatment of adults and skeletally mature adolescents with giant cell tumor of bone that is unresectable or where surgical resection is likely to result in severe morbidity, and c) treatment of hypercalcemia of malignancy refractory to bisphosphonate therapy.

Zometa (zoledronic acid) is a bisphosphonate also indicated for the treatment of a) patients with MM and patients with documented bone metastases from solid tumors, in conjunction with standard antineoplastic therapy and b) hypercalcemia of malignancy.

The NCCN guidelines for Multiple Myeloma Version 3.2024 state that bony manifestations in the form of diffuse osteopenia and/or osteolytic lesions develop in 85% of patients with MM. The guidelines recommend all patients receiving primary myeloma therapy be given bone-targeting treatment with a category 1 recommendation for bisphosphonates (based upon high-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate)

and a category 2A recommendation for denosumab (based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate). Bisphosphonates (zoledronic acid preferred), denosumab, steroids, and/or calcitonin are also recommended for hypercalcemia.

The NCCN guidelines for Breast Cancer Version 2.2024 and Prostate Cancer Version 3.2024 recommend treatment with a bone modifying agent such as zoledronic acid (category 2A), pamidronate (category 2A), or denosumab (category 1) if bone metastasis is present.

References

1. Camacho PM, Petak SM, Binkley N, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis – 2020 Update. *Endocr Pract.* 2020;26(Suppl 1):1-46. DOI: 10.4158/GL-2020-0524SUPPL
2. Chakhtoura M, El-Hajj Fuleihan G. Treatment of Hypercalcemia of Malignancy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 2021;50(4):781-792. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecl.2021.08.002
3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Breast Cancer (Version 2.2024)
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Bone Cancer (Version 1.2024)
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Multiple Myeloma (Version 3.2024)
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Prostate Cancer (Version 3.2024)
7. Xgeva [Package Insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen Inc.: 2013
8. Zometa [Package Insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation: 2016

Xipere (*triamcinolone*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Xipere (triamcinolone acetonide injectable suspension) is a corticosteroid indicated for the treatment of ophthalmic conditions which include temporal arteritis, uveitis, and sympathetic ophthalmia, and ocular inflammatory conditions unresponsive to topical corticosteroids.

Uveitis is a group of eye diseases caused by inflammation (redness, swelling, pain, etc.) inside the eye, which can lead to vision loss. Uveitis can result from infections, or non-infectious causes. Non-infectious uveitis can result from a disease somewhere else in the body. The uvea (middle layer of the eye) has many blood vessels. If the immune system is fighting a problem in one area, the cells and chemicals it makes can travel through the bloodstream and enter the eye, leading to inflammation. Acute uveitis lasts less than three months; chronic uveitis lasts longer than three months.

Chronic non-infectious uveitis is generally treated with steroids, applied near or inside the eye, or other medicines, taken either by mouth or injection, to control the inflammation.

A Report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology reviewed 23 articles that provided level I or level II evidence from 18 studies on the use of periocular, suprachoroidal, and intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injections and intravitreal dexamethasone and fluocinolone acetonide implants or inserts in noninfectious uveitic macular edema.

These reports consistently demonstrated that all investigated periocular and intraocular corticosteroid therapies improved visual acuity, macular structure, or both. In the Periocular versus intravitreal corticosteroids for uveitic macular edema (POINT) randomized clinical trial, 3 forms of local corticosteroid therapy were compared: periocular triamcinolone acetonide injection (Kenalog; 40 mg), intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injection (Triesence; 4 mg), and the 0.7-mg intravitreal dexamethasone implant. The periocular injection was by orbital floor or posterior sub-Tenon approach. The study provided level I evidence that all treatments were effective. The intravitreal approaches achieved superior effectiveness, offset by an increased risk of intraocular pressure (IOP) elevation. Nine articles reported 5 international, multicenter, randomized controlled studies involving treatment of noninfectious intermediate uveitis, posterior uveitis, and panuveitis with fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implants or inserts. Across the studies, 3 devices contained different total amounts of fluocinolone acetonide and thus achieved different intravitreal concentrations of the drug. The studies focused on the effectiveness in uveitis more broadly, but also provided level I evidence and level II evidence that intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide could be an effective treatment for uveitic macular edema.

References

1. Xipere [Package Insert]. Alpharetta, GA; Clearside Biomedical, Inc.: 2021
2. Smith, JS, Thorne JE, Flaxel, CJ et al: Treatment of Noninfectious Uveitic Macular Edema with Periocular and Intraocular Corticosteroid Therapies: A Report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. Ophthalmology. April 2024; Article in Press. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2024.02.019>

Xolair (*omalizumab*) vial/prefilled syringe

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Xolair (omalizumab) is a monoclonal antibody that specifically targets immunoglobulin E (IgE). Xolair is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe asthma inadequately controlled by inhaled corticosteroids, chronic urticaria (CU) refractory to H1 antihistamine treatment, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) inadequately controlled with nasal corticosteroids as add-on maintenance treatment, and IgE-mediated food allergy.

The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) Guidelines on difficult-to-treat & severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients recommend using type 2-targeted biologic agents as add-on for patients with exacerbations and/or poor symptom control despite taking at least high-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and long-acting beta agonist (LABA) combinations, and who have allergic or eosinophilic biomarkers or need maintenance oral corticosteroids. Type 2-inflammation is defined as blood eosinophils $\geq 150/\mu\text{L}$ and/or FeNO ≥ 20 ppb and/or sputum eosinophils $\geq 2\%$ and/or asthma is clinically allergen driven. GINA guidelines also advise treatment should be optimized prior to initiating a biologic agent. For therapy optimization, consider trials of non-biologic medications in addition to medium/high dose ICS, such as LABA, long-acting muscarinic agonists (LAMA), and leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA).

The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology (EAACI), the Global Allergy and Asthma European Network (GA²LEN) and its Urticaria and Angioedema Centers of Reference and Excellence (UCAREs and ACAREs), the European Dermatology Forum (EDF; EuroGuiDerm), and the Asia Pacific Association of Allergy, Asthma and Clinical Immunology guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis, and management of urticaria recommend 2nd generation H1-antihistamine as first-line treatment for all types of urticaria. Typical doses can be increased up to four times in patients with CU unresponsive to a standard-dosed 2nd generation H1-antihistamine. Xolair is recommended as a second line agent for the treatment of patients with CU unresponsive to high dose 2nd generation H1-antihistamines. Alternative therapies include but are not limited to H2 antihistamines (e.g., famotidine), oral steroids, or leukotriene modifiers.

The Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters GRADE guidelines for the medical management of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis (CRSwNP) recommends inhaled topical corticosteroids (INCS) be used first-line to treat CRSwNP due to their extensive safety and efficacy profiles. The Guidelines recommend biologic agents be used after at least 4 weeks trial with INCS therapy. In the clinical trials that evaluated Xolair safety and efficacy for CRSwNP, all patients were required to have previously tried at least 4 weeks of INCS to be eligible for the studies. Once enrolled, all patients had to complete an additional 4-week run-in with intranasal mometasone prior to start date. All patients continued to receive background intranasal mometasone throughout the study (24 weeks).

Xolair was evaluated in a phase 3 study for the reduction of allergic reactions (Type I), including anaphylaxis, that may occur with accidental exposure to one or more foods in adult and pediatric patients aged 1 year and older with IgE-mediated food allergy. Patients were included in the study if they had a clinical history of allergic reaction following consumption of peanuts and two additional foods, either milk, eggs, wheat, cashews, hazelnuts, or walnuts. Patients were

additionally required to have a positive skin prick test (≥ 4 mm wheal greater than saline control), and positive food specific IgE (≥ 6 kUA/L) to the specified foods.

References

1. Global Initiative for Asthma. Difficult-To-Treat & Severe Asthma in adolescents and adult patients, 2023.
2. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2023
3. Xolair [Package Insert]. South San Francisco, CA: Genentech, Inc.: 2019
4. Zuberier T, Aberer W, Asero R, et al. The EAACI/GALEN/EDF/WAO guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis, and management of urticaria. *Allergy*. 2018; 73: 1393 – 414.
5. Zuberier T, Abdul Latiff AH, Abuzakouk M, et al. The international EAACI/GA²LEN/EuroGuiDerm/APAAACI guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis, and management of urticaria. *Allergy*. 2022; 77: 734–766. doi:10.1111/all.15090
6. Clinicaltrials.gov. Omalizumab as monotherapy and as adjunct therapy to multi-allergen oral immunotherapy (OIT) in food allergic children and adults (NCT03881696). Available at: <https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03881696>.
7. Panel NI-SE, Boyce JA, Assa'ad A, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of food allergy in the United States: report of the NIAID-sponsored expert panel. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010; 126 (6 Suppl): S1 - 58.
8. Sampson HA, Aceves S, Bock SA, et al. Food allergy: a practice parameter update-2014. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014; 134 (5): 1016 - 25.
9. Santos AF, et al. EAACI guidelines on the diagnosis of IgE-mediated food allergy. *Allergy*. 2023;78(12):3057-3076. doi:10.1111/all.15902
10. Wood RA, Chinthrajah RS, Rudman Spergel AK, et al. Protocol design and synopsis: Omalizumab as Monotherapy and as Adjunct Therapy to Multiallergen OIT in Children and Adults with Food Allergy (OUtMATCH). *J Allergy Clin Immunol Glob*. 2022;1(4):225-232. Published 2022 Jul 21. doi:10.1016/j.jacig.2022.05.006
11. Gevaert P, et al. Efficacy and safety of omalizumab in nasal polyposis: 2 randomized phase 3 trials. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020 Sept; 146 (3): 595-605.
12. Rank M, et al. The Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters GRADE guidelines for the medical management of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2023 Feb; 151 (2): 386-398.

Yescarta (<i>axicabtagene ciloleucel</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
Priority Health follows NCD 110.24 for Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-Cell Therapy.
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 110.24 Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell Therapy. Yescarta [Package Insert]. Santa Monica, CA; Kite Pharma, Inc.: 2022
Yupelri (<i>revefenacin</i>)
Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes
Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No
<p>Yupelri (revefenacin) is an anticholinergic indicated for the maintenance treatment of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Revefenacin (Yupelri), tiotropium (Spiriva), and umeclidinium (Incruse) are long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA), also referred to as anticholinergics.</p> <p>The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease recommend LAMA treatments as they have shown to improve symptoms, including cough and sputum and health status. LAMA treatment has also shown to improve the effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation and reduce exacerbations and related hospitalizations.</p>
<p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (2023 Report). Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease, 2023. Yupelri [Package Insert]. Morgantown, WV; Mylan Specialty L.P.: 2018

Yutiq (fluocinolone) implant

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Yutiq (fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant) is approved for the treatment of chronic non-infectious uveitis affecting the posterior segment of the eye.

Uveitis is a group of eye diseases caused by inflammation (redness, swelling, pain, etc.) inside the eye, which can lead to vision loss. Uveitis can result from infections, or non-infectious causes. Non-infectious uveitis can result from a disease somewhere else in the body. The uvea (middle layer of the eye) has many blood vessels. If the immune system is fighting a problem in one area, the cells and chemicals it makes can travel through the bloodstream and enter the eye, leading to inflammation. Acute uveitis lasts less than three months; chronic uveitis lasts longer than three months. Chronic non-infectious uveitis is generally treated with steroids, applied near or inside the eye, or other medicines, taken either by mouth or injection, to control the inflammation.

In 2 randomized studies, the proportion of patients who experienced a recurrence of uveitis in the treated eye within 6 months was significantly lower with fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant versus sham injection (recurrence rate, 18% vs 79% in study 1 and 22% vs 54% in study 2). Within 12 months, the recurrence rate was 28% versus 86% in study 1 and 33% versus 60% in study 2 for active treatment compared with sham injection, respectively. Recurrence was defined as either deterioration in visual acuity, vitreous haze attributable to non-infectious uveitis or need for rescue medications.

A Cochrane Review of Corticosteroid implants for chronic non-infectious uveitis included randomized controlled trials comparing either fluocinolone acetonide (FA) or dexamethasone (DEX) intravitreal implants with standard-of-care therapy or sham procedures, with at least six months of follow-up after treatment. Two trials compared corticosteroid implants with sham injection. One trial evaluated a short-acting implant (0.7 mg dexamethasone) that released corticosteroid for approximately three months, while the other evaluated a long-acting implant (0.18 mg fluocinolone acetonide [FA]) that released corticosteroid for approximately 36 months. Low-certainty evidence suggested that these corticosteroid implants were likely to reduce the risk of uveitis recurrence and to improve best-corrected distance visual acuity (BCVA) at the six-month primary time point compared with sham injection.

References

1. Yutiq [Package Insert]. Watertown, MA; EyePoint Pharmaceuticals US, Inc.: 2021
2. Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Bailey ST, et al. Age-Related Macular Degeneration Preferred Practice Pattern®. Ophthalmology. Jan 2020; 127(1): P1-P65. PMID 31757502
3. Reddy A, Liu S-H, Brady CJ, et al. Corticosteroid implants for chronic non-infectious uveitis. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2023; 1(1), CD010469.

Ziextenzo (*pegfilgrastim-bmez*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Hematopoietic growth factors are defined by their ability to promote proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells. Colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) are hematopoietic growth factors that regulate the growth and differentiation of cells towards the myeloid and erythroid lineages. Myeloid growth factors (MGFs), such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF), are primarily used to reduce the incidence of febrile neutropenia (FN) in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia is a major risk factor for infection-related morbidity and mortality and also a significant dose-limiting toxicity in cancer treatment. Prophylactic treatment with granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSFs), such as filgrastim (including approved biosimilars) or pegfilgrastim is available to reduce the risk of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. NCCN guideline recommends prophylactic G-CSF use if a patient's risk of developing FN is >20% (category 1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines have also adopted the 20% threshold for considering routine prophylactic MGF support. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Panel defines intermediate risk as a 10% to 20% probability of developing FN or a neutropenic event that would compromise treatment. For patients receiving intermediate-risk chemotherapy regimens, the panel recommends individualized consideration of prophylactic G-CSF use based on the presence of patient-specific risk factors.

Administration of CSFs to mobilize peripheral-blood progenitor cell (PBPC) often in conjunction with chemotherapy and their administration after autologous, but not allogeneic, PBPC transplantation is the current standard of care. Among autologous PBPC patients, post-transplant G-CSF use has been associated with savings in the duration of hospitalization and overall medical costs. The use of CSFs to mobilize peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) and to shorten the period of neutropenia after cytoreduction and PBPC transplantation, is well established. Individuals receiving CSFs for mobilization should have their platelet counts monitored. Filgrastim is indicated for the mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into the peripheral blood for collection by leukapheresis.

Several studies have shown that CSF administration can produce modest decreases in the duration of neutropenia when begun shortly after completion of the initial induction chemotherapy for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). CSF use can be recommended after the completion of consolidation chemotherapy because of the potential to decrease the incidence of infection and eliminate the likelihood of hospitalization in some patients receiving intensive post-remission chemotherapy. CSFs can increase the absolute neutrophil count in neutropenic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In the

treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), CSFs are recommended after the initial first few days of chemotherapy of the initial induction or first post- remission course.

Current recommendations for the management of patients exposed to lethal doses of total body radiotherapy, but not doses high enough to lead to certain death due to injury to other organs, includes the prompt administration of CSF or pegylated G-CSF. Hematopoietic growth factors can increase the survival, proliferation, amplification, and differentiation of granulocyte progenitors to produce neutrophils.

References

1. Ziextenzo [Package Insert]. Princeton, NJ; Sandoz Inc.: 2019
2. Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al.; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumors. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47 (1): 8-32. 2.
3. Bennett CL, Djulbegovic B, Norris LB, Armitage JO. Colony-stimulating factors for febrile neutropenia during cancer therapy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368 (12): 1131-1139.
4. Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman G, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24 (19): 3187-3205.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hematopoietic growth factors (Version 3.2024) 2024 Jan 30. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/growthfactors.pdf. Accessed on May 20, 2024

Zilbrysq (*zilucoplan injection, solution*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Zilbrysq (zilucoplan) is a complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG) in adult patients who are antiacetylcholine receptor antibody positive (AChR-Ab+). Zilbrysq has not been studied and there is no data to support use in combination with other medications used to treat MG.

Vyvgart (efgartigimod) and Rystiggo (rozanolixizumab-noli) are both neonatal Fc receptor blockers also approved for the treatment of gMG in adult patients who are AChR-Ab+. Similar to Zilbrysq, Ultomiris (ravulizumab) is another complement inhibitor indicated for the treatment of adult patients with gMG who are AChR-Ab+. Guidelines currently do not include recommendations regarding Vyvgart, Rystiggo, Ultomiris, and Zilbrysq.

Zilbrysq was studied in patients with an MG-Activities of Daily Living (MG-ADL) total score of 6 or more and produced a significantly greater and clinically meaningful change at week 12 compared with placebo.

References

1. Narayanaswami P, Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: 2020 update. *Neurology*. 2021; 96: 114 - 22.
2. Skeie GO, Apostolski S, Evoli A, et al. Guidelines for treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular transmission disorders. *European J Neurol*. 2010 Jul; 17 (7): 893 - 902. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03019.x
3. Zilbrysq [Package Insert]. Smyrna, Georgia; UCB, Inc.: 2023
4. Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, Benatar M, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: executive summary. *Neurology*. 2016 Jul 26; 87 (4): 419 - 25. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000002790
5. Howard JF Jr. Clinical Overview of MG. Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA). Published June 2015. <https://myasthenia.org/Professionals/Clinical-Overview-ofMG>
6. Clinicaltrials.gov. Open-Label Extension of Zilucoplan in Subjects With Generalized Myasthenia Gravis (RAISE-XT). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04225871>.
7. Clinicaltrials.gov. Safety, Tolerability, and Efficacy of Zilucoplan in Subjects With Generalized Myasthenia Gravis (RAISE). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04115293>.
8. Vyvgart [Package Insert]. Zwijnaarde, Belgium; argenx BV: 2021
9. Rystiggo [Package Insert]. Smyrna, GA; UCB, Inc.: 2023
10. Ultomiris [Package Insert]. Boston, MA; Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: 2018

Zolgensma (*onasemnogene abeparvovec*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: No

Priority Health follows NCD 110.24 for Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-Cell Therapy.

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) 110.24 Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell Therapy.
2. Zolgensma [Package Insert]. Bannockburn, IL; Novartis Gene Therapies, Inc. 2023

Zymfentra (*infliximab-dyyb*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: Yes

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Zymfentra is a tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) currently indicated for maintenance treatment of moderately to severe Crohn's disease (CD) and Ulcerative Colitis (UC) in those who have completed induction therapy with an intravenous infliximab product. Zymfentra is only available as a subcutaneous (SC) formulation.

The 2018 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend biologics including TNFi agents (infliximab, adalimumab, Enbrel) and interleukin (IL)-23 inhibitors (e.g., Skyrizi) in patients with an inadequate response to corticosteroids, thiopurines, and methotrexate. Guidelines do not favor one biologic over another for treatment of CD. Janus-kinase (JAK) inhibitors (e.g., Xeljanz, Rinvoq), otherwise known as targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (tsDMARDs), are small molecules that can be taken orally. These agents disrupt cytokine signaling that leads to the inflammation cascade. Rinvoq was approved after the guidelines were published but was found safe and effective in the management of CD during two clinical trials (U-EXCEL and U-EXCEED).

Per the 2020 American Gastroenterology Association guidelines, multiple agents effectively maintain remission of UC, including thiopurines (azathioprine, mercaptopurine) and biologics. Methotrexate is not recommended for induction or maintenance of remission in UC, whereas biologics (including TNFis) do have support for use in these treatment areas. Guidelines do not favor one biologic over another for treatment of UC. Xeljanz is recommended as one of the many first-line options in the induction and maintenance of UC remission. Rinvoq was also found to be safe and effective in the management of UC during two clinical trials (U-ACHIEVE and U-ACCOMPLISH).

Zymfentra has not been studied in combination with other biologic disease-modifying agents, tsDMARDs, or PDE4 inhibitors (e.g., Otezla) due to an increased risk of infection and increased immunosuppression. As such, use of Zymfentra in combination with other biologic agents, targeted synthetic DMARDs, or Otezla is not recommended.

Currently, there are no compendia supported uses for this therapy outside the FDA-indication(s).

References

1. Zymfentra [Package Insert]. Jersey City, New Jersey; Celltrion, Inc.: 2024
2. Feuerstein JD, Isaacs KL, Schneider Y, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on the management of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158: 1450 –6
3. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: management of Crohn's disease in adults. *AJG*. 2018 April; 113 (4): 481-517

4. Danese S, et al. Upadacitinib as induction and maintenance therapy for moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis: results from three phase 3, multicentre, double-blind, randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2022 Jun 4;399(10341):2113-2128.
5. Loftus EV Jr, et al. Upadacitinib Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Crohn's Disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2023 May 25;388(21):1966-1980.

Zynteglo (*onasemnogene abeparvovec*)

Priority Health Part B Step Therapy: No

Additional Priority Health Part B Criteria: Yes

Beta thalassemia is a type of inherited blood disorder that can cause reduction of normal hemoglobin and red blood cells in the body through mutations in a beta-globin subunit. This can lead to insufficient delivery of oxygen through the body. Reduced levels of red blood cells can lead to several health issues including dizziness, weakness, fatigue, bone abnormalities and other complications. Patients often require lifelong blood transfusions for survival and treatment for iron overload due to these transfusions as well as other health complications including heart, liver or other organ problems.

Zynteglo is an autologous hematopoietic stem cell-based gene therapy for treatment of adult and pediatric patients with beta-thalassemia who require regular red blood cell (RBC) transfusions. Zynteglo is a one-time therapy. It is administered as a single dose and is a customized treatment created using an individual's own cells that are genetically modified to produce functional beta-globin.

Treatment options are limited for beta-thalassemia but do include allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) which is a curative treatment in up to 80-90% of individuals. Donors may be limited, and some are not optimal candidates due to age or iron-related complications and there is also a risk of graft-versus-host disease with transplant. Otherwise, blood transfusions are the mainstay of care.

Blood transfusions are the mainstay of care for individuals with thalassemia. Guidelines define a patient as transfusion dependent when they are getting infusions of packed red blood cells every 2 to 5 weeks to maintain the pre-transfusion hemoglobin of 9 g/dL - 10.5 g/dL and the post-transfusion hemoglobin less than 14 - 15 g/dL. This translates to approximately 100 mL/kg/year of packed red blood cells.

There is a lack of data around safety and efficacy in supporting administration of Zynteglo following previous gene therapy or with a previous HSCT.

References

1. Zynteglo [Package Insert]. Somerville, MA; bluebird bio, Inc.: 2022

2. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of the LentiGlobin® BB305 Drug Product in Participants With Transfusion-Dependent β -Thalassemia, Who do Not Have a $\beta 0/\beta 0$ Genotype. Available at: <https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02906202>
3. Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of the LentiGlobin® BB305 Drug Product in Participants With Transfusion-Dependent β -Thalassemia. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03207009>.
4. Cappellini MD, Cohen A, Porter J, et al. Guidelines for the management of transfusion dependent thalassemia. 2021. Available at: https://issuu.com/internationalthalassaemiafederation/docs/final_guideline_4th
5. National Organization for Rare Disorders. Beta thalassemia. Accessed May 29, 2024. <https://rarediseases.org/rarediseases/thalassemia-major/>